



FEDERAL COURTS





FEDERAL COURTS

Second Edition




Larry W. Yackle
Professor of Law
Boston University

CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS
Durham, North Carolina

Copyright © 2003
Larry W. Yackle
All Rights Reserved

ISBN 0-89089-200-8
LCCN 2002116045





Carolina Academic Press
700 Kent Street
Durham, North Carolina 27701
Telephone (919) 489-7486
Fax (919) 493-5668
Email: cap@cap-press.com
www.cap-press.com

Printed in the United States of America


Dedication for the Second Edition

For Clark Byse



Dedication for the First Edition

For Jeanette



Contents

Foreword	xiii
Acknowledgments	xvii
Chapter I. Methodology	3
A. Constitutional Interpretation	3
1. Separation of Powers	3
2. Federalism	6
3. The “Greater Power” Syllogism	8
B. Statutory Construction	9
1. Textualism	9
2. The Doctrine of Clear Statement	13
C. Conceptual Perspectives	14
1. The Hart and Wechsler Paradigm	15
2. The Private Rights Model	16
3. The Process Model	19
4. The Public Rights Model	20
5. The Parity Debate	24
Chapter II. History and Modern Structure	29
A. The Colonial Period	29
B. The Articles of Confederation	30
C. The Constitutional Convention	31
D. Ratification and the Federalist Papers	34
E. The Judiciary Acts of 1789–1793	38
F. Reconstruction	42
G. The Modern Structure	42
H. Modern Federal Caseloads	44
Chapter III. Judicial Independence	49
A. Selection of Supreme Court Justices	50
B. Selection of Inferior Court Judges	54
C. Removal by Impeachment	55
D. Alternative Means of Discipline	57
Chapter IV. Article III Courts	59
A. Supreme Court Jurisdiction	59
1. The Exceptions Clause	60
2. The Doctrine of Clear Statement	63

B. Inferior Article III Courts	66
C. Inferior Court Jurisdiction	68
1. Jurisdiction Within Article III Limits	68
2. Apportionment of Article III Jurisdiction	73
3. Jurisdiction Outside Article III Limits	75
D. Preclusion of Jurisdiction	76
E. Academic Theories	79
Chapter V. Legislative Courts	85
A. Theoretical Models	86
B. Adjuncts to Article III Courts	92
C. Legislative Courts	97
1. Familiar Illustrations	97
2. Public Rights	101
3. Current Doctrine	106
D. Trial by Jury	112
Chapter VI. Federal Questions in State Court	117
A. State Court Authority	117
B. State Court Obligation	122
C. State Courts and Federal Officials	134
D. Protections for State Court Jurisdiction	137
1. Limited Removal	137
2. Preclusion	143
3. Limited Appellate Review	149
Chapter VII. The Supreme Court	157
A. Original Jurisdiction	157
B. Appellate Review of State Judgments	162
C. Federal Questions	165
1. The Preservation Requirement	165
2. The Final Judgment Requirement	166
D. State Questions	171
E. Independent State Grounds	177
1. Parallel State and Federal Issues	177
2. State and Federal Issues in Tandem	179
3. Hybrid Cases	181
4. Ambiguous State Court Decisions	183
F. Adequate State Grounds	186
1. Illustrations	187
2. Proper Disposition	192
G. Standards of Review	193
H. Appellate Review of Federal Judgments	194
I. Discretionary Review	195
Chapter VIII. Federal Questions in Federal District Court	201
A. Cases Arising Under Federal Law	201
B. Federal Common Law	208
1. Classic illustrations	211



