




The Hearsay Rule



The Hearsay Rule

G. Michael Fenner

PROFESSOR OF LAW,
CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY
SCHOOL OF LAW

CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS
Durham, North Carolina

Copyright © 2003 G. Michael Fenner
All Rights Reserved

ISBN 0-89089-089-7
LCCN 2002108685




Carolina Academic Press
700 Kent Street
Durham, NC 27701
Telephone (919) 489-7486
Fax (919) 493-5668
www.cap-press.com




Printed in the United States of America

For Anne

For Hilary and Ben

And now for Tashi too





Contents

Table of Authorities	XXV
Foreword	LIII
Acknowledgments	LVII
Chapter One. The Basic Definition	3
I. Introduction to Hearsay	4
II. The Definition of Hearsay	9
A. The Definition	9
B. The Formula	10
C. An Explanation of the Definition	10
1. A Statement	10
2. An Out-of-Court Statement	13
3. Offered to Prove the Truth of the Matter Asserted	14
a. The Assertion	14
b. The Issue	15
c. The Assertion and the Issues Compared	15
4. Rule 801(d)	17
III. The Top Eleven Approaches to Hearsay	18
A. The Top Eleven Approaches	18
1. The Formula	18
2. The Manufactured-Evidence Approach	18
3. The Real-Witness Approach	23
4. The Two-Boxes Approach	28
5. The Comic-Balloons Approach	28
6. The What-We-Want-to-Know versus What-the-In-Court Declarant-Perceived Approach	29
7. The Plain-Fact-That-the-Words-Were-Spoken Approach	29
8. The Credibility Approach	30
9. The Effect-on-the-Mind-of-the-Hearer Approach	31
10. The Words-with-Independent-Legal-Effect Approach, a.k.a. the Verbal-Acts Approach	31

VIII • CONTENTS

11. The Flow-Chart Approach	33
B. Some Analysis and a Few Caveats	36
1. Rule 801(d)	36
2. Overlap	36
3. Imprecision	36
4. The Best of the Eleven	36
IV. Examples—The Basic Definition Applied	37
A. Variations from <i>Shepard v. United States</i>	37
1. <i>Shepard</i> Example One	37
2. <i>Shepard</i> Example Two	38
3. <i>Shepard</i> Example Three	39
4. <i>Shepard</i> Example Four	40
5. <i>Shepard</i> Example Five	42
B. Lee Harvey Oswald	44
C. “I Shot the Sheriff”	44
D. A Mother’s Love	46
E. “I Want to Discontinue My Insurance Policy”	47
F. “He Killed My Brother and He’ll Kill My Mommie Too”	48
Chapter Two. The Definitional Exclusions	51
I. The Definitional Exclusions versus the Exceptions— Evidentiary Burdens	53
II. Nonhearsay Prior Statements by a Witness: Rule 801(d)(1)	59
A. Prior Inconsistent Statements: Rule 801(d)(1)(A)	59
1. Text of the Rule	59
2. Foundational Elements	59
3. Need + Reliability = 1	60
a. Need	60
b. Reliability	60
4. Use Note	60
B. Prior Consistent Statements: Rule 801(d)(1)(B)	62
1. Text of the Rule	62
2. Foundational Elements	62
3. Need + Reliability = 1	63
a. Need	63
b. Reliability	63
4. Use Note	63
C. Statement of Identification of a Person: Rule 801(d)(1)(C)	67
1. Text of the Rule	67
2. Foundational Elements	67

3. Need + Reliability = 1	68
a. Need	68
b. Reliability	68
4. Use Note	68
III. Nonhearsay “Admissions”: Rule 801(d)(2)	70
A. General Use Note	70
1. Exclusions, Not Exceptions	70
2. The Two Sets of Definitional Exclusions	70
3. Need + Reliability = 1	71
4. Using the Out-of-Court Statement Itself to Establish Foundational Elements of These Exclusions	72
B. A Party’s own Statement: 801(d)(2)(A)	72
1. Text of the Rule	72
2. Foundational Elements	73
3. Use Note	73
a. This Exclusion Is Particularly Important	73
b. There Is No “Against Interest” Requirement with This Exclusion	73
c. There Is No “Trustworthiness” Requirement with This Exclusion	74
d. The Rationale for This Exclusion	74
e. Exclusions versus Exceptions	74
f. The General Use Note	74
C. A Statement by an Agent: 801(d)(2)(D)	75
1. Text of the Rule	75
2. Foundational Elements	75
3. Use Note	75
a. The Timing of the Statement	75
b. Things That Are within the Scope of the Agency or Employment	76
c. Agent Defined	78
d. The Role of the Statement in Establishing Its Own Foundation	80
e. Exclusions versus Exceptions	80
f. The General Use Note	80
D. Statement by Person Authorized to Speak: 801(d)(2)(C)	80
1. Text of the Rule	80
2. Foundational Elements	81
3. Use Note	81

