





Victims in Criminal Procedure





Victims in Criminal Procedure

Second Edition

Douglas E. Beloof

ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR OF LAW
DIRECTOR, NATIONAL CRIME VICTIM LAW INSTITUTE
LEWIS & CLARK LAW SCHOOL

Paul G. Cassell


U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH
AND
PROFESSOR OF LAW AT THE S.J. QUINNEY COLLEGE OF LAW
OF THE UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

Steven J. Twist

ATTORNEY AT LAW
ADJUNCT PROFESSOR, ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY
COLLEGE OF LAW


CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS

Durham, North Carolina




Copyright © 2006
Douglas E. Beloof, Paul G. Cassell, and Steven J. Twist
All Rights Reserved

ISBN 1-59460-114-3
LCCN 2005923555



CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS
700 Kent Street
Durham, North Carolina 27701
Telephone (919) 489-7486
Fax (919) 493-5668
www.cap-press.com

Printed in the United States of America



For the law students who would be crime victim lawyers.



—DOUGLAS BELOOF

To my wife, Trish.

—PAUL CASSELL

With love to Mom and Dad, Erik and J.P., Allison and Carter, Shawn, Cassie, and Caroline who in my life are surely evidence of God's grace; to the Campbells, the Ropers, the Prestons, the Gillis family, the Lynn family, the Goelzers and Bones, the Millers, the Pattisons, Debra Caldwell, the Leveys, Lola Newkirk, the Fornoffs, Susan Bogard, Earlene Eason, and so many other crime victims and survivors, whose courage to be heard inspired me and has inspired our nation; to NOVA, POMC, MADD, Force 100, NVCAP, AVCV, and other organizations who have given me the honor of representing them in the cause of crime victims rights; to United States Senator Jon Kyl and his Chief Counsel Stephen Higgins, my dear friends and champions; to CVLAP and Keli Luther; to my colleagues Judge Paul Cassell, Prof. Doug Beloof, Meg Garvin, and NCVLI and its terrific team; to Dean Patricia White and ASU College of Law; to Roger Illingworth and the Arizona VOCA team; and to Viad Corp and its Chairman Robert Bohannon; to all of you and so many more, I am so very grateful and forever indebted.

—STEVE TWIST






Contents

Acknowledgments	v
Table of Cases	xxiii
Table of Constitutions and Statutes	xxvii
Secondary Authorities	xxix
Preface to the Second Edition	xxxv
Preface to the First Edition	xxxvii
Chapter 1 Introduction to the Victim’s Role in the Criminal Process	3
A. Thinking about Victims in Criminal Procedure	3
B. Historical Background in the United States	5
William F. McDonald, <i>Towards a Bicentennial Revolution in Criminal Justice: The Return of the Victim</i>	5
C. Why Has the American Criminal Process Evolved to Exclude Victim Involvement?	11
1. Victim Exclusion As an Historical Misunderstanding	12
Abraham Goldstein, <i>Defining The Role of the Victim in Criminal Prosecution</i>	12
2. Victim Exclusion As a Practicality	13
Gail Heriot, <i>An Essay on the Civil Criminal Distinction with Special Reference to Punitive Damages</i>	13
3. Victim Exclusion As Fairness to the Defendant	15
Stephen J. Schulhofer, <i>The Trouble with Trials; the Trouble with Us</i>	16
4. Victim Exclusion As a Cultural and Institutional Dynamic	16
Robert Elias, <i>The Politics of Victimization: Victims, Victimology and Human Rights</i>	17
5. Victim Exclusion As the Product of the Law School Curriculum	18
Paul G. Cassell, <i>Barbarians at the Gates? A Reply to the Critics of the Victims’ Rights Amendment</i>	18
D. Justifications for Including Victims in Criminal Procedures	19
1. Fairness to the Victim	19
George P. Fletcher, <i>With Justice for Some: Protecting Victims’ Rights in Criminal Trials</i>	19
2. Protecting the Interests of Society	19
3. Avoiding Secondary Victimization	20
4. Preventing Victim Alienation	20
Deborah P. Kelly, <i>Ph.D., Victim’s Perceptions of Criminal Justice</i>	20
Abraham Goldstein, <i>Defining the Role of the Victim in Criminal Prosecution</i>	21
Josephine Gittler, <i>Expanding the Role of the Victim</i>	22


Notes	24
Martin S. Greenberg and R. Barry Ruback, <i>After the Crime: Victim Decision Making</i>	24
E. Responding to Victims Concerns: The Social Work and Financial Compensation Approaches	24
Robert Elias, <i>The Politics of Victimization: Victim's Victimology and Human Rights</i>	24
Note	28
F. The Victims' Rights Movement	29
Andrew A. Karmen, <i>Who's Against Victims' Rights? The Nature of the Opposition to Pro-Victim Initiatives in Criminal Justice</i>	29
Notes	34
G. Background on Modern Victims' Rights	35
Douglas E. Beloof, <i>The Third Wave of Victims' Rights: Standing, Remedy and Review</i>	35
H. The Victim Participation Model	38
Douglas Evan Beloof, <i>The Third Model of Criminal Process: The Victim Participation Model</i>	38
I. United Nations and Council of Europe Positions on the Victims of Crime	43
1. United Nations	43
2. Council of Europe	46
Chapter 2 Defining the "Victim"	49
Introduction	49
A. Legal Definitions of "Victim"	49
Markus Dirk Dubber, <i>Victims in the War on Crime: The Use and Abuse of Victims' Rights</i>	50
Notes	51
B. Attaining the Legal Status of "Victim"	52
1. The Importance of the Charging Decision	52
<i>Littlefield v. Williams</i>	53
Notes	55
2. The Defendant As a "Victim"	57
<i>Knapp v. Martone, A Judge of the Superior Court of the State of Arizona</i>	57
Notes	60
3. A Representative for the Victim	61
<i>State ex rel. Romley v. Dairman, A Judge of the Superior Court of the State of Arizona</i>	62
Note	65
<i>Beck v. Commonwealth</i>	66
Notes	68
4. Limiting the "Victim" to Violent Crimes	69
Jayne W. Barnard, <i>Allocution for Victims of Economic Crimes</i>	69
C. Persons Excluded from the Definition of "Victim" Status:	
Selected Examples	70
1. Suspects As Excluded Victims	70
2. Wrongfully Convicted Persons As Excluded Victims	70
3. Defendants As Excluded Victims: The Question of Self-Defense Laws	71

