

**Introduction
to
Intellectual
Property**

Introduction to Intellectual Property

Cases and Materials

Thomas G. Field, Jr.

Professor of Law,
Franklin Pierce Law Center

Carolina Academic Press
Durham, North Carolina

Copyright © 2003
Thomas G. Field, Jr.
All Rights Reserved.
No copyright is claimed in government works.

ISBN 0-89089-236-9
LCCN 2003109205

Carolina Academic Press
700 Kent St.
Durham, NC 27701
Telephone (919) 489-7486
Fax (919) 493-5668
www.cap-press.com

Printed in the United States of America

Contents

Table of Cases	xi
Preface	xvii
Overview: Avoiding Intellectual Property Infringement	xix
Chapter 1 Patent Background	3
A. Historical, Social and Business Perspectives	3
Fritz Machlup, <i>An Economic Review of the Patent System</i>	3
Victor Abramson, <i>The Role of Patents in a Competitive System</i>	4
Justice Story, <i>On the Patent Laws</i>	6
Thomas G. Field, Jr., <i>Pharmaceuticals and Intellectual Property</i>	8
B. Patents as Property	11
Continental Paper Bag Co. v. Eastern Paper Bag Co.	11
Scott Paper Co. v. Marcalus Mfg. Co., Inc.	15
C. Exhaustion of Rights	18
Wilson v. Simpson	18
Keeler v. Standard Folding-Bed Co.	20
General Talking Pictures Corp. v. Western Electric Co., Inc.	24
Chapter 2 Patent Basics	29
A. Disclosure and Claims	29
Evans v. Eaton	29
O'Reilly v. Morse	32
Tilghman v. Proctor	36
Flick-Reedy Corp. v. Hydro-Line Mfg. Co.	41
B. Scope of Claims	42
White v. Dunbar	42
Festo Corp. v. Shoketsu Kinzoku Kogyo Kabushiki Co., Ltd.	43
C. Subject Matter	49
Funk Bros. Seed Co. v. Kalo Inoculant Co.	49
Diamond v. Chakrabarty	53
Diamond v. Diehr	61
Merrill Lynch's Application	70
State Street Bank & Trust Co. v. Signature Financial Group, Inc.	75
Chapter 3 Other Patent Requirements	81
A. Utility	81
Brenner v. Manson	81
Fregeau v. Mossinghoff	85

In re Cortright	87
Juicy Whip Inc. v. Orange Bang Inc.	91
B. Novelty	94
Pennock v. Dialogue	94
Digital Equipment Corp. v. Diamond	97
Pfaff v. Wells Electronics Inc.	100
Group One, Ltd. v. Hallmark Cards, Inc.	104
C. Nonobviousness	108
Eibel Process Co. v. Minnesota & Ontario Paper Co.	108
Graham v. John Deere Co.	112
United States v. Adams	119
Oddzon Products, Inc. v. Just Toys, Inc.	124
Chapter 4 Limitations on Rights	131
A. During Patent Terms	131
Morton Salt Co. v. G. S. Suppiger Co.	131
Mallinckrodt Inc. v. Medipart Inc.	133
Lifescan Inc. v. Can-Am Care Corp.	138
Jazz Photo Corp. v. U.S. International Trade Commission	140
B. Related to Source Confusion	144
Singer Manufacturing Co. v. June Manufacturing Co.	144
Kellogg Co. v. National Biscuit Co.	148
Sears, Roebuck & Co. v. Stiffel Co.	152
Compco Corp. v. Day-Brite Lighting, Inc.	154
Vornado Air Circulation Systems, Inc. v. Duracraft Corp.	156
Traffix Devices, Inc. v. Marketing Displays, Inc.	158
Chapter 5 Copyright Basics	163
A. Historical Perspectives	163
Wheaton v. Peters	163
Statement of Mr. Samuel L. Clemens	168
B. Subject Matter	170
Baker v. Selden	170
Morrisey v. Procter & Gamble Co.	172
Lotus Development Corp. v. Borland International, Inc.	174
Bleistein v. Donaldson Lithographing Co.	181
Mazer v. Stein	184
Masquerade Novelty, Inc. v. Unique Industries, Inc.	188
Oddzon Products, Inc. v. Oman	192
British Leyland Motor Corp. Ltd. v. Armstrong Patents Co. Ltd.	195
C. Originality	201
Feist Publns., Inc. v. Rural Telephone Service Co., Inc.	201
The Bridgeman Art Library, Ltd. v. Corel Corp.	206
Paul Morelli Design, Inc. v. Tiffany and Co.	211
Chapter 6 Copyright Ownership and Enforcement	215
A. Ownership	215
Oddo v. Ries	215
Königsberg Int'l, Inc. v. Rice	218