X · CONTENTS

a. This Exclusion Is Mostly Subsumed by Another	81
b. Authorized to Make a Statement	82
c. The Role of the Statement in Establishing Its Own Foundation	83
d. Exclusions versus Exceptions	83
e. The General Use Note	84
E. Adoptive Admission: 801(d)(2)(B)	84
1. Text of the Rule	84
2. Foundational Elements—Two Kinds of Adoptive Admissions	84
a. Foundational Elements—Explicit Adoptive Admission	84
b. Foundational Elements—Implicit Adoptive Admission	84
3. Use Note	85
a. Explicit Adoptive Admissions	85
b. Implicit Adoptive Admissions	86
c. A New Way to Look at Adoptive Admissions	89
d. Impeachment of the Person Who Made the Statement That Has Been Adopted	90
e. Ambiguous Responses Are Not a Basis for an Adoptive Admission	90
f. Other (Non-Adoptive) Reasons for the Lack of a Denial	91
g. Exclusions versus Exceptions	95
h. The General Use Note	96
F. Admission by a Coconspirator: 801(d)(2)(E)	96
1. Text of the Rule	96
2. Foundational Elements	96
3. Use Note	96
a. The First Foundational Element: A Conspiracy Existed	96
b. The Second Foundational Element: The Party against Whom the Statement Is Offered and the Declarant Were Both Members of the Conspiracy	97
c. The Third Foundational Element: The Statement Was Made during the Conspiracy	98
d. The Fourth Foundational Element: The Statement Was Made in Furtherance of the Conspiracy	100

e. Statements Made before the Coconspirator on Trial Entered into the Conspiracy	102
f. Statements Made while Covering-Up the Crime	102
g. Other Ways to Get Coconspirator Statements into Evidence	105
h. The Substantive Law of Conspiracy versus the Law of Evidence	107
i. Using the Text of the Statement Itself to Prove the Foundational Elements	107
j. Conditional Admission, Subject to a Motion to Strike	109
k. Exclusions versus Exceptions	109
l. The General Use Note	109
G. Must an Admission Be Based on Personal Knowledge?	109
1. Rule 805 and Double Hearsay	110
2. Rule 602 and Personal Knowledge	115
IV. Additional Examples of Various Applications of the Hearsay Definition	121
A. “I Give to You [but Mostly] You Give to Me, Love Forever True,” Plus Half-a-Million in Cash and Some Lovely Jewelry	121
1. The Facts	121
2. The First Issue: Kritzik’s Intent	122
3. The Second Issue: Harris’s Intent	123
4. The Hearsay Aspects of This Evidence, As Summarized by the Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals	124
5. What to Do with Evidence That Is Hearsay to One Issue and Nonhearsay to Another?	125
B. Auto Accident Examples	125
Chapter Three. Rule 803, Selected Exceptions	129
I. Introduction to the Exceptions Generally, and to Rule 803 in Particular	135
A. Exceptions: A Brief History	135
B. N + R = 1: The Shared Theoretical Basis for Each Exception	136
C. Rule 803’s Exceptions versus Rule 804’s: The Availability of the Live, Firsthand Testimony of the Out-of-Court Declarant	139