CONTENTS

4.	Victims Falling Outside the Protection of Criminal Statutes	71
5.	The Fetuses of Drug Abusing Mothers As Victims	72
D.	Victim Status and the Presumption of Innocence	72
	<i>State ex rel. Romley v. Dairman, a Judge of the Superior Court of the State of Arizona</i>	72
	<i>People v. Bryant</i>	74
	Notes	76
E.	Bias and More or Less “Worthy” Victims	77
1.	Prosecutorial Bias in Charging Decisions	77
	Elizabeth Anne Stanko, <i>The Impact of Victim Assessment on Prosecutors’ Screening Decisions: The Case of the New York County District Attorney’s Office</i>	77
	Notes	84
2.	Racial Bias in the System: The Example of Jury Bias against Black Victims	85
	<i>McCleskey v. Kemp</i>	85
	Steven L. Carter, <i>When Victims Happen to Be Black</i>	87
	Notes	91
3.	Distinguishing between Victims: The Example of Hate Crimes Legislation	91
	Kellina M. Craig, <i>Examining Hate-Motivated Aggression: A Review of the Social Psychological Literature on Hate Crimes a Distinct Form of Aggression</i>	92
	Heidi M. Hurd & Michael S. Moore, <i>Punishing Hatred and Prejudice</i>	94
	Notes	97
4.	Legislative Bias in Establishing Sanctions: The Example of Bias against Women	98
	Elizabeth Rapaport, <i>The Death Penalty and Gender Discrimination</i>	98
	Notes	102
F.	Changing the Cultural and Legal Definition of “Crime Victim”: The Example of Domestic Violence	102
1.	Historical Background	103
	<i>State v. Black</i>	103
	Note	104
2.	The Contemporary Understanding	104
	Sharon Cammack & Patric Pujo, <i>Domestic Violence: A National Epidemic</i>	104
3.	The Reluctant Criminal Justice Response	105
	Maureen McLeod, <i>Victim Noncooperation in the Prosecution of Domestic Violence</i>	106
	Note	109
4.	Specific Procedural Reforms	109
a.	Mandatory Arrest Policies	109
	Notes	110
	Joan Zorza, <i>Mandatory Arrest for Domestic Violence: Why It May Prove the Best Step in Curbing Repeat Abuse</i>	111
	Developments in the Law: Legal Responses to Domestic Violence	113



Dennis P. Saccuzzo, <i>How Should the Police Respond to Domestic Violence: A Therapeutic Jurisprudence Analysis of Mandatory Arrest</i>	113
Jessica Dayton, <i>The Silencing of Woman’s Choice: Mandatory Arrest and No Drop Prosecution Policies in Domestic Violence Cases</i>	114
Notes	116
b. “No Drop” Policies	116
Douglas E. Beloof & Joel Shapiro, <i>Let the Truth Be Told: Proposed Hearsay Exceptions to Admit Domestic Violence Victims’ Out of Court Statements As Substantive Evidence</i>	117
Note	117
Kalyani Robbins, <i>No-Drop Prosecution of Domestic Violence: Just Good Policy, or Equal Protection Mandate?</i>	118
Cheryl Hanna, <i>No Right To Choose: Mandated Victim Participation in Domestic Violence Prosecutions</i>	120
Jessica Dayton, <i>The Silencing of a Women’s Choice: Mandatory Arrest and No Drop Prosecution Policies in Domestic Violence Cases</i>	122
Linda G. Mills, <i>Killing Her Softly: Intimate Abuse and the Violence of State Intervention</i>	125
Notes	126
Chapter 3 Victim Protection	127
Introduction	127
A. Protection from the Defendant in the Criminal Process	127
1. Laws of “Reasonable Protection”	127
2. Criminalizing the Failure to Report Crime	128
Jack Wenik, <i>Forcing Bystanders to Get Involved: The Case for Statute Requiring Witnesses to Report Crime</i>	128
Notes	133
3. Pretrial Release and Future Dangerousness	134
<i>United States v. Salerno</i>	135
Notes	143
Senate Report No. 108-191	143
Note	144
4. Programs of Protection	144
Peter Finn and Kerry Murphy Healey, <i>Relocating Intimidated Witnesses: An Underutilized Protection Approach</i>	144
Developments in the Law: Legal Responses to Domestic Violence	146
Notes	147
5. Criminal Protection Orders	148
Comment, Christopher R. Frank, <i>Criminal Protection Orders in Domestic Violence Cases: Getting Rid of Rats with Snakes</i>	148
Notes	154
6. Civil Protective Orders	155
<i>Chapman v. Chapman</i>	155
Margaret Martin Barry, <i>Protective Order Enforcement: Another Pirouette</i>	158