2. Other illustrations	213
C. Federal Rights of Action	218
1. Rights of Action to Enforce Federal Statutes	221
2. Rights of Action to Enforce the Constitution	232
D. Statutory Jurisdiction	237
1. The Substantial Claim Rule	241
2. The Well-Pleaded Complaint Rule	243
E. Rights of Action Revisited	249
F. Declaratory Judgment Actions	257
1. In General	257
2. Modern Precedents	262
G. Preemption	270
H. Supplemental Jurisdiction	273
Chapter IX. Justiciability	277
A. Basic Doctrines	279
1. Advisory Opinions	279
2. Finality	282
3. Political Questions	284
B. Standing: Background	289
C. Standing: Constitutional Prerequisites	301
1. Injury in Fact	301
2. Causation	313
3. Redressability	316
D. Standing: Non-Constitutional Prerequisites	322
1. Third-Party Claims	322
2. The Zone Test	327
E. Standing: Congressional Power	331
1. Hohfeldian Plaintiffs	332
2. Representative Plaintiffs	333
3. Non-Hohfeldian Plaintiffs	335
4. Undeveloped Possibilities	339
F. Standing and the Merits	345
G. Ripeness	347
1. Relevant Considerations	348
2. Ripeness and Remedies	350
H. Mootness	352
Chapter X. Suits against Government and Government Officers	357
A. Suits Against the Federal Government	358
1. In General	358
2. Officer Suits	358
3. Waivers of Immunity	362
B. Suits against a State	363
1. In General	363
2. Waivers of Immunity	365
3. The Eleventh Amendment	367
4. Alternative Interpretations	372
5. Current Doctrine	375

6. Congressional Power	386
C. Officer Suits	396
1. Suits for Prospective Relief	397
2. Suits for Retrospective Relief	406
3. Suits to Enforce State Law	408
D. The Ku Klux Klan Act	411
1. Suits Against Cities and Counties	415
2. Constitutional Torts	416
E. Official Immunity	423
1. Absolute Immunity	425
2. Qualified Immunity	426
Chapter XI. Abstention	435
A. Statutory Limitations	435
1. The Anti-Injunction Act	435
2. Other Statutes	439
B. Exhaustion of Non-Judicial Remedies	440
C. Abstention and Discretionary Remedies	444
D. State-Question Abstention	446
1. Prerequisites	448
2. Procedure	451
E. Special State Interests	452
1. State Administrative Interests	452
2. State Sovereign Interests	455
3. Parallel Litigation in State Court	457
F. Federal-Question Abstention	460
1. The Process Model	466
2. Anticipatory Actions	470
3. Civil Proceedings in State Court	476
4. State Administrative Proceedings	481
5. State Executive Activities	483
Chapter XII. Habeas Corpus	485
A. Function and History of the Writ	485
1. The Suspension Clause	486
2. Challenges to Executive Detention	490
3. Challenges to Criminal Convictions	491
B. Prerequisites	497
1. The Custody Doctrine	497
2. The Exhaustion Doctrine	499
3. Filing Deadlines	507
C. Procedural Default in State Court	520
1. In General	520
2. Current Doctrine	523
3. Sentence Enhancement Cases	529
4. Capital Cases	533
D. Evidentiary Hearings	534
1. State Court Findings of Fact	534
2. Procedural Default Revisited	536

CONTENTS

xi

E. Cognizable Claims	540
1. Constitutional Claims	540
2. Non-Constitutional Claims	541
3. Harmless Error	542
F. The Ban on New Rules	544
1. In General	544
2. Exceptions	548
3. The Definition of New Rules	550
4. Comparison with Qualified Immunity	551
5. Practical Implications	554
G. Effect of Prior State Court Decisions	556
1. Adjudication on the Merits.	557
2. Clearly Established Law	560
3. Limitations on Relief	563
4. Comparison with the Ban on New Rules and Qualified Immunity	567
5. Procedures	576
H. Appellate Review	577
I. Multiple Petitions	579
J. The Ku Klux Klan Act Revisited	587
Table of Cases	591
Index	605





Foreword

I mean in this book to offer a concise introductory account of the constitutional, statutory, and judge-made law governing federal courts—the tribunals that exercise the judicial power of the United States. I will chiefly be concerned with the federal courts’ authority to adjudicate questions of federal law, especially questions touching individual constitutional rights. I will guide readers along the path a litigant must follow, and over the hurdles a litigant must clear, to obtain a federal court decision on the merits of a federal claim. In that sense, I will investigate and explicate matters of genuine operational meaning in the world. Still, my goal is not to brief students and lawyers on the procedures that govern federal legal practice. I want to examine the federal courts as institutions, functioning alongside other centers of governmental power within an overarching constitutional framework.