XII · CONTENTS

D. The Foundational Elements, the Evidentiary Burden, and the Decision Maker	139
II. Present Sense Impression: Rule 803(1)	142
A. Text of the Rule	142
B. Foundational Elements	142
C. Need + Reliability = 1	142
1. Need	142
2. Reliability	143
D. Use Note	145
III. Excited Utterance: Rule 803(2)	145
A. Text of the Rule	145
B. Foundational Elements	146
C. Need + Reliability = 1	146
1. Need	146
2. Reliability	146
D. Use Note	148
1. The Unidentified Onlooker As Out-of-Court Declarant	148
2. Self-Serving Statements	149
3. Laying the Foundation for the Statement with the Statement Itself	151
4. The Keys to the Excited Utterance Exception: The Particular Event and the Individual Declarant	153
5. An Excited Utterance Provided in Response to Questioning	155
6. Two Differences between the Present Sense Impression Exception and the Excited Utterance Exception	156
a. Immediacy	156
b. “Describing the Thing Being Perceived” versus “Relating to the Startling Event”	158
7. A Series of Exciting Events (the Rolling Exciting-Event) or a Subsequent Related Event Triggering Excitement Anew	161
8. Often an Out-of-Court Statement Will Be Both a Present Sense Impression and an Excited Utterance	163
9. The Excited Utterance and the Child Witness	165
IV. State of Mind or Statement of Then-Existing Mental, Emotional, or Physical Condition: Rule 803(3)	169

A. Text of the Rule	169
B. Foundational Elements	170
C. Need + Reliability = 1	170
1. Need	170
2. Reliability	171
D. Use Note	173
1. The Breadth of the Exception	173
2. The “No Elaboration” Rule	175
a. In General	175
b. Damages, but Not Proximate Cause	176
c. The Difficult “No Elaboration” Cases	177
3. A Statement That Looks to the Past	179
4. A Statement That Looks to the Future	181
5. Not Including Statements of Belief	184
6. The Out-of-Court Statement Must Reflect the Declarant’s Own State of Mind	185
7. The Out-of-Court Statement Must Be a More or Less Direct Statement of the Declarant’s State of Mind	187
8. Just Because an Out-of-Court Statement Fits under This Exception Does Not Mean It Is Admissible into Evidence	189
9. State of Mind Evidence That Is Not Hearsay in the First Place	190
10. The State of Mind Exception and the Excited Utterance and Present Sense Impression Exceptions	192
11. The Intertwining of the Admissible and the Inadmissible: Redaction and Rule 403	193
V. Statements for Purposes of Medical Diagnosis or Treatment: Rule 803(4)	194
A. Text of the Rule	194
B. Foundational Elements	194
C. Need + Reliability = 1	194
1. Need	194
2. Reliability	194
D. Use Note	196
1. The Person to Whom the Statement Was Made	196
a. In General	196
b. Statements Regarding Mental Health	199
2. The Person by Whom the Statement Was Made	202

XIV · CONTENTS

3. The Reason the Statement Is Made	206
4. The Content of the Statement	206
5. The Timing of the Facts Stated	209
6. Admissibility of the Statement as Nonhearsay Basis Evidence	210
VI. Recorded Recollection: Rule 803(5)	211
A. Text of the Rule	211
B. Foundational Elements	211
C. Why Not Let the Paper or Other Record into Evidence?	212
D. Need + Reliability = 1	213
1. Need	213
2. Reliability	213
E. Use Note	214
1. Past Recollection Recorded versus Present Recollection Refreshed	214
2. Present Recollection Refreshed	217
3. A Past Recollection That Was Recorded by Someone Other Than the In-Court Witness	219
4. The Record Must Have Been Made while the Event Was Fresh in the Witness's Memory	220
5. Showing that the Record Correctly Reflects the Witness's Knowledge	221
6. Statements Recorded in Memory, Rather Than on Paper	223
7. A Re-Recording of Original Notes	224
8. Foreign Records as Past Recollection Recorded	224
VII. Records (and Absence of Records) of a Regularly Conducted Activity: Rules 803(6) & (7)	224
A. Text of the Rules	224
B. Foundational Elements	225
C. Need + Reliability = 1	226
1. Need	226
2. Reliability	227
D. Use Note	228
1. Made in the Course of a Regularly Conducted Business Activity	228
2. Information Automatically Gathered and Retained by Computer	229
3. The Sponsoring Witness, i.e., the Witness Who Establishes the Foundation for the Exception	230