CONTENTS

xi

Notes	161
B. Protection of Future Victims: The Example of Sex Offenders	162
1. Commitment of Dangerous Sex Offenders	162
<i>Kansas v. Hendricks</i>	162
Notes	171
2. Sex Offender Registration and Community Notification: “Megan’s Law”	173
<i>Smith v. Doe</i>	173
Notes	179
C. Protection of Victim’s Privacy: The Example of Protecting the Identity of Sexual Assault Victims	180
1. The Controversy	181
Paul Marcus & Tora McMahon, <i>Limiting Disclosure of Rape Victims’ Identities</i>	181
Notes	184
2. Constitutional Limitations on Victim Privacy	185
<i>The Florida Star v. B.J.F.</i>	185
Notes	193
<i>People v. Bryant</i>	195
Notes	202
3. Protecting Victim Privacy by Closing the Courtroom	203
<i>Globe Newspaper Co. v. Superior Court for the County of Norfolk</i>	203
Note	210
<i>State in the Interest of K.P.</i>	210
Notes	216
4. Protecting Information That Might Disclose the Location of a Victim	216
Notes	217
D. Privacy vs. Broadcasting: Cameras in the Courtroom	218
Wendy Murphy, <i>Cameras in the Courtroom: Serving the Public’s Right to Know or Boosting the Media Profits?</i>	218
Court TV, <i>Cameras and Victims: Facts and Opinions about Cameras in the Courtroom</i>	222
Notes	224
Chapter 4 Investigation	225
Introduction	225
A. Obtaining an Adequate Public Investigation	225
1. Generally	225
2. Grand Jury	226
3. Judicial Investigation	226
<i>State of Wisconsin v. Washington</i>	226
Notes	231
4. Adequate Investigative Techniques	232
B. Private Financing of Official Investigations	233
<i>People v. Eubanks</i>	233
Notes	238
Jim Redden, <i>Hired Gun. Neighborhood Crime Got You Down? Buy Yourself a Prosecutor</i>	239



C.	Private Investigation	240
1.	The Lawfulness of Use of the Media	240
	The Daily Camera July 24, 1997	240
	Notes	241
2.	Private Search by Victim or Other	241
	<i>Burdeau v. McDowell</i>	241
	Note	249
3.	Private Surveillance in Public and Private Places	250
	Note	251
4.	Electronic Interceptions	251
	Clifford S. Fishman and Anne T. McKenna, <i>Wiretapping and Eavesdropping</i>	251
	Note	252
5.	Prosecutorial Use of Confessions to Private Persons	253
	David M. Nissman and Ed Hagen, <i>Law of Confessions</i>	253
6.	Citizens Arrest	256
	5 Am. Jur. 2d, <i>Arrest, Arrest by Private Person</i>	256
	Notes	257
Chapter 5	The Charging Process	259
	Introduction	259
A.	Victim's Informal Influence in the Decision to Charge	259
1.	Generally	259
	Sarah Welling, <i>Victims in the Criminal Process: A Utilitarian Analysis of Victim Participation in the Charging Decision</i>	259
	Frank W. Miller, <i>Prosecution: The Decision to Charge a Suspect with a Crime</i>	261
	<i>Parents of Boy Shot by Friend Don't Want Him Prosecuted</i>	262
2.	Informal Influence in Violent Crimes against Women	262
	Wayne A. Kerstetter & Barrik Van Winkle, <i>Who Decides? A Study of the Complainant's Decision to Prosecute in Rape Cases</i>	262
	Cheryl Hanna, <i>No Right to Choose: Mandated Victim Participation in Domestic Violence Prosecutions</i>	264
	Note	268
B.	Prosecutorial Discretion	269
	Kenneth Culp Davis, <i>Discretionary Justice: A Preliminary Inquiry</i>	269
	Sarah N. Welling, <i>Victims in the Criminal Process: A Utilitarian Analysis of Victim Participation in the Charging Decision</i>	271
C.	Victims' Formal Influence in the Charging Process	273
1.	Charging Methods	273
a.	Theoretical Models of Charging Methods	273
	Josephine Gittler, <i>Expanding the Role of the Victim in a Criminal Action: An Overview of Issues and Problems</i>	273
b.	European Charging Models	277
	Matti Joutsen, <i>Listening to the Victim: The Victim's Role in European Criminal Systems</i>	277
2.	United States: Formal Checks on the Prosecutor's Control	280
a.	Electoral Control	280
b.	Attorney General Intervention	280





CONTENTS

xiii

	<i>In re Application of Johnson v. Pataki</i>	280
c.	Mandamus	283
	<i>Ascherman v. Bales</i>	283
	Notes	284
d.	Special Prosecutors	284
	Paul Gustafson, <i>Civil Verdict Will Not End Parents' Quest</i>	284
	Paul Gustafson, <i>Special Prosecutor to Be Asked to Review Woman's Death</i>	287
	Note	288
e.	Judicial Review Pursuant to Constitutional or Statutory Provisions	288
f.	Grand Jury Review	289
3.	The Limitations on Private Prosecution for Contempt	289
	<i>Young v. United States ex rel. Vuitton et Fils S.A. et al.</i>	289
	Note	297
4.	Victim Access to the Complaint Filing Process	297
5.	Limits on Direct Private Prosecution for Crimes Other Than Contempt	298
	<i>State of New Jersey v. Kinder</i>	298
	Notes	302
6.	Challenging the Public Prosecutor's Decision Not to Prosecute	302
a.	Compelling the Prosecutor to Charge: Victim Standing, Equal Protection and Civil Rights	302
i.	Equal Protection Challenges to the Decision Not to Prosecute	303
	<i>Linda R.S. v. Richard D.</i>	303
	Notes	307
	Abraham S. Goldstein, <i>Defining the Role of the Victim in Criminal Prosecution</i>	308
	Notes	309
ii.	Civil Rights-Based Challenges to the Decision Not to Prosecute	310
	<i>Inmates of Attica Correctional Facility v. Nelson A. Rockefeller et al.</i>	310
	Donald G. Gifford, <i>Equal Protection and the Prosecutor's Charging Decision: Enforcing an Ideal</i>	315
	Notes	319
b.	Compelling the Prosecutor to Charge: Approaches Other Than Equal Protection and Civil Rights Actions	319
i.	Judicial Review of Decision Not to Charge	319
	<i>Commonwealth v. Benz</i>	319
	Notes	324
	<i>State of Wisconsin v. Unnamed Defendant</i>	324
	Notes	332
	<i>Sandoval v. Farish</i>	332
	Note	335
ii.	Grand Jury Review of the Prosecutor's Decision Not to Charge	335