Community for Creative Non-Violence v. Reid	220
Food Lion, Inc. v. Capital Cities/ABC, Inc.	224
Tasini v. The New York Times Company, Inc.	225
B. Proof of Infringement	232
ABKCO Music, Inc. v. Harrisongs Music, Ltd.	232
Benson v. Coca-Cola Co.	233
Kisch v. Ammirati & Puris Inc.	235
Lipton v. The Nature Company	238
C. Rights Conferred	243
Mirage Editions, Inc. v. Albuquerque A.R.T. Co.	243
Lee v. A.R.T. Co.	244
Chapter 7 Copyright Defenses and Sanctions	249
A. Defenses	249
Belcher v. Tarbox	249
Keep Thomson Governor Comm. v. Citizens for Gallen Comm.	251
Sony Corp., America v. Universal City Studios, Inc.	255
Campbell v. Acuff-Rose Music, Inc.	263
Princeton University Press v. Michigan Document Services, Inc.	271
Ty, Inc. v. Publications Int'l, Ltd.	278
Sandoval v. New Line Cinema Corp.	282
B. Further Consideration of Sanctions	284
Olan Mills, Inc. v. Eckerd Drug of Texas, Inc.	284
U.S. v. LaMacchia	286
National Football League v. White	292
A&M Records, Inc. v. Napster, Inc.	293
Chapter 8 Trade Secrets	299
A. Early Cases	299
Peabody v. Norfolk	299
Tabor v. Hoffman	302
B. Current Sources of Law	305
E.I. duPont deNemours & Co., Inc. v. Christopher	305
Metallurgical Industries Inc. v. Fourtek, Inc.	308
Hoechst Diafoil Co. v. Nan Ya Plastics Corp.	314
Weigh Systems South, Inc. v. Mark's Scales & Equipment, Inc.	317
C. Patents and Trade Secrets	319
Kewanee Oil Co. v. Bicron Corp.	319
Group One, Ltd. v. Hallmark Cards, Inc.	330
Chou v. University of Chicago	332
Taborsky v. State	334
Chapter 9 Preemption Reconsidered	339
A. Parties Arguably in Privity	339
Downey v. General Foods Corp.	339
Downey v. General Foods Corp., on further appeal	342
Aronson v. Quick Point Pencil Co.	344
ProCD, Inc. v. Zeidenberg	348
Bowers v. Baystate Technologies, Inc.	352

B. Parties Not in Privity	355
Bonito Boats, Inc. v. Thunder Craft Boats, Inc.	355
National Basketball Ass'n v. Motorola, Inc.	363
U.S. ex rel. Berge v. University of Alabama	371
Chapter 10 Trademark Rights	375
A. Related to Use	375
The Trade-Mark Cases	375
Hanover Star Milling Co. v. Metcalf	378
Manhattan Industries, Inc. v. Sweater Bee by Banff, Ltd.	382
In re Taylor	385
Larry Harmon Pictures Corp. v. Williams Restaurant Corp.	387
B. Source Significance	391
Jacob Siegel Co. v. FTC	391
Eastern Air Lines, Inc. v. New York Air Lines, Inc.	392
Two Pesos, Inc. v. Taco Cabana, Inc.	396
Qualitex Co. v. Jacobson Products Co., Inc.	400
Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Samara Brothers, Inc.	403
City Merchandise, Inc. v. Kings Overseas Corp.	406
C. Effects of Registration	407
Burger King of Florida, Inc. v. Hoots	407
Morehouse Mfg. Corp. v. J. Strickland & Co.	410
Natural Footwear Ltd v. Hart, Schaffner & Marx	413
Lucent Information Mgmt., Inc. v. Lucent Technologies, Inc.	420
Chapter 11 Scope of Trademark Rights	425
A. Fair Use	425
Champion Spark Plug Co. v. Sanders	425
Smith v. Chanel, Inc.	428
B. Proximity of Goods and Services	434
California Fruit Growers Exchange v. Sunkist Baking Co.	434
In re E. I. duPont deNemours & Co.	437
McGregor-Doniger Inc. v. Drizzle Inc.	440
International Star Class Yacht Racing Ass'n v. Tommy Hilfiger, U.S.A., Inc.	446
C. Dilution	450
Moseley v. V Secret Catalogue, Inc.	450
D. Geographic Considerations	454
Dawn Donut Co., Inc. v. Hart's Food Stores, Inc.	454
Copy Cop Inc. v. Task Printing Inc.	459
E. On the Internet	463
Juno Online Services, L.P. v. Juno Lighting, Inc.	463
Planned Parenthood Federation of America Inc. v. Bucci Ty, Inc. v. Perryman	468
Ty, Inc. v. Perryman	473
Chapter 12 Speech-Related Concerns	477
A. Reputational Interests	477
Auvil v. CBS "60 Minutes"	477
Mikohn Gaming Corp. v. Acres Gaming, Inc.	481

Coca-Cola Co. v. Gemini Rising, Inc.	484
L.L. Bean, Inc. v. Drake Publishers, Inc.	487
Proctor & Gamble Co. v. Haugen	492
B. Free Riding	495
Zacchini v. Scripps-Howard Broadcasting Co.	495
White v. Samsung Electronics America, Inc.	499
Wendt v. Host International, Inc.	505
Cardtoons, L.C. v. Major League Baseball Players Ass'n	509
Hoffman v. Capital Cities/ABC Inc.	518
Rock and Roll Hall of Fame and Museum, Inc. v. Gentile Productions	522
Sherwood 48 Associates v. Sony Corp., America	528