a. Establishing the Foundation with the Live Testimony of a Sponsoring Witness	230
b. Establishing the Foundation without the Live Testimony of a Sponsoring Witness	232
4. Multiple Levels of Hearsay	234
5. The “Trustworthiness” Clause	235
a. Judicial Discretion to Exclude the Evidence If It Seems Untrustworthy	235
b. The “Trustworthiness” Clause and the Burden of Proof	237
6. Foreign Records of Regularly Conducted Activities	238
VIII. Public Records and Reports: Rule 803(8)	239
A. Text of the Rule	239
B. Foundational Elements	239
C. A Variation of This Rule That Is Worth Considering	240
D. Need + Reliability = 1	240
1. Need	240
2. Reliability	241
E. Use Note	242
1. Establishing the Foundation with Certified Copies of the Record	242
2. Multiple Levels of Hearsay	243
3. Records Prepared by Private Parties and Filed with Public Agencies	244
4. The “Trustworthiness” Clause	245
a. Judicial Discretion to Exclude If the Evidence Seems Untrustworthy	245
b. The Burden of Proof Regarding Trustworthiness	248
5. Introducing the Entire Investigatory File	248
6. Reports Prepared by State and Local Governments and by Foreign Governments	249
7. Police Reports	250
8. Near-Miss Evidence—Documents That Just Miss Fitting under This Exception and Fit under Some Other Exception	252
IX. Absence of Public Record or Entry: Rule 803(10)	252
A. Text of the Rule	252
B. Foundational Elements	253
C. Need + Reliability = 1	253
1. Need	253

 XVI · CONTENTS

2. Reliability	253
D. Use Note	254
X. Statements in Documents Affecting an Interest in Property: Rule 803(15)	255
A. Text of the Rule	255
B. Foundational Elements	255
C. Need + Reliability = 1	256
1. Need	256
2. Reliability	256
D. Use Note	258
1. The Kinds of Documents Covered by This Exception — In General	258
2. The Kinds of Documents Covered by This Exception — Examples	259
XI. Statements in Ancient Documents: Rule 803(16)	261
A. Text of the Rule	261
B. Foundational Elements	261
C. Need + Reliability = 1	261
1. Need	261
2. Reliability	261
D. Use Note	263
1. The Increasing Importance of This Exception	263
2. Old Age and Authenticity Alone Establish This Exception, without Any Special Regard for Trustworthiness	265
3. Nonhearsay Admissions and Ancient Documents	268
4. Undated Documents	268
5. Photographs and Other Such Ancient “Documents”	268
6. Foreign Ancient Documents	269
7. Multiple Levels of Hearsay	269
8. Ancient Documents and Rule 403	270
XII. Market Reports, Commercial Publications: Rule 803(17)	271
A. Text of the Rule	271
B. Foundational Elements	272
C. Need + Reliability = 1	272
1. Need	272
2. Reliability	272
D. Use Note	272
XIII. Learned Treatises: Rule 803(18)	273
A. Text of the Rule	273

B. Foundational Elements	274
C. Need + Reliability = 1	274
1. Need	274
2. Reliability	275
D. Use Note	275
Chapter Four. Rule 804 Exceptions	279
I. In-Court Testimony Unavailable: Rule 804(a)	281
A. Text of the Rule	281
B. Who or What Must Be Unavailable?	282
C. Unavailability Defined	283
II. Former Testimony Exception: Rule 804(b)(1)	286
A. Text of the Rule	286
B. The Three Principal Versions of the Former Testimony Exception, and the Foundational Elements of Each	286
1. Criminal Cases under the Federal Rules of Evidence	287
2. Civil Cases under the Federal Rules of Evidence	288
3. The Proposed Federal Rules, Which Are the Rules Adopted in Some States	288
C. The Difference in the Three Variations in the Rule Summed Up in Three Sentences	289
D. Need + Reliability = 1	289
1. Need	289
2. Reliability	289
E. Use Note	290
1. Other Ways to Get Former Testimony around the Hearsay Rule	290
a. Much Former Testimony Is Not Hearsay in the First Place	290
b. Much Former Testimony Fits under Other Hearsay Exceptions	291
c. Do Not Give Up on Former Testimony Just Because the Former Testimony Exception Does Not Work	292
2. Predecessor in Interest	292
a. Predecessor in Interest	292
b. Mutuality of Interest	294
c. Community of Interest	294
3. Opportunity to Examine the Witness	296