ii-a. Common Law Right of Citizen to Approach	
Grand Jury	335
<i>Brack v. Wells</i>	335
ii-b. Constitutional Right of Citizen Access to Grand Jury	338
<i>State Ex Rel. Miller v. Smith</i>	338
Notes	344
ii-c. Victim Access to Grand Jury via Prosecutorial or	
Judicial Approval	344
<i>In re New Haven Grand Jury</i>	345
<i>In re Application of Larry A. Wood to Appear before</i>	
<i>the Grand Jury Appeal of United States</i>	348
<i>In re Petition of Thomas</i>	351
Note	354
ii-d. Access to Grand Jury by Citizen Petition	354
Sharon Cohen, <i>A Mother's Mission: Ghost of Death</i>	
<i>Haunts Search for Daughter</i>	354
Notes	357
iii. Judicial Interpretation of Federal Rule 7(c):	
The Federal Prosecutor's Veto Power over Federal	
Grand Jury Indictments	359
<i>United States v. Cox</i>	360
Notes	371
D. Privately Funded Prosecuting Attorneys in Grand Jury Proceedings	
and Preliminary Hearing	371
1. Grand Jury	371
<i>Hartgraves v. State</i>	371
<i>Robinson v. State</i>	373
Notes	374
2. Privately Funded Prosecuting Attorneys at Preliminary Hearing	375
E. Victim Attendance at Grand Jury and Preliminary Hearing	376
Paul Cassell, <i>Balancing the Scales of Justice: The Case for and the</i>	
<i>Effects of Utah's Victims' Rights Amendment</i>	376
F. Prosecutor's Election between Grand Jury and Preliminary	
Indictment Processes	377
G. Presence of a Support Person for the Victim	378
1. In Grand Jury Proceedings	378
<i>People v. Verkey</i>	379
2. Preliminary Hearings	379
Chapter 6 Pretrial Matters	381
Introduction	381
A. Legal Representation	381
<i>State v. Lozano</i>	382
Notes	382
Notes	383
B. Speedy Trial for Victims	383
<i>United States v. Broussard</i>	384
Notes	386
<i>Hagen v. Commonwealth</i>	387





CONTENTS

Notes	389
C. Victims' Interests in Venue Decisions	392
<i>United States v. McVeigh</i>	392
Drew Kershen, <i>Justice Denied in Oklahoma</i>	396
Notes	397
<i>State v. Timmendequas</i>	398
Notes	399
D. Discovery	400
1. Limits on the Defendant's Ability to Obtain Discovery from the Victim	400
a. Limits on the Defendant's Ability to Interview the Victim before Trial	400
b. Limits on a Defendant's Deposition of a Victim	401
<i>State ex rel. Romley v. Hutt, Judge of the Superior Court of the State of Arizona</i>	401
Notes	404
c. Limits on a Defendant's Examination of a Victim's Physical Property	404
<i>State ex rel. Beach v. Norblad</i>	405
<i>Henshaw v. Commonwealth of Virginia</i>	406
Notes	410
d. Limits on Defendant's Ability to Conduct a Psychological Examination of the Victim	411
<i>Ballard v. The Superior Court of San Diego County</i>	411
Notes	414
e. Limits on Defendant's Ability to Physically Examine a Victim	416
<i>People v. Lopez</i>	416
Notes	425
Notes	426
2. The Victim's Ability to Obtain Discovery from the Defendant	427
3. The Victim's Ability to Obtain Discovery from the State	427
<i>State ex rel. Hilbig v. McDonald</i>	428
Notes	431
4. The States' Ability to Obtain Discovery from the Victim	433
<i>Darryl H. v. Coler</i>	434
Notes	438
E. Joinder and Severance	439
1. Joinder of Trials: Generally	439
Three Defendants Face Joint Trial in Double Killing	439
2. Dual Juries to Allow a Single Trial	440
<i>Velez v. State</i>	440
Notes	441
F. Victims and Judicial Bias	441
<i>People v. Michael M.</i>	441
Notes	443
G. Victims and the Exclusionary Rule	444
Daniel E. Lungren, <i>Victims and the Exclusionary Rule</i>	445
Lynne N. Henderson, <i>The Wrongs of Victim's Rights</i>	448
Notes	450



Chapter 7 Pretrial Disposition	453
Introduction	453
A. Dismissal Outright	453
1. Pretrial Dismissal Outright—Victim’s Inability at Common Law to Dismiss Charges	453
<i>Commonwealth v. Cundiff</i>	453
Notes	455
<i>People v. Morrow</i>	455
2. Victim’s Rights to Confer with Prosecutor and Address the Court on Motion to Dismiss	458
3. The “Interests of Society” Limitation on the Prosecutor’s Ability to Dismiss	459
<i>United States v. Cowan</i>	459
<i>United States v. Biddings</i>	465
Note	466
<i>City of Lakewood v. Pfeifer</i>	467
Notes	470
4. Outright Pretrial Dismissal on the Motion of the Court or Defendant	471
<i>People v. Joseph</i>	471
<i>People v. Jacobs</i>	474
Notes	475
B. Victim Participation in Plea Bargains	476
1. Background	476
2. Rationales Underlying Victim Participation in Plea Bargaining	478
Sarah N. Welling, <i>Victim Participation in Plea Bargains</i>	478
3. The Permissible Scope of Victim Participation in Plea Bargain	479
a. Statutory Delegation to Prosecutor of Authority over Plea Bargain as a Limitation on Victim Participation	479
<i>McKenzie v. Risley</i>	480
<i>State of Oregon v. McDonnell</i>	481
Notes	484
4. The Court’s Role in Accepting or Rejecting a Plea Agreement	484
<i>U.S. v. Bean</i>	484
Abraham S. Goldstein, <i>Converging Criminal Justice Systems: Guilty Pleas and the Public Interest</i>	487
<i>People v. Stringham</i>	488
Notes	494
C. Dismissal Pursuant to Pretrial Intervention, Diversion and Deferred Sentence Programs	497
<i>State v. Ridgway</i>	500
<i>State v. Houston</i>	506
Notes	509
D. Restorative Justice	509
1. Civil Compromise	512
2. Dismissal Pursuant to Mediation Settlements	513
Note	517
Notes	519