Table of Cases

- A&M Records v. Napster (Napster),¹ 293, 296
Abend v. MCA, 296
Abercrombie & Fitch Co. v. Hunting World,
392, 397, 404, 406, 441
ABKCO Music v. Harrisongs Music, 232, 235
Adams v. Burke, 21, 23, 136
Adams, *see also*, U.S. v. ...
Affiliated Hosp. Prod. v. Merdel Game Mfg.
Co., 444
Aktiebolaget Electrolux v. Armatron Intl., 461
Alfred Bell & Co. v. Catalda Fine Arts, 210
Allison v. Vintage Sports Plaques, 508
Am. Chicle Co. v. Topps Chewing Gum, 399
Am. Geophysical Union v. Texaco, 272
Am. Home Prod Corp. v. Johnson & Johnson,
394
Am. Safety Table Co. v. Schreiber, 431
Arnstein v. Porter, 232, 236, 284
Aro Mfg. Co. v. Convertible Top Replacement
Co., 137, 141
Aronson v. Quick Point Pencil Co. (Quick
Point), 344, 348, 351
Atari Games Corp. v. Oman, 193, 211
Autogiro Co., Am. v. U.S., 44
Automatic Paper Mach. Co. v. Marcalus Mfg.
Co., 17
Auvil v. CBS “60 Minutes”, 477, 480, 484, 487
Baker v. Selden, 170, 172, 175, 187, 203, 328
Baltimore Orioles v. Major League Baseball
Players Ass’n, 507
Basic Books v. Kinko’s Graphics Corp., 271
In re Bass, 126–127, 330
Bateman v. Mnemonics, 361
Baystate, *see* Bowers v. ...
Belcher v. Tarbox, 249, 251, 282, 392
Bellis v. U.S., 329
Bement v. Natl. Harrow Co., 13
Benson v. Coca-Cola Co., 233
Berge, *see* U.S. ex rel. ...
In re Bergy, 54, 64, 77
Berlin v. E.C. Publns., 491
Bleistein v. Donaldson Litho. Co., 181, 183,
188, 238, 266
Blockbuster Videos v. Tempe, 409
Blonder-Tongue v. University Found., 40
Bloomer v. McQuewan, 21, 26
Blue Bell v. Jaymar-Ruby, 443
Boesch v. Graff, 21, 23, 142
Bolger v. Youngs Drug Prods. Corp., 493,
519
Bonito Boats v. Thunder Craft Boats, 44, 46,
160, 354, 355, 360–61, 363
Bose Corp. v. Consumers Union, U.S., 520
Bowers v. Baystate Tech. (Baystate), 352,
354–55, 360, 363
Bram v. Dannon Milk Prods., 342
Brandir Intl. v. Cascade Pac. Lumber Co. 190,
193–194, 406
Brenner v. Manson (Manson), 6, 81, 85, 92
Bridgeman Art Lib. v. Corel Corp., 206, 210,
238, 371
Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. v. McNeil-P.P.C.,
384, 399, 449
British Leyland Mtr. Corp. v. Armstrong Pats.
Co., 195, 201, 428
Broadbridge Media, L.L.C. v. Hypercd.Com,
468
Brulotte v. Thys Co., 347–48
Bulova Watch, *see* Steele v. ...
Burger King, Fla v. Hoots, 407, 409, 417
Burrow-Giles Litho. Co. v. Sarony, 185, 203
Cairns v. Franklin Mint Co., 522
Cal. Fruit Growers Exch. v. Sunkist Baking
Co. (Sunkist), 434, 437, 439, 446, 459
Campbell v. Acuff-Rose Music, 230, 263, 272,
277, 283, 293
Capitol Records v. Mercury Records Corp.,
370, 509
Cardtoons, L.C. v. Major League Baseball
Players Ass’n, 508, 509, 517

1. Cases are usually referred to by first party’s name. Where that is not necessarily true, an alternative name is provided.

- Carson v. Here's Johnny Portable Toilets, 501, 504–505
- Castle Rock Ent. v. Carol Pub. Group, 281
- CBS v. Davis, 480, 484
- Chakrabarty**, *see* Diamond v. ...
- Champion Spark Plug Co. v. Sanders**, 144, 425, 427–29
- Chandon Champagne Corp. v. San Marino Wine Corp.**, 445
- Chadwick v. Covell, 489
- Chanel, *see* Smith v.
- Chem. Corp., Am. v. Anheuser-Busch, 490
- In re Chem. Dynamics, 525
- Cheney Bros. v. Doris Silk Corp., 367, 370
- Chou v. U. Chicago**, 332, 334, 337
- City Merchandise, Inc. v. Kings Overseas Corp.**, 406
- Cliffs Notes v. Bantam Doubleday Dell Pub. Grp., 528
- Clinton E. Worden & Co. v. California Fig Syrup Co., 465
- Coca-Cola Co. v. Tropicana Products, 394
- Coca-Cola Co. v. Gemini Rising (Gemini)**, 484, 487, 517, 525
- Cochrane v. Deener**, 62, 65
- Coco Rico v. Fuertes Pasarell, 462
- Columbia Broad. Sys. v. DeCosta, 370
- Columbia Pictures Television v. Krypton Broad., Birmingham, 297, 378
- Comedy III Prods. v. Gary Saderup, 519, 529
- Community for Creative Non-Violence v. Reid (CCNV), 220, 224, 385
- Compaq Computer Corp. v. Ergonome, 297
- Compco Corp. v. Day-Brite Lighting**, 154, 156, 327, 341, 356, 370, 428, 433
- Conmar Products Corp. v. Universal Slide Fastener Co., 331
- Continental Paper Bag Co. v. Eastern Paper Bag Co. (Paper Bag)**, 11, 15, 231, 296
- Cooper Ind. v. Leatherman Tool Group, 363, 495
- Copy Cop v. Task Printing**, 459, 463
- Cordon Holding B.V. v. Northwest Pub. Corp., 170
- Corning v. Burden, 62
- In re Cortright**, 87, 90–91
- Crescent Tool Co. v. Kilborn & Bishop Co, 148, 358
- Dairy Stores v. Sentinel Pub. Co., 480, 484, 495
- Dallas Cowboys Cheerleaders v. Pussycat Cinema, 488
- Darrell v. Joe Morris Music Co., 233
- Dastar v. Twentieth Century Fox Film Corp., 243, 362
- Data Gen. Corp. v. Grumman Sys. Support Corp., 353
- Dawn Donut Co. v. Hart's Food Stores**, 444, 454
- Dawson Chem. Co. v. Rohm & Hass Co., 259, 262
- In re DC Comics, 423, 528
- Decker v. FTC, 93, 392
- Deepsouth Packing Co. v. Laitram Corp., 64
- Demetriades v. Kaufmann, 201
- Diamond v. Chakrabarty (Chakrabarty)**, 53, 60, 62, 77, 188, 357
- Diamond v. Diehr (Diehr)**, 61, 69, 74, 77, 79
- Diehr, *see* Diamond v. ...
- Digital Equip. Corp. v. Diamond, 97
- Dowling v. U.S., 287
- Downey v. Gen. Foods Corp., 339, 342, 343
- In re E.I. duPont deNemours & Co.**, 437, 439, 445, 459, 463
- E.I. duPont deNemours & Co. v. Christopher**, 305, 308, 320, 329
- DuPont de Nemours Powder Co. v. Masland, 327
- DuPont Cellophane Co. v. Waxed Products Co., 151, 396
- EAL, *see* Eastern Air Lines
- Eastern Air Lines v. N.Y. Air Lines (EAL)**, 392, 396, 399, 476, 480
- Eastwood v. Superior Court, 500, 503, 522
- Eckes v. Card Prices Update, 241, 243
- Eden Toys v. Florelee Undergarment Co., 219
- Effects Assocs. v. Cohen (Effects II), 218
- E.I. duPont, *see* DuPont ...
- Eibel Process Co. v. Minnesota & Ontario Paper Co.**, 108, 112
- Eldred v. Ashcroft, 170
- Electro Craft Corp. v. Controlled Motion, 331
- Elizabeth v. Pavement Co., 102
- Eltra Corp. v. Ringer, 433
- Emerson v. Davies, 264
- Enterprise Mfg. Co. v. Landers, Frary & Clark, 148
- Erie R. Co. v. Tompkins, 148, 366
- Ets-Hokin v. Sky Spirits, 174, 238
- Evans v. Eaton**, 6, 29, 32, 43, 167
- Exhibit Supply Co. v. Ace Pats. Corp., 45
- Exxon Corp. v. Exxene Corp., 474
- Exxon Corp. v. Oxxford Clothes, 439, 459
- Fabrica v. El Dorado Corp., 191
- Feist Pub. v. Rural Tel. Serv. Co.**, 201, 206, 208, 210, 243, 282, 348, 365, 371, 377
- Feltner v. Columbia Pictures Television, 297, 378
- Feminist Women's Health Ctr. v. Mohammad, 482