XVIII · CONTENTS

4. Similarly Motivated to Examine the Witness	297
5. Grand Jury Testimony Summarized	305
III. Statements under Belief of Impending Death:	
Rule 804(b)(2)	306
A. Text of the Rule	306
B. Foundational Elements	306
C. Need + Reliability = 1	307
1. Need	307
2. Reliability	307
D. Use Note	309
1. Unavailability—By Death or Otherwise	309
2. The Imminence of Expected Death	310
3. Evidence of a Belief in the Imminence of Death	311
4. The Statement Must Relate to the Cause or Circumstances of the Anticipated Death	314
5. The Competence and Confusion of the Declarant and the Danger of Unfair Prejudice Associated with the Statement	314
IV. Statements against Interest: Rule 804(b)(3)	318
A. Text of the Rule	318
B. Foundational Elements	318
C. Need + Reliability = 1	319
1. Need	319
2. Reliability	319
D. Use Note	320
1. Timing	320
2. The Extent to Which the Statement Must Be against the Declarant's Interest	320
3. A Statement Partly against the Declarant's Interest and Partly in the Declarant's Interest	322
4. Corroboration	323
V. A Comparison: Statements by a Party Opponent versus Statements against Interest	329
VI. Statement of Personal or Family History:	
Rule 804(b)(4)	331
A. Text of the Rule	331
B. Foundational Elements	331
C. Need + Reliability = 1	332
1. Need	332
2. Reliability	332
D. Use Note	333

1. The Relationship between This Exception and Rule 803(19), the Exception for Reputation Concerning Personal or Family History	333
2. Admission of Evidence beyond the Fact of the Event or Relationship—The Details	333
3. Competence and Double Hearsay, and This Exception	334
VII. Forfeiture by Wrongdoing Exception: Rule 804(b)(6)	335
A. Text of the Rule	335
B. Foundational Elements	335
C. Need + Reliability = 1	335
1. Need	335
2. Reliability	335
D. Use Note	336
1. The Motivation behind Procuring Unavailability	336
2. The Subject Matter of the Statement	336
3. The Exception Is Not Available to Both Parties	337
4. Unavailability Procured by the Wrongdoing of a Coconspirator	338
5. Arguing This Exception in the Hearing of the Jury	338
6. Action outside of the Rule As Literally Interpreted	340
a. Action Other Than Wrongdoing	340
b. The Turncoat Witness—Wrongdoing That Does Not Result in the Testimony of the Witness Being Unavailable, but Results in the Witness Telling a Different Story	342
Chapter Five. The Residual Exception—Rule 807	343
I. Know This If Nothing Else	344
II. Text of Rule 807	345
III. Foundational Elements	345
A. Five Required Findings...	345
B. ...Reduced to Three Required Findings	346
IV. Need + Reliability = 1	347
A. The Need for, and the Reliability of, the Evidence	347
1. Need	347
2. Reliability	347
B. The Need for the Residual Exception	347
V. Use Note	349

XX · CONTENTS

A. Near-Miss Evidence— The Relationship between the Residual Exception and the Specific Exceptions of Rules 803 and 804	349
1. The Argument That Near-Miss Evidence Can Be Admissible under the Residual Exception	350
2. The Argument That Near-Miss Evidence Is Not Admissible under the Residual Exception	352
3. The Answer to the Question of Whether Near-Miss Evidence Can Be Admissible under the Residual Exception	354
a. Defining the Words Used in Rule 807	354
b. The Basic Approach of the Rules of Evidence	354
B. Grand Jury Testimony	356
C. Notice— Use of the Residual Exception Requires Notice in Advance of the Trial or Hearing	361
1. The Substance of the Notice That Must Be Given	362
2. The Timing of the Notice That Must Be Given	363
3. The Form of the Notice That Must Be Given	365
4. A Conclusion Regarding Notice	365
D. Trustworthiness	366
1. Trustworthiness As Measured against the Other Exceptions	366
2. Focus on the Statement, Not the Declarant	370
3. Focus on the Circumstances at the Time the Statement Was Made, Not Hindsight	370
4. Corroborating Evidence Is Not a Circumstantial Guarantee of Trustworthiness	371
5. The Trustworthiness of the Statement of an Incompetent Declarant	372
E. Probative Value	373
1. Probative Value in General	373
2. The Turncoat Witness— Using This Exception to Admit Prior Statements by Witnesses Who Take the Stand and Change Their Stories	374
F. Using the Residual Exception to Promote Social Agendas	383
G. The Residual Exception in Child-Abuse Cases	385
H. Findings Made on the Record	388
I. Miscellaneous Uses of the Residual Exception	388