CONTENTS

xvii

Chapter 8 Trial	521
Introduction	521
A. Victims and Juries	521
1. Victim's Right to a Jury Trial	521
2. Jury Selection and Victims	522
a. The Defendant's Right to Fair Trial: Challenging Victim Jurors	522
<i>Walls v. State</i>	522
<i>Stockton v. Commonwealth</i>	524
Notes	525
b. Voir Dire: Jurors' Potential Bias against the Victim	525
<i>Dunkin v. State</i>	526
Notes	527
George Fletcher, <i>With Justice for Some: Protecting Victims' Rights in Criminal Trials</i>	528
B. Victims in the Courtroom	529
1. The Victim's Right to Attend the Trial	529
a. Witness Exclusion Rules and Victims	529
b. Specific Protections of the Victim's Right to Attend the Trial	530
<i>State v. Beltran-Felix</i>	531
<i>Martinez v. State</i>	535
Notes	535
c. Does a Victim's Right to Attend Trials Infringe a Defendant's Rights?: A Debate	537
Note	544
2. A Victim's Right to Sit at Counsel Table	544
<i>Crowe v. State</i>	544
Notes	545
3. The Victim's Right to Participate in the Trial	546
4. The Victim's Display of Emotion during the Trial	547
<i>State v. Schaffer</i>	548
Note	548
Notes	549
C. A Support Person for the Victim in the Courtroom	550
<i>State v. Johnson</i>	550
<i>State v. Rulona</i>	553
Notes	554
D. Participation of Counsel for the Victim during Trial	554
<i>Meister v. People</i>	554
<i>State v. Kent</i>	558
Notes	560
<i>State v. Harrison</i>	561
<i>East v. Scott</i>	562
Notes	565
E. Victim Participation in Trials: A Comparative Perspective	565
Matti Joutsen, <i>Listening to the Victim: The Victim's Role in European Criminal Systems</i>	565
R.P. Peerenboom, <i>The Victim in Chinese Criminal Theory and Practice: A Historical Survey</i>	566

William T. Pizzi and Walter Perron, <i>Crime Victims in German Courtrooms: A Comparative Perspective on American Problems</i>	567
Note	569
Chapter 9 Selected Evidentiary Issues in Trial	571
Introduction	571
A. Evidence Which Personalizes the Victim	571
<i>People v. McLean</i>	572
Notes	573
B. The Crisis Counselor-Crime Victim Privilege	574
<i>Advisory Opinion to the House of Representatives</i>	576
Notes	579
<i>People v. Foggy</i>	579
Notes	582
C. Changing the Common Law Relevancy of Past Sexual Behavior or Disposition	584
<i>Packineau [and White Bear] v. United States</i>	584
<i>United States of America v. Kasto</i>	588
1. The Confrontation Clause As Requiring Admissibility of Prior Sexual Conduct or Proclivity	590
<i>Wood v. Alaska</i>	590
Notes	597
Ann Althouse, <i>Thelma and Louise and the Law: Do Rape Shield Rules Matter?</i>	598
2. Rape Shield Laws Limited by Admissibility of Pattern Evidence	600
Elizabeth Kessler, <i>Pattern of Sexual Conduct Evidence and Present Consent: Limiting the Admissibility of Sexual History Evidence in Rape Prosecutions</i>	600
<i>State of North Carolina v. Summers</i>	601
Note	604
Susan Estrich, <i>Palm Beach Stories</i>	604
Harriet R. Galvin, <i>Shielding Rape Victims in the State and Federal Courts: A Proposal for the Second Decade</i>	605
Notes	605
Wendy J. Murphy, <i>Rape Shield Laws Wrongly Protect Interrogation of Victims</i>	606
D. Accommodation of Child Witnesses: Exceptions to Hearsay	608
Michelle M. Zehnder, <i>A Step Forward: Rule 803(25), A New Approach to Child Hearsay Statements</i>	608
<i>Snowden v. State</i>	610
Notes	616
E. Exceptions to Hearsay in Domestic Violence Cases	617
Douglas E. Beloof & Joel Shapiro, <i>Let the Truth Be Told: Proposed Hearsay Exceptions to Admit Domestic Violence Victims' Out of Court Statements As Substantive Evidence</i>	618
Notes	622
Chapter 10 Sentencing and Post-Sentence Matters	625
Introduction	625
A. Victim Impact Statements	625
1. Legal Authority for Victim Impact Statements	625


CONTENTS

xix

2.	An Example	626
	Notes	629
3.	Constitutional Limits on Victim Impact Statements	630
	<i>Booth v. Maryland</i>	630
	<i>Payne v. Tennessee</i>	634
	Notes	640
4.	The Wisdom of Victim Impact Statements	641
	Susan Bandes, <i>Empathy, Narrative, and Victim Impact Statements</i>	641
	Paul G. Cassell, <i>Barbarians at the Gates? A Reply to the Critics of the Victims' Rights Amendment</i>	644
	Notes	646
5.	The Admissibility of a Victim's Sentencing Recommendation in Capital Cases	647
	<i>Lynn v. Reinstein, Judge of the Superior Court of the State of Arizona</i>	647
	Notes	651
	Markus Dirk Dubber, <i>Victims in the War on Crime: The Use and Abuse of Victims' Rights</i>	652
	Tom Jicha (TV/Radio Writer), <i>The Risk of Forgiveness on 48 Hours, Mercy Raises Troubling Questions</i>	652
	Douglas E. Beloof, <i>Constitutional Implications of Crime Victims As Participants</i>	654
6.	The Extent of Victim Impact Evidence in Non-Capital Cases	655
	Donald J. Hall, <i>Victims' Voices in Criminal Court: The Need for Restraint</i>	655
	Notes	657
7.	Constraints on Victim Impact Testimony under Sentencing Guidelines and Mandatory Minimum Sentence Schemes	658
	<i>Kansas v. Heath</i>	660
	Notes	664
8.	Community Impact Statements	665
	Katie Long, <i>Note, Community Input at Sentencing: Victim's Right or Victim's Revenge?</i>	665
	Notes	666
B.	Victim Statements at Parole and Parole Proceedings	667
C.	Restitution	668
	Alan T. Harland, <i>Monetary Remedies for the Victims of Crime: Assessing the Role of the Criminal Courts</i>	669
	<i>United States v. Bedonie United States v. Serawop</i>	670
	Notes	678
D.	Sentences Barring Profiting from a Crime	679
1.	"Son of Sam" Laws	679
	Opinion of the Justices to the Senate	680
	Notes	686
2.	"Murderabilia"	687
	Gory, <i>Gory, Gone: Web Auction Site eBay Banning Sale of Murderers' Merchandise</i>	687
	Notes	688
E.	Victim-Offender Confrontation	689
	Mark S. Umbreit, Ph.D., <i>Survivors of Homicide Victims Confront Offenders</i>	689