- Festo Corp. v. Shoketsu Kinzoku Kogyo Kabushiki Co.**, 43, 49
- Flick-Reedy Corp. v. Hydro-Line Mfg. Co.**, 41
- Folsom v. Marsh, 264, 271
- Food Lion v. Capital Cities/ABC**, 224, 225, 480
- Fotomat Corp. v. Cochran, 527
- Franklin Mint Corp. v. Natl. Wildlife Art Exchange, 236–37
- Fred Fisher v. Dillingham, 187, 232
- Fregeau v. Mossinghoff**, 85, 87, 90
- Fuji Photo Film Co. v. Jazz Photo Corp., 144
- Funk Bros. Seed Co. v. Kalo Inoculant Co.**, 49, 56, 68, 79
- G. Ricordi *see* Ricordi
- In re Gastown, 388
- Gay Toys v. Buddy L Corp., 190, 194
- Gemini, *see* Coca-Cola Company v. ...
- Gen. Elec. Co. v. Alumpa Coal Co., 491
- Gen. Mills v. Health Valley Foods, 386
- Gen. Talking Pict. Corp. v. Western Elec. Co.**, 24, 27, 28, 135
- Geofroy v. Riggs, 207
- Gershwin Pub. Corp. v. Columbia Artists Mgmt., 295
- GFI v. Franklin Corp., 99, 133
- Girl Scouts, U.S.A. v. Personality Posters Mfg. Co., 486–87
- Goldberg v. Medtronic, 330
- Goldstein v. California, 321, 328, 498, 508
- Gonzales v. Transfer Tech., 297
- Goodyear v. Rubber Co., 20
- Gordon & Breach Science Pub., S.A. v. Am. Inst. Physics, 493
- Gordon Breach, 493
- Gore, *see* W.L. Gore
- Graham v. John Deere Co.**, 55, 81, 112, 119, 124, 128, 213, 325
- Grant v. Raymond, 13
- Graver Tank & Mfg. Co. v. Linde Air Products Co., 45
- Graves' Case, 207, 209–210
- Great A. & P. Tea Co. v. Supermarket Corp., 58
- Gross v. Seligman, 236
- Group One v. Hallmark Cards**, 104, 108, 330, 348
- Haelan Laboratories v. Topps Chewing Gum, 511
- Haugen, *see* Proctor & Gamble Co. v. ...
- Halliburton Oil Well Cementing Co. v. Walker, 53
- Hanover Star Milling Co. v. Metcalf**, 378, 382, 416, 455
- Harper & Row, Pub. v. Nation Enter., 202, 204, 264, 271–74, 365
- Haughton Elevator Co. v. Seeberger, 441
- In re Hayes, 406
- Herrick v. Garvey, 317
- Hitachi Metals v. Quigg, 99
- Hobbie v. Jennison, 21–23, 26
- Hoechst Diafoil Co. v. Nan Ya Plastics Corp.**, 314, 317, 332
- Hoehling v. Universal City Studios, 206, 373
- Hoffman v. Capital Cities/ABC**, 518, 522, 529
- Holiday Inns, Am. v. B & B Corp., 416
- Hormel Food Corp. v. Jim Henson Prod., 472
- Hot Shoppes v. Hot Shoppe, 409
- Hotel Security Checking Co. v. Lorraine Co., 78
- Hustler Mag. v. Falwell, 502, 505, 513
- Ill. H. School Ass'n v. GTE Vantage, 476
- INS, *see* Int'l News Service
- Intellectual Reserve v. Utah Lighthouse Ministry, 297
- Intermatic v. Toepfen, 469
- Int'l News Service v. Assoc. Press (INS), 204, 206, 363, 369–71, 374
- Int'l Star Cl. Yacht Racing Ass'n v. Tommy Hilfiger (Hilfiger)**, 446, 448, 449
- Interpart Corp. v. Italia, 355, 359
- Inwood Labs. v. Ives Labs., 161, 258, 397
- In re Iwahashi, 77
- Jacob Siegel Co. v. FTC**, 93, 391, 392, 399, 413, 427–28, 476
- Jazz Photo Corp. v. ITC**, 140, 144, 262, 428, *see also* Fuji
- J.E.M. Ag Supply v. Pioneer Hi-Bred Intl., 60
- Johns Hopkins U. v. Cellpro, 88
- Jordache Enter. v. Hogg Wyld, 454, 511
- Juicy Whip v. Orange Bang**, 91, 93, 133, 251, 392
- Jungersen v. Ostby & Barton Co., 18
- Juno Online Services, L.P. v. Juno Lighting**, 463, 468, 517
- Kaplan v. Helenhart Novelty Corp., 483
- Keeler v. Std. Folding-Bed Co.**, 20, 24, 135–36, 140
- Keep Thomson Gov. Comm. v. Cit. for Gallen Comm.**, 251, 254, 271
- Kellogg Co. v. Natl. Biscuit Co.**, 16, 148, 152–54, 429, 433
- Kelly v. Arriba Soft Corp., 282, 297
- Kemart Corp. v. Printing Arts Resh. Lab, 484
- Kendall v. Winsor, 326, 329
- Kewanee Oil Co. v. Bicron Corp.**, 54, 308, 319, 329–30, 337, 346, 348, 350, 357, 498
- Key Chem. v. Kelite Chem. Corp., 417