Chapter Six. Rules of Civil and Criminal Procedure as Hearsay Exceptions	391
I. Rule 32 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure	392
A. Overview	392
B. Text of the Rule	393
C. Foundational Elements	395
D. Need + Reliability = 1	396
1. Need	396
2. Reliability	397
E. Use Note	398
1. This Rule Applies in Civil Cases Only	398
2. Depositions Offered in Cases Other Than the Case in Which They Were Taken	398
3. Objections to Evidentiary Problems within the Deposition, Including Multiple Hearsay	400
4. Against Whom the Deposition May Be Used	400
5. The Witness Who Is Over 100 Miles from the Courthouse	401
6. Procuring the Absence of the Declarant	402
7. Old, Infirm, or in Prison and Unavailable	403
8. Deposition Strategy	404
9. Error in Refusing to Allow the Use of a Deposition May Be Harmless Error	405
10. Ex Parte Depositions	406
11. The Evidentiary Burden	406
12. Miscellaneous Points	406
II. Rule 15(e) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure	407
A. Text of the Rule	407
B. Foundational Elements	408
C. Need + Reliability = 1	409
1. Need	409
2. Reliability	409
D. Use Note	410
1. Cross-References	410
2. Application of the Rule	410
3. Use of Depositions in Criminal Trials Is Disfavored	412
4. Rule 15's Requirement of Unavailability or Inconsistency	412
a. Unavailability	412

XXII · CONTENTS

b. Inconsistent Testimony	413
III. The Non-Exclusivity of These Rules	414
Chapter Seven. State-of-Mind Evidence	417
I. Introduction	418
II. Eight Ways of Handling State-of-Mind Evidence	418
A. Live, Firsthand Testimony: Nonhearsay	418
B. Verbal Acts: Nonhearsay	418
C. Statements That Circumstantially Assert the State of Mind of the Speaker: Nonhearsay	420
D. An Out-of-Court Statement Offered for Its Effect on the Person Who Heard It: Nonhearsay	423
E. An Out-of-Court Statement That Directly Asserts the Declarant's Then-Existing Mental State: Hearsay	430
F. An Out-of-Court Statement of the Declarant's Intention Offered as Evidence That the Declarant Did the Thing Intended: Hearsay	431
G. An Out-of-Court Statement of Another's Intention Offered as Evidence That the Other Person Did the Thing Intended: Hearsay	433
H. An Out-of-Court Statement Reflecting Back on a Past State of Mind, Offered to Prove State of Mind at That Time Past: Hearsay	433
III. State-of-Mind Evidence and the Question of Relevance	434
A. Introduction	434
B. Nonhearsay State-of-Mind Evidence That Is Irrelevant	434
C. Nonhearsay State-of-Mind Evidence That Is Inadmissible under Rule 403	436
D. Conclusion	439
Chapter Eight. Opinion Evidence As a Way around the Hearsay Rule	441
I. Expert Opinion	441
A. Text of Rules 702 and 703	441
B. "Foundational" Elements	442
C. Need + Reliability	442
1. Need	442
2. Reliability	443
D. Use Note	443
1. Identifying and Qualifying the Expert	443

2. The Importance of Expert Witnesses to a Discussion of the Hearsay Rule	445
3. The Expert's Reliance upon Inadmissible Evidence Must Be Reasonable	451
4. Basis Evidence	454
a. Defining the Problem	454
b. The Problem Is Resolved with One Form or Another of a Balance between Probative Value and Prejudice	456
c. Some Specific Situations Where Basis Evidence Is Categorically Inadmissible	459
d. Corroborating Opinions Offered As Basis Evidence	461
e. Basis Evidence Alone Will Not Get a Cause of Action to the Jury	464
f. Other Factors Relevant to Whether Basis Evidence Is Admissible	465
g. Basis Evidence and Limiting Instructions	466
h. Summary	468
II. Lay Opinion	469
A. Text of Rule 701	469
B. Lay Opinion Based on Hearsay	469
C. Situations in Which Counsel May Need to Have a Putative Expert Testify As a Lay Witness	477
Chapter Nine. Miscellaneous Other Ways around the Hearsay Rule	479
I. Trial to the Judge	479
II. Background Evidence	480
III. Rule 106 As a Hearsay "Exception"	482
IV. Judicial Notice	484
V. Trial by Affidavit in Bankruptcy Court	486
VI. Opening Statements and the Hearsay Rule	487
Chapter Ten. Having Found One Way around the Hearsay Rule, Keep Looking for Others	491
I. Stack Up the Exceptions	491
II. Put on Evidence of All of the Foundational Elements for Each Exception in the Pile	499
III. Stacking Up the Exceptions, of Course, Does Not Always Work	499