Note	690
Chapter 11 Remedies and Appellate Court Review for Violations of Victims' Rights	693
Introduction	693
A. The Problem of Enforcing Victims' Rights	693
National Victim Center, <i>Comparison of White and Non-White Crime Victim Responses Regarding Victims' Rights</i>	694
Jeanie Senior, <i>Lawyer's Comments Anger Victim</i>	696
B. The Inferior Remedies for Victims' Rights Violations	698
1. Ethical Violations and Victims' Rights	698
a. Judicial Discipline	698
State of California before the Commission on Judicial Qualifications ¹	698
Note	700
<i>Disciplinary Counsel v. (Judge) O'Neill</i>	700
Note	701
b. Defense Counsel Discipline	701
<i>In re Complaint As to the Conduct of Paula J. Lawrence</i>	701
Notes	706
c. Prosecutor Discipline	706
<i>State ex rel. Romley v. Superior Court, County of Maricopa</i>	706
Notes	711
2. The Inferior Remedy of Money Damages	711
<i>Pusey v. Youngstown</i>	711
Douglas E. Beloof, <i>The Third Wave of Victims' Rights: Standing Remedy and Review</i>	717
Notes	718
3. The Inferior Remedies of Injunctions and Declaratory Relief	718
<i>City of Los Angeles v. Lyons</i>	718
Notes	723
4. The Inferior Remedy of Administrative Review	724
C. The Superior Remedy of Voiding Procedures	724
1. Voiding Plea Bargains	725
<i>State v. Casey</i>	725
Notes	733
2. Voiding Sentences	733
<i>Melissa J. v. Superior Ct.</i>	733
Notes	735
Douglas E. Beloof, <i>The Third Wave of Victims Rights: Standing, Remedy, and Review</i>	735
3. Voiding Parole Decisions	736
<i>State ex rel Hance v. Arizona Board of Pardons and Paroles</i>	737
Notes	742
4. Express Limits on the Voiding Remedy in State Constitutions	742
Douglas E. Beloof, <i>The Third Wave of Crime Victims' Rights</i>	742
5. Double Jeopardy Limits on Voiding	743
Douglas E. Beloof, <i>The Third Wave of Crime Victims' Rights</i>	743
Notes	745



CONTENTS

xxi

D. Appellate Court Review	746
1. Statutory Authorization for Appeals	746
<i>U.S. v. McVeigh</i>	746
Notes	755
2. Victims' Rights As Mandatory and Self-Enabling Rights	756
<i>Landon v. State</i>	757
Note	759
E. Review Mechanisms	760
1. Review by Writ	760
Douglas E. Beloof, <i>The Third Wave of Victims' Rights: Standing Remedy and Review</i>	760
2. Interlocutory Appeal: The Example of Federal Rape Shield Procedures	762
<i>Doe v. United States</i>	762
Notes	763
3. Appeal and Collateral Appeal	764
4. Ripeness and Mootness Problems: The Need for Pre-Trial Rulings on Rights	765
Douglas E. Beloof, <i>The Third Wave of Crime Victims' Rights: Standing, Remedy, and Review</i>	765
5. Express Limits on Review in State Constitutions	766
Douglas E. Beloof, <i>The Third Wave of Crime Victims' Rights: Standing Remedy and Review</i>	766
Chapter 12 The Third Wave of Victims' Rights	769
Introduction	769
A. The Need for a Third Wave	769
Douglas E. Beloof, <i>The Third Wave of Crime Victims' Rights: Standing Remedy and Review</i>	770
Note	775
B. The Proposed Victims' Rights Amendment to the United States Constitution	775
Laurence H. Tribe and Paul G. Cassell, <i>Embed the Rights of Victims in the Constitution</i>	776
Steven J. Twist, <i>The Crime Victims' Rights Amendment and Two Good and Perfect Things</i>	778
Letter from Law Professors Regarding the Proposed Victim's Rights Constitutional Amendment	780
Statement of Professor Paul Cassell	783
Robert P. Mosteller, <i>The Unnecessary Victims' Rights Amendment</i>	785
Statement of Professor Laurence H. Tribe	787
Notes	790
C. The Federal Victims' Rights Statute of 2004	790
Notes	793
Notes	796
D. The Next Generation	796
Index	799





Table of Cases

FEDERAL CASES

United States Supreme Court

Booth v. Maryland, 482 U.S. 496 (1987), 630
 Burdeau v. McDowell, 256 U.S. 465 (1921), 241
 Globe Newspaper Co. v. Superior Court for the County of Norfolk, 457 U.S. 596 (1981), 203
 Kansas v. Hendricks, 117 S.Ct. 2072 (1997), 162
 Linda R.S. v. Richard D, 410 U.S. 614 (1973), 303
 Los Angeles v. Lyons, 461 U.S. 95 (1983), 718
 McCleskey v. Kemp, 481 U.S. 279 (1987), 85
 Payne v. Tennessee, 501 U.S. 808 (1991), 634
 Smith v. Doe, 123 S.Ct. 1140 (2003), 173
 The Florida Star v. B.J.F, 491 U.S. 524, 105 L.Ed. 2d 443 (1989), 185
 United States v. Salerno, 481 U.S. 739 (1987), 135
 Young v. United States ex rel, Vuitton et Fils S.A. et al, 481 U.S. 787 (1986), 289