- Kieselstein-Cord v. Accessories by Pearl, 191
 Kimberly-Clark Corp. v. Johnson & Johnson, 126
 King-Seeley Thermos Co. v. Aladdin Ind., 441
 Kinko's, *see* Basic Books v. ...
Kisch v. Ammirati & Puris, 235, 238, 284
Konigsberg Int'l v. Rice, 218, 231
 Kramer v. Thompson, 480
 Krypton, *see* Feltner
 Kunyia v. Melville Realty Co., 174
 L'Aiglon Apparel v. Lana Lobel, 495
 LaMacchia, *see* U.S. v. ...
 Landham v. Lewis Galoob Toys, 518
Larry Harmon Pictures Corp. v. Williams Restaurant Corp., 387, 390, 409
 Lear v. Adkins, 18, 320, 325, 345
 Leatherman Tool Group v. Cooper Industries, 363, 495
 Leavitt v. Leisure Sports Inc., 482
 In re Leblanc, 403
Lee v. A.R.T. Co., 244, 247
 Leicester v. Warner Bros., 255
 Leigh Furniture & Carpet Co. v. Isom, 494
Lifescan v. Can-Am Care Corp., 138, 140, 262, 352
 Lincoln Engineering Co. v. Stewart-Warner Corp., 118
 Linear Technology Corp. v. Micrel, 108
 Lipscher v. LRP Pub., 353
Lipton v. The Nature Company, 238, 243, 369
L.L. Bean v. Drake Pub., 449, 487, 492, 502, 513
 Lloyd Corp. v. Tanner, 449, 488, 514
 Lone Ranger v. Cox, 509
Lotus Development Corp. v. Borland Int'l, 174, 181
 Lowell v. Lewis, 8, 92
Lucent Info. Mgmt. v. Lucent Tech., 420, 422, 439, 449
 Lumley v. Wagner, 301–302
 Mackay Radio & Telegraph Co. v. Radio of Am., 63
Mallinckrodt v. Medipart, 133, 137, 142, 144, 262, 352, 483
Manhattan Ind. v. Sweater Bee by Banff, 382, 384, 396, 406
 Manhattan Med. Co. v. Wood, 132
 Marcus v. Rowley, 277
 Marvel Characters v. Simon, 224
 Marx v. U.S., 170, 413
Masquerade Novelty v. Unique Ind., 188, 195
 Maternally Yours v. Your Maternity Shop, 385
 Maxwell v. J. Baker, 49
 Mazer v. Stein, 184, 188, 191–92, 258
 McGraw-Edison Co. v. Walt Disney Prod., 462
McGregor-Doniger v. Drizzle, 440, 446, 449
 Merchant v. Levy, 224
 Merrill Lynch, *see also* Paine, Webber, Jackson & Curtis v. ...
Merrill Lynch's Appln., 70, 74
 Met-Coil Sys. Corp. v. Komers Unlim., 138–39, 142
 Metropolitan Opera Ass'n v. Wagner-Nichols Recorder Corp., 366
 Metallizing Eng. Co. v. Kenyon Bearing & Auto Parts Co., 103, 323, 356
Metallurgical Ind. v. Fourtek, 308, 312
 Metropolitan Opera Ass'n v. Wagner-Nichols Recorder Corp., 366
 MGM-Pathe Commun. v. Pink Panther Patrol, 470
 Michigan Doc. Serv., *see* Princeton U. Press v. ...
 Midler v. Ford Motor Co., 500–501, 504, 519
Mikohn Gaming Corp. v. Acres Gaming, 138, 481, 484, 517
 Miller v. Brass Co., 43
Mirage Editions v. Albuquerque A.R.T. Co., 243, 244, 247
 Mishawaka Rubber & Woolen Mfg. Co. v. S.S. Kresge Co., 427, 430
 Missouri v. Holland, 207
 Mitchell Bros. Film Grp. v. Cinema Adult Theater, 133, 251
 Monotype Corp. PLC v. Int'l Typeface Corp., 433
 Monotype Corp. v. Simon & Schuster, 433
 Moore v. Regents, U. California, 334
Morehouse Mfg. Corp. v. J. Strickland & Co., 410, 413, 422
Morrissey v. Procter & Gamble Co., 172, 174, 179, 284, 343, 370
Morton Salt Co. v. G. S. Suppiger Co., 131, 148, 153, 206, 250, 282, 348, 465
Moseley v. V Secret Catalogue (Victoria's Secret), 450, 492
 Motion Picture Pats. Co. v. Universal Film Mfg. Co., 26, 134
 Motschenbacher v. R. J. Reynolds Tobacco Co., 500–501, 503–504
 Muñoz v. Albuquerque A.R.T. Co., 244, 247
 Mushroom Makers v. R.G. Barry Corp., 417
 Mutual of Omaha Ins. Co. v. Novak, 449, 487, 514
 Nabisco v. PF Brands, 450
 Napster, *see* A&M Records v. ...
Natl. Basketball Ass'n v. Motorola (NBA), 363, 369–70, 373