I lean heavily and shamelessly on the prodigious research and insightful analysis that others have displayed in course materials prepared for law school classes. Inasmuch as those materials form the basis of university education regarding the federal courts, they have *become*, in effect, the corpus of this field. I mean this book to be a supplemental text that helps students work their way through the course books they are asked to master. Accordingly, I often track the subject headings, principal decisions, and commentaries that the authors of law school course books employ.¹

I do not promise to answer all the questions that may arise. Neither my own discussion nor my references to the work of others can hope to be exhaustive or anything like it. I do believe, however, that what I have to say about the federal courts will be of help to readers who wish to consult a text that organizes and elucidates basic ideas. In aid of brevity and coherence, I will deliberately sacrifice some scope. I will give only piecemeal attention to the federal courts’ jurisdiction in “diversity” cases to enforce state law claims in disputes between citizens of different states and very little attention to the

1. The first great course book, *The Federal Courts and the Federal System*, is about to appear in its fifth edition. Previous editors (Henry M. Hart, Jr., Herbert Wechsler, Paul J. Mishkin, and Paul M. Bator) set a standard of excellence that the current editors (Richard H. Fallon, Jr., Daniel J. Meltzer, and David L. Shapiro) have somehow managed to maintain. Other excellent course books also organize and analyze masses of materials: *Federal Courts: Theory and Practice* (Robert N. Clinton, Richard A. Matasar & Michael G. Collins eds.); *Federal Jurisdiction: Policy and Practice* (Howard P. Fink, Linda S. Mullenix, Thomas D. Rowe, Jr. & Mark V. Tushnet eds.); *Federal Courts: Cases, Comments, and Questions* (Martin H. Redish & Susanna Sherry eds.); *Federal Courts and the Law of Federal-State Relations* (Peter W. Low & John C. Jeffries, Jr. eds.); *Federal Courts, Federalism and Separation of Powers: Cases and Materials* (Donald L. Doernberg & C. Keith Wingate eds.); *Federal Courts: Cases and Comments on Judicial Federalism and Judicial Power* (Louise Weinberg ed.); and *Federal Courts: Cases and Materials* (Charles A. Wright & John B. Oakley eds.). Professor Baker has prepared an exhaustive collection of other books and articles. Thomas E. Baker, *Federal Court Practice and Procedure: A Third Branch Bibliography*, 30 *Tex. Tech. L. Rev.* 909 (1999).

rules under which cases are processed. I will address state courts only insofar as they relate to questions touching federal courts, and, I am sorry to say, I will neglect tribal courts entirely. I will tailor my account to the typical academic course on the subject, which shares little in common with civil procedure and often spills over into constitutional law and theory.

Federal courts are the product of history, politics, and shifting and developing theoretical understandings of law and legal institutions in the modern world. Small wonder they defy easy description and may at first appear curious, even incomprehensible. To understand them, it is essential to appreciate both their relationship to the legislative and executive branches of the national government and their intercourse with the states and state courts. Accordingly, I will devote substantial space to the authority that Congress enjoys to establish federal courts in the first instance and to prescribe their purview, to the authority that state courts retain to adjudicate federal questions, and to the structural arrangements the resulting allocation of power both reflects and fortifies. I will dedicate even more space to the role federal courts play in protecting individual federal rights against encroachments by the states. The operative constitutional themes are everywhere apparent: the separation of powers, federalism, individual liberty, and the unique form of democracy that has developed in this country.

My approach is descriptive, not prescriptive.² That will be apparent from the manner in which I try to weave materials together in pursuit of a coherent whole. I scarcely expect many readers to devour this book from start to finish. Some will take it a chapter at a time, perhaps with some backing and filling. Others will dip into it for guidance on particular points. Yet over the years, I have become convinced that these ideas can best be digested in the order I adopt here. I mean, then, to offer a linear treatment, which builds on itself as the manuscript progresses. The law in this field is not a seamless web. I will do my best to present an organized treatment without imposing discipline where the materials themselves resist.