Federal Circuit Court

Darryl H, et al. v. Coler, 801 F.2d 893 (7th Cir. 1986), 434
 East v. Scott, 815 F.3d 996 (5th Cir. 1995), 562

Inmates of Attica Correctional Facility v. Nelson A. Rockefeller et al, 477 F.2d 375 (2d Cir. 1973), 310
 In re Application of Larry A. Wood to Appear Before the Grand Jury, 833 F.2d 113 (8th Cir. 1987), 348
 McKenzie v. Risley, 842 F.2d 1525 (9th Cir. 1988), 480
 Packineau [and White Bear] v. United States, 202 F.2d 681 (8th Cir. 1953), 584
 Pusey v. Youngstown, 11 F.3d 652 (6th Cir. 1993), 711
 United States v. Bean, 564 F.2d 700 (5th Cir. 1977), 484
 United States v. Cowan, 524 F.2d 504 (5th Cir. 1975), 459
 United States v. Cox, 231 F.2d 167 (D.C. Miss. 1965), 360
 United States of America v. Kasto, 584 F.2d 268 (8th Cir. 1978), 588
 United States v. McVeigh, 106 F.3d 325 (10th Cir. 1997), 746
 Wood v. Alaska, 957 F.2d 1544 (9th Cir. 1992), 590

Federal District Court

In re New Haven Grand Jury, 604 F.Supp. 453 (D. Conn. 1985), 345
 United States v. Bedonie; U.S. v. Serawop, 317 F.Supp. 2d. 1285 (D. Utah 2004), 670
 United States v. Biddings, 416 F.Supp. 673 (U.S. Dist. Ct. Ill. 1976), 465
 United States v. Broussard, 767 F.Supp. 1536 (U.S. Dist Ct. Or. 1991), 384

United States v. McVeigh, 918
F.Supp.(W.D. Ok. 1996), 392

STATE CASES

Advisory Opinion to the House of Representatives, 469 A.2d 1161 (R.I. 1983), 576

Ascherman v. Bales, 273 C.A.2d 707, 78
Cal.Reptr. 445 (1969), 283

Ballard v. Super. Ct., 410 P.2d 838 (Cal. 1966), 411

Ballard v. The Super. Ct. of San Diego County, People, 49 Cal.Rptr. 302 (Sup. Ct. 1966), 411

Beck v. Commonwealth, 484 S.E.2d 898 (Va. 1997), 66

Beltran-Felix, State v., 922 P.2d 30 (Utah App. 1996), 531

Benz, Commonwealth v., 565 A.2d 764 (Pa. 1989), 296, 298

Black, State v., 60 N.C. 262 (Win. 1864), 103

Brack v. Wells, 184 Md. 86, 40 A.2d 319 (1944), 335

Bryant, People v., Case no. 03-CR-204 (Dist. Ct. Eagle Co., Colo. 2004), 74

Bryant, People v., 94 P.3d 624 (Colo. 2004), 195

Casey, State v., 82 P.3d 1106 (Utah 2003), 725

Chapman v. Chapman, 204 WL 1047577 (Ohio App 2004), 155

City of Lakewood v. Pfeifer, 583 N.E.2d 1133 (Ohio Mun. 1991), 467

Crowe v. State, 485 So.2d 351 (Ala. Cr. App. 1984), 544

Cundiff, Commonwealth v., 147 S.W. 767 (Ky. Sup. Ct. 1912), 453

Disciplinary Counsel v. (Judge) O'Neill, 815 N.E.2d 286 (Ohio 2004), 700

Doe v. United States, 666 F.2d 43 (4th Cir. 1981)

Dunkin v. State, 818 P.2d 1159 (Alaska 1991), 526

Eubanks, People v., 14 Cal.4th 580, 927 P.2d 310 (1996), 233

Foggy, People v., 521 N.E.2d 86 (Sup. Ct. Ill. 1988), 579

Hagen v. Commonwealth, 772 N.E.2d 32 (Mass. 2002), 387

Harrison, State v., 24 P.3d 936 (Utah 2001), 561

Hartgraves v. State, (Crim. Ct. App. OK, 1911), 371

Henshaw v. Commonwealth, 451 S.E.2d 415 (Va. App. 1994), 406

Houston, State v., 900 S.W.2d 712 (Tenn. Cr. App. 1995), 506

In re Complaint As to the Conduct of Paula J. Lawrence, 98 P.3d 366 (Or. 2004), 701