- Natl. Football League v. Gov., Del., 367
 Natl. Football League v. White, 292
 Natl. Tire Dealers & Retreaders Ass'n v. Brinegar, 427
Natural Footwear Ltd v. Hart, Schaffner & Marx (Roots), 413, 419, 463
 Neilson v. Harford, 33, 36, 39
 New Kids on the Block v. New America Pub., 433
 N.Y. Times Co. v. Sullivan, 496, 519
 Newcombe v. Adolph Coors Co., 519
 O'Brien v. Pabst Sales Co., 517
Oddo v. Ries, 215, 217, 220
Oddzon Prod. v. Just Toys, 124, 128, 330
Oddzon Prod. v. Oman, 192, 195, 211–13
Olan Mills v. Eckerd Drug, Texas, 284, 286, 292
 Olan Mills v. Linn Photo Co., 213
O'Reilly v. Morse, 32, 36, 39, 40, 67
 Orig. Appalachian Artworks v. Toy Loft, 234
 Pacific & Southern Co. v. Duncan, 499
 Paine, Webber, Jackson & Curtis v. Merrill Lynch, 78
 Painton & Co. v. Bourns, 323–324
 Panavision, Int'l, L.P. v. Toeppen, 471
Paul Morelli Design v. Tiffany & Co., 211, 212–13
 Paulsen v. FCC, 254
 Paulsen v. Personality Posters, 487
Peabody v. Norfolk, 299, 303–304, 326
 Pennock v. Dialogue, 94, 102, 356
 People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals v. Doughney, 473
Pfaff v. Wells Elec., 100, 104–106, 329
 Philip Morris v. Reilly, 302
Planned Parenthood Fedn., Am. v. Bucci, 468, 473, 476
 Polaroid Corp. v. Polarad Elec. Corp., 440, 445, 449, 463, 471
 Potato Chip Inst. v. Gen. Mills, 394
 In re Prater, 66
 Prestonettes v. Coty, 426, 429
Princeton U. Press v. Michigan Doc. Serv. (Michigan Document), 271, 277, 286, 292
ProCD v. Zeidenberg, 348, 353, 362–63, 366
 Procter & Gamble Co. v. Amway Corp., 519
Proctor & Gamble v. Haugen (Haugen), 492, 495, 517
Qualitex Co. v. Jacobson Prod. Co., 159, 161, 400, 403, 404, 406
 Quick Point Pencil Co., *see* Aronson v. ...
 RCA Corp. v. Data Gen. Corp., 105
 Recording Indus. Ass'n of Am. v. Diamond Multimedia Sys., 294?
 Reddi-Wip v. Lemay Valve Co., 331
 Relig. Tech. Ctr. v. Netcom On-Line Comm. Serv. (Netcom), 316
 Richardson v. Suzuki Motor Co., 337
 G. Ricordi & Co. v. Haendler, 154, 370, 399
 Ringgold v. Black Ent. Television, 283, 283–284
 Ringling Bros. ... v. Utah Div. of Travel Development, 450
 Robert R. Jones Assoc. v. Nino Homes, 201
Rock & Roll Hall of Fame & Museum v. Gentile Prod'ns, 522
 Roots, *see* Natural Footwear...
 Rycov. Ag-Bag Corp., 49
 Sakraida v. Ag Pro, 124
Sandoval v. New Line Cinema Corp., 282
 Sands, Taylor & Wood Co. v. Quaker Oats Co., 448
 B. Sanfield v. Finlay Fine Jewelry Corp., 396
 Saxlehner v. Wagner, 429
 In re Schrader, 78
 Schriber-Schroth Co. v. Cleveland Trust Co., 45
 Scott & Williams v. Aristo Hosiery Co., 92
Scott Paper Co. v. Marcalus Mfg. Co., 15, 17, 152, 348
Sears, Roebuck & Co. v. Stiffel Co., 152, 154, 156, 321, 327, 341, 346, 356, 370, 399, 428, 433
 Sega Enters. Ltd. v. Accolade, 361
 Selle v. Gibb, 234
 Seymour v. Osborne, 102
 Sheldon v. Metro-Goldwyn Pict. Corp., 232
Sherwood 48 Assoc. v. Sony Corp., Am., 528
 Singer Mfg Co. v. Bent, 148
Singer Mfg Co. v. June Mfg Co., 144, 146, 148–49, 152–53, 433
Smith v. Chanel (Chanel), 428, 433, 476
 Smith v. Dravo Corp., 330
 Smith v. Snap-On Tools, 343
 SmithKline Beecham Consumer Health. v. Watson Pharm., 206, 284
 Soc. Comptoir de L'Industrie Cotonniere... v. Alexander's Dept. Stores, 429
Sony Corp., Am. v. Universal City Studios, 255, 262–64
 Soule v. Bon Ami Co., 342
 South Corp. v. U.S., 336, 389
 Sperry v. Fla., 153, 363
State St. Bank & Trust Co. v. Signature Fin. Grp. (State Street), 75, 172
 Steele v. Bulova Watch Co., 388, 469
 Stone & McCarrick v. Dugan Piano Co., 132, 249

