huhn ch00 fmt auto 1st c copy 10/10/02 2:21 PM Page i

The Five Types of Legal Argument

huhn ch00 fmt auto 1st c copy 10/10/02 2:21 PM Page ii

huhn ch00 fmt auto 1st c copy 10/10/02 2:21 PM Page iii

The Five Types of Legal Argument



CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS

Durham, North Carolina

huhn ch00 fmt auto 1st c copy 10/10/02 2:21 PM Page iv

Copyright © 2002 by Wilson Ray Huhn All Rights Reserved.

ISBN: 0-89089-107-9 LCCN: 2002107268

Carolina Academic Press 700 Kent Street Durham, North Carolina 27701 Telephone (919) 489-7586 Fax (919) 493-5668 E-mail: cap@cap-press.com www.cap-press.com

Printed in the United States of America.

Contents

Preface	
Introduction. The Voices of the Law	
Chapter 1. The Purpose of Legal Education	
Chapter 2. The Five Types of Legal Arguments 1. The Five Types of Legal Argument Arise from	13
Different Sources of Law	13
 The Five Types of Legal Argument Function as Rules of Recognition The Five Types of Legal Argument Arg Pulse of 	14
3. The Five Types of Legal Argument Are Rules of Evidence for Determining What the Law Is	15
4. The Five Types of Legal Argument Embody the Underlying Values of Our System of Laws	16
Chapter 3. Text	17
1. Plain Meaning	19
2. Canons of Construction	
3. Intratextual arguments	25
Chapter 4. Intent	31
1. The Intent Behind the Constitution, Statutes,	
Regulations, Contracts, and Wills	31
2. Evidence of Intent	34
a. Evidence of Intent in the Text Itself	34
b. Previous Versions of the Text	35
c. The History of the Text	37

vi Contents	
d. Official Comments	38
e. Contemporary Commentary	39
1 7 7	
Chapter 5. Precedent	41
Chapter 6. Tradition	45
	51
Chapter 7. Policy	51
1. The History of Policy Arguments	53
2. The Structure of Policy Arguments	63
a. The Predictive Statement	63
b. The Evaluative Judgment	66
Chapter 8. Identifying the Five Types of Legal Argumen	ts 69
Chapter 9. Creating Persuasive Arguments	83
Chapter 10. How to Attack Legal Arguments	91
Chapter 11. Intra-type Attacks on Textual Arguments	95
A. Intra-type Attacks on Plain Meaning Arguments	95
1. The Text Is Ambiguous	96
2. The Text Has a Different Plain Meaning	98
B. Intra-type Attacks on the Canons of Construction	99
3. The Canon of Construction Does Not Apply	99
4. A Conflicting Canon of Construction Applies	100
C. Intra-type Attacks on Intratextual Arguments	101
5. There is a Conflicting Intratextual Inference	
Drawn From the Same Text	101
6. There is a Conflicting Intratextual Inference	
Drawn From Different Text	102
Chapter 12. Intra-type Attacks on Intent Arguments	105
7. The Evidence of Intent Is Not Sufficient	105
8. The Framers of the Law Did Not Anticipate	-
Current Conditions	107

Contents	VII
9. The Person Whose Intent Was Proven Did Not	
Count	108
Chapter 13. Intra-type Attacks on Precedent Arguments	111
10. The Court's Opinion Was Not Holding But	
Rather Obiter Dictum	111
11. The Opinion Did Not Command a Majority	
of the Court	113
12. The Opinion Was Not Issued By a	
Controlling Authority	115
13. The Case Is Distinguishable Because of	
Dissimilar Facts	116
14. The Case is Distinguishable For Policy	
Reasons	118
15. There Are Two Conflicting Lines of Authority	122
16. The Case Has Been Overruled	123
17. The Case Should Be Overruled	124
Chapter 14. Intra-type Attacks on Tradition Arguments	127
18. No Such Tradition Exists	127
19. There Is a Conflicting Tradition	128
Chapter 15. Intra-type Attacks on Policy Arguments	131
20. The Factual Prediction Is Not Accurate	131
21. The Policy Is Not One of the Purposes of	132
the Law	133
22. The Policy is Not Sufficiently Strong	137
23. The Policy Is Not Served In This Case	138
24. The Policy Is Outweighed by a Competing	190
Policy	139
	-07
Chapter 16. Cross-type Arguments	143
Chapter 17. Foundational Cross-type Arguments	145
Chapter 18. Relational Cross-type Arguments	151

VIII	Contents	
Chapter 19.	Text versus Intent	159
1. Jacol	Precedent versus Policy 5 & Youngs v. Kent ver Area Educational Telecommunications	165 165
	sortium v. F.C.C.	169
*	Text versus Policy versus Policy in the Law of Negotiable	175
Instr	ruments	175
2. Text	versus Policy in Separation of Powers Cases	178
Chapter 22.	Text versus Precedent	185
Chapter 23.	Discovering a Court's Judicial Philosophy and Your Own Philosophy of Life	189
Index of Aut	hors and Judges	193
Index of Case	es	197
Topical Index		199