Having stated my expository objectives, I hasten to say that the really important and interesting aspects of this subject invariably lurk beneath the surface. Decision-makers commonly wrestle with delicate questions of substance indirectly, by contesting what appears to be only the procedural question whether an issue is subject to judicial resolution and, if so, whether a federal court or some alternative tribunal (typically a state court) should bear the adjudicatory responsibility.



To put the point bluntly, the doctrines I will examine in this book are not always the value-neutral administrative devices they seem to be. They often reflect ideological perspectives on what the American governmental structure should be and how it should function. And in some instances at least, they are instruments for advancing or discouraging the claims in issue. When, for example, a citizen asks a federal court for help regarding a federal claim, the judge charged to say whether the court's doors are open

2. I collected my personal views on selected issues in *Reclaiming the Federal Courts* (1994). Readers should be aware that I served as counsel in two of the cases I discuss in this book: *Rodriguez v. Mitchell* and *Triestman v. United States*. In fourteen other cases, I filed *amicus curiae* briefs in the Supreme Court on behalf of the American Civil Liberties Union: *Bell v. Cone*, *Bousley v. United States*, *Brecht v. Abrahamson*, *Gilmore v. Taylor*, *Hohn v. United States*, *McFarland v. Scott*, *Miller v. Fenton*, *Murray v. Carrier*, *O'Neal v. McAninch*, *Sawyer v. Whitley*, *Stewart v. Martinez-Villareal*, *Michael Williams v. Taylor*, *Terry Williams v. Taylor*, and *Withrow v. Williams*. In *Wright v. West*, I filed an *amicus curiae* brief on behalf of an *ad hoc* group of law teachers. I consulted with the attorneys in many other cases.

cannot be (and in the real world is not) indifferent to the possibility that the answer may determine whether the claim is vindicated at all.

The law touching federal courts bears profound significance for the very nature and character of the American order of things. Certainly, this body of law adjusts the distribution of power within the national government and between the national government and the states. Behind those structural arrangements lie other delicate questions—about governmental incursions on individual liberty, governmental laxity in the enforcement of federal law, and private indifference to the public interest. I will not attempt to resolve the ideological controversies these materials evoke. Yet I will certainly identify and explore them. To do otherwise would be to miss half the picture (and nearly all the fun).





Acknowledgments

As I prepared the first edition, I received help, advice, and constructive criticism from Susan A. Bandes, Jack M. Beermann, Robert G. Bone, Clark Byse, Ronald A. Cass, Michael G. Collins, Donald L. Doernberg, Frederick M. Lawrence, Evan Tsen Lee, James S. Liebman, Daniel G. MacLeod, Daniel J. Meltzer, William E. Ryckman, Jr., Lawrence G. Sager, David J. Seipp, Aviam Soifer, Michael Wells, and Jeanette F. Yackle. I had excellent research assistance from Richard Bowman, Stuart S. Koonce, Melissa Mandroc, Joshua Perlman, and Alfred Saikali. I had equally fine administrative help from Sebastian Bach, Mark Barrasso, Sara Cunningham-Cooper, Charlotte Glikzman, Linda Skinner, Edith Solomon, and Elizabeth Teixeira. And I enjoyed extremely valuable library support from Marlene Alderman, Terri Geiger, Dan J. Freehling, Joshua Kantor, and Russell Sweet.

As I prepared the second edition, I received help from many of the same people and additional assistance from Sean Bennett, Karen M. Blum, John Blume, April Breck, Edwin Caldie, Holly Escott, Barry Friedman, Berit Huseby, William Kaleva, George Kendall, Allan Macurdy, Thomas D. Rowe, Jr., David L. Shapiro, Suzanna Sherry, Zachary Smith, Max D. Stern, Ronald Tabak, Kenneth Westhassel, and Keir Weyble.