- Strategy Source v. Lee, 212
 Sullivan v. Ed Sullivan Radio & T. V, 486
 Sweetarts v. Sunline, 418
Tabor v. Hoffman, 302, 304, 308, 312, 332, 348
Taborsky v. State, 334, 337
 Tandy Corp. v. Malone & Hyde, 462
 In re Tarczy-Hornoch, 65
Tasini v. N.Y. Times Co., 225, 229, 231, 296
 The Taubman Co. v. Webfeats, 492
In re Taylor, 385, 3386, 90, 403, 419
 The Telephone Cases, 101, 103
 Tiffany & Co. v. Boston Club, 490
Tilghman v. Proctor, 36, 40, 42–43
 Time v. Hill, 496–497
The Trade-Mark Cases, 203, 258, 375, 382, 386, 390
TrafiX Devices v. Marketing Displays, 158, 162, 363, 406, 433
 Twentieth Century Music Corp. v. Aiken, 230, 274
Two Pesos v. Taco Cabana, 159, 161, 385, 396, 399, 404, 406, 525
Ty v. Perryman, 473, 476
Ty v. Pubns. Int'l, 278, 282
 United Drug Co. v. Theodore Rectanus Co., 525
U.S. ex rel. Berge v. University of Alabama (Berge), 371, 373, 499
U.S. v. Adams (Adams), 119, 124
U.S. v. Bell Tel. Co., 12
U.S. v. Dubilier Condenser Corp., 322
U.S. v. Gen. Elec. Co., 24–26, 491
U.S. v. LaMacchia (LaMacchia), 286, 291–92, 296
U.S. v. Masonite Corp., 141
U.S. v. Moghadam, 371
U.S. v. Paramount Pict., 187
U.S. v. Reese, 377
Va. State Bd. of Pharm. v. Va. Citizens Consumer Coun., 520
Vault Corp. v. Quaid Software, 353
Van Prods. Co. v. Gen. Welding & Fabricating Co., 331
Veck v. So. Bldg. Code Cong. Int'l, 167
Verdegaal Bros. v. Union Oil Co., 91
Viavi Co. v. Vimedia Co., 429
Vicom Sys. Appln., 72–74
Victoria's Secret. see Mosely
Villanova U. v. Villanova Alumni Educ. Found., 428
Virtue v. Creamery Pkg. Mfg. Co., 483
Volkswagenwerk Aktiengesellschaft v. Wheeler, 461, 463
Vornado Air Circ. Sys. v. Duracraft Corp., 156, 158, 162, 528
Wal-Mart Stores v. Samara Bros., 159, 403, 406, 407, 433, 517
Warner Bros. v. Am. Broad. Cos., 235, 506
Warner-Jenkinson Co. v. Hilton Davis Chem. Co., 43, 44, 49
Washingtonian Pub. Co. v. Pearson, 187
Webber v. Virginia, 93
Weigh Sys. South v. Mark's Scales & Equip., 317, 319
Weiner King v. Wiener King Corp., 416, 418
Wendt v. Host Int'l, 505, 509
Westinghouse Co. v. Formica Co., 15
Wexler v. Greenberg, 322
Wheaton v. Peters, 163, 167–68, 302
White v. Dunbar, 42
White v. Samsung Elec., Am., xix, 499, 505, 506, 514, 519
Wickard v. Filburn, 388
Wilson v. Simpson, 18, 141
Winans v. Denmead, 44
Winters v. N.Y., 513
W.L. Gore & Assoc. v. Garlock, 126, 330
Worldwide Church of God v. Philadelphia Church of God, 294
Wyden v. Comm'r, 75
Zacchini v. Scripps-Howard Broad. Co., 495, 499, 503, 512, 515
In re Zirco Corp., 421

Preface

In 1906 Mark Twain argued,¹ “There is no property on earth that does not derive pecuniary value from ideas. . . .” Indeed, social and technological progress have always depended on ideas and information. Yet, nearly a century later, the New York Times reported:²

[P]atents, copyrights, trademarks and trade secrets have assumed an enormous role in the economy, where value consists of the assets that companies carry on their books. Until recently, that largely meant land, equipment and manufactured goods. Now, increasingly, those assets are information and ideas.

Such assets have not become more important — only more *visible* as disputes involving such things as business method patents and internet distribution of music are increasingly reported in general news media.

Increased visibility means increased demand for informed attorneys. The value of ideas and information may easily be lost without expert assistance. Equally vital, attorneys can help firms avoid infringing the rights of others.

This is the domain of intellectual property (IP) law. Because IP issues arise in many common transactions, most lawyers should have a grasp of the basics. Those who wish to specialize should also appreciate that basics lay a foundation for grasping the cumulative and alternative strategic value of IP options. Because options cannot be evaluated in isolation, early integration of key concepts makes advanced courses far more useful.

Editing

The text of included cases is faithful to originals, but this book is designed for teaching, not research. Thus, while the text of downloaded cases and that of official reports occasionally diverged, the investment required for correction was unwarranted.

Likewise, although use of original numbers often flags gaps, students appreciate that most footnotes are dropped—as are all parallel, and many other citations. Finally, “Patent and Trademark Office” and other such terms generally appear as, e.g., “PTO,” “Register” or “Board,” regardless of use in originals.

However, all reported dissents and concurrences are at least indicated.

Acknowledgements

Much has been learned teaching from others’ case books. Yet, often more was learned from student reactions. Three decades of working with students and colleagues

1. Statement of Mr. Samuel L. Clemens, *Hearings on S. 6330 & H.R. 19853 before the Senate and House Comms. on Patents*, 55th Cong., 1st Sess. (1906).

2. Sabra Chartrand, *Patents: How Do You Put a Price on Intellectual Property?* New York Times, Dec. 18, 2000.

at Franklin Pierce Law Center have been critical to the selection and organization of these materials. The assistance of my son, TG, in assembling the critical first draft, and later help from Zachary Miles, Daniel Sepanik and Hiba Zarour warrant special mention—as does proofreading and other assistance provided by Linda Chroniak, Eric Boldan, Tim Colton, and Carol Ruh.

Access to cases via WestLaw and Lexis, as well as top-notch software and hardware were also key.

Overview

Avoiding Intellectual Property Infringement

Intellectual property (IP) may be secured through patent, trademark, copyright and related laws. This was once the exclusive domain of specialists. Thus, few lawyers, and unfortunately fewer judges, knew anything about laws useful for securing, perfecting and preserving IP.

Thanks to disputes involving things such as internet transfer of MP3 files, copyrights are now a topic of wide interest. The situation with other forms of IP is similar. People who understand their actual and potential rights are more apt to pursue them. Some may not, but they ignore the rights of others at substantial risk.

Protecting one's own rights is usually more difficult than avoiding infringement. It is therefore useful to introduce major categories of IP by focusing on the latter.

Rights Posing Low Risk of Inadvertent Infringement

Copyrights, trade secrets and rights of publicity are most likely to be infringed by deliberate action designed to take advantage of others' reputations or work.

Consider, for example, a TV ad Samsung ran a decade ago: A *Wheel of Fortune* set featured a robot wearing a blonde wig and evening gown. Because it was labeled as the "Longest-running game show. 2012 A.D.," the owners and producers may have been flattered. The hostess was not; as seen in Chapter 12, she prevailed. Liability could have been easily avoided, but Samsung, after seeking expert advice, decided to run the risk.

Because original work cannot infringe copyright, inadvertent liability for that is also unlikely. However, using others' work calls for caution, permission or expert advice.

Accidental infringement of trade secret rights is improbable, too. Reverse engineering and independent research do not infringe such rights. Yet, hiring research employees from rivals, for example, poses risks.

Free Riding

Common sense suggests that free riding on the work or reputations of others invites litigation. Litigation risk, alone, may even deter non-infringing activities. Still, independent efforts may easily infringe others' trademarks and patents. Thus, these forms of IP warrant more attention than do those not apt to be infringed carelessly.

Trademarks

Trademark law protects brand names and other commercial source indicators from being used by one party to pass off its goods or services as those of others. While copy-

ing another firm's source indicators is worse, it is important to go beyond avoiding known marks.

In the U.S., first users of marks including slogans ("Only her hair dresser knows for sure"), logos (Nike's "swoosh") and three-dimensional symbols (the "golden arches") automatically get common-law rights.



Before adopting marks, firms must try to determine if they are already being used — especially whether they are being used on similar goods or services. Knock-out searches on the internet, in trade directories and the like are a good place to start, but, as illustrated above, similar-sounding marks must also be considered. Would it make any difference if one sold toothbrushes, dental floss or a related product instead of toothpaste? Trademarks aside, if someone finds that "Apex causes tooth decay," will others hear that *Apeck's* does?

Marks that survive superficial examination should be searched more completely by experts. Professional evaluation is critical to minimizing the risk of potential consumer confusion and loss of goodwill.

Finally, because prior, unknown users in isolated locations can wreak havoc with national marketing plans, it is good to establish national rights as soon as possible. This can be accomplished by registration in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (PTO).^{*} Since 1988, the PTO has also evaluated proposed marks. If they pass muster, rights perfected by use then relate back to when applications were filed. Thus, intent-to-use applications are particularly valuable for reducing the risk of being cut off by later users in remote markets.

Patents

Patents may be used to prevent others from, e.g., copying. As with trademarks, however, independent creation is not a defense to unauthorized use of protected technology. Patent searches are also needed if the technology is relatively new.

Most U.S. patents expire 20 years after filing. Because there are no common law patents, one need search only fairly recent records. Still, firms must search more than 20 years because terms may sometimes be extended, for example, by appeals.

Later-issued patents also pose serious risks, but expert advice can reduce them. Most helpfully, since December 2000, most pending applications will be published after eighteen months, and these, too, may be searched.

The Bottom Line

Attempting to get a boost from other's work or reputations is particularly dangerous but readily avoided. That leaves the risk of inadvertent infringement.

^{*} The superscripted Apex symbol (®) indicates federal registration; Apeck's symbol (™) indicates a common-law mark.

Failure to clear marks may also cause loss of inventory and other major costs, including the loss of customers seeking products or services under a name that can no longer be used. Similarly, failing to avoid potential patent infringement can easily cause loss of inventory, the need to retool and other costs.

Few people would buy land or commence construction without clearing real estate titles. Likewise, few would purchase supplies or equipment from sellers who could not prove ownership.

Yet, as illustrated by many cases in this book, otherwise careful people often do not adequately clear title to intellectual property.