In re Petition of Thomas, 434 A.2d 503 (Me. 1981), 351

Jacobs, People v., 432 N.Y.S. 2d 614 (1980), 474

Johnson, State v., 528 N.E.2d 567 (Ohio App. 1986), 550

Joseph, People v., 433 N.Y.S. 2d 335 (1980), 471

Kansas v. Heath, 901 P.2d 29 (Kan. App. 1995), 660

Kent, State v., 62 N.W. 631 (Sup. Ct. N.D. 1895), 558

Knapp v. Martone, 823 P.2d 685 (Ariz. 1992), 57

Landon v. State, 1999 WL 46543 (No. A-6479 Alaska App. 1999) (unpublished), 757

Littlefield v. Williams, 540 S.E.2d 81 (S.C. 2000), 53

Lopez, People v., 800 N.E.2d 1211 (Ill. 2003), 416

Lozano, State v., 616 So.2d 73 (1993), 382

Martinez v. State, 664 So.2d 1034 (Fla. App. 1996), 539

McAlear, State v., 519 N.W.2d 596 (Sup. Ct. S.D. 1994), 592

McDonnell, State v., 794 P.2d 780 (Or. 1990), 481

McLean, People v., 276 N.E.2d 71 (1972), 572

Meister v. People, 31 Mich. 99 (1875), 554

Melissa J. v. Sup. Ct., 237 Cal. Rptr 5, (Cal. App. 1987), 733

TABLE OF CASES

Michael M., <i>People v.</i> , 475 NYS 2d 774 (N.Y. Co. Ct. 1984), 441	<i>State Ex Rel. Romley v. Dairmun</i> , 95 P.2d 548 (Ariz. 2004), 62,72
Morrow, <i>People v.</i> , 542 N.W.2d 324 (Mich. Ct. App. 1995), 455	<i>State Ex Rel. Romley v. Hutt</i> , 987 P2d 218 (Ariz. App. 1999), 402
Opinion of the Justices to the Senate, 764 N.E. 2d 343 (Mass. 2002), 680	<i>State Ex Rel. Romley v. Sup. Ct., County of Maricopa</i> , 891 P.2d 246 (Ariz. App. 1995), 706
Ridgway, <i>State v.</i> , 504 A.2d 1241 (N.J. Super. L. 1985), 500	<i>State in the Interest of K.P.</i> , 709 A.2d 315 (N.J. Super Ch 1997), 210
Robinson v. <i>State</i> , 477 N.E.2d 883 (Ind. 1985), 373	<i>Stockton v. Commonwealth</i> , 402 S.E.2d 196 (Va. 1991), 524
Rulona, <i>State v.</i> , 785 P.2d 615 (Hawaii 1990), 553	<i>Stringham, People v.</i> , 253 Cal. Rptr. 484, 206 Cal. App.3d (1988), 488
Sandoval v. <i>Farish</i> , 675 P.2d 300 (Sup. Ct. Colo. 1984), 332	<i>Summers, State v.</i> , 302 S.E.2d 830 (Ct. of Appeals N.C. 1983), 601
Schaffer, <i>State v.</i> , 354 S.W.2d 829 (Mo.1962), 548	<i>Timmendequas, State v.</i> , 737 A. 2d 55 (N.J. 1999), 398
Snowden v. <i>State</i> , 846 A.2d 36 (Md. App. 2004), 610	<i>Unnamed Defendant, State v.</i> , 150 Wis.2d 352, 441 N.W.2d 696 (1989), 324
<i>State Ex Rel. Beach v. Norblad</i> , 781 P.2d 349 (Or. 1989), 405	<i>Velez v. State</i> , 596 So.2d 1197 (Fla. App. 1992), 440
<i>State Ex Rel. Hance v. Arizona Board of Pardons and Paroles</i> , 875 P.2d 824 (Ariz. 1993), 737	<i>Verkey, People v.</i> , Supreme Court, Ap- pellate Division (N.Y. 1992), 379
<i>State Ex Rel. Hilbis v. Honorable Terry McDonald</i> , 839 S.W.2d 854 (Tex. 1992), 428	<i>Walls v. State</i> , 371 So.2d 411 (1979), 522
<i>State Ex Rel. Miller v. Smith</i> , 285 S.E. 500 (W. Va. 1981), 338	<i>Washington, State v.</i> , 266 N.W.2d 597 (Wisc. 1978), 226




Table of Constitutions and Statutes

UNITED NATIONS

U.N. Doc. A/CONF. 144/28/Rev. 1 at 189 (1990)., 6

FEDERAL CONSTITUTION

U.S. Constitution Amendment IV. 3
 U.S. Constitution Amendment V., 280, 373
 U.S. Constitution Amendment XIV., 303
 Proposed Victims' Rights Amendment, 775

FEDERAL STATUTES

18 U.S.C. § 1512, 237
 18 U.S.C. § 3509 (a) (7) (g), 232
 18 U.S.C. § 3510, 755
 18 U.S.C. § 3771, 49, 61, 127, 384, 530, 790
 42 U.S.C. § 10606 sec. 502, 463
 Federal Rule of Evidence 412, 597

STATE CONSTITUTIONS

Alaska Constitution, art. 1, § 24, 530
 Arizona Constitution, art. 2 § 2.1, 49, 384, 403
 Connecticut Const. amend. XXIX, 127
 Idaho Const. Art. 1 § 22 (4)., 354
 Illinois Constitution, art. 1 § 8.1(a), (7), 128, 625, 668
 Illinois Constitution, § 8.1 (a) (6)., 365
 Kansas Constitution art. 15 § 15, 530
 Nebraska Constitution, art. 1 § 28, 626
 Ohio Constitution, art. 1 § 10a., 685
 Oregon Constitution, art. 1, §, 360
 South Carolina Const. Art. 1, § 24, 428, 668
 Texas Constitution, art. 1, § 30 (d), 360
 Utah Const. Art. 1 § 28, 128

STATE STATUTES

Ala. Code § 15-14-54, 546
 Alaska Stat. 12.61.140, 176
 Ariz. RCRP Rule 39 (a) (1), 383
 Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 8-290.09, 458
 Ariz. Rev. Stat., tit. 13, § 13-4408 (1996), 260
 Ariz. Rev. Stat., § 13-4433 (B), 400
 Arkansas rule Evid. 616,530
 Calif. Evid. Code § 765
 Calif. Penal Code § 679.01 (b), 50
 Calif. Penal Code § 1048, 384
 Calif. Penal Code § 1112, 414
 Colo. Stat. Ann. 16-10-401, 542
 Colo. Stat. § 18-1-1001., 118, 159
 Colo. Rev. Stat. § 24-4.1-302.5, 128
 Fla. Stat. § 960.001., 404
 Illinois Corp. Stat., ch. 725 CT 120 § 3, 42
 Indiana 33-14-10-6, 111
 Iowa C.A. 7201, 519
 Iowa Code, tit. xvi, § 910A.1., 42
 Kentucky Rev.Stat. § 421.575
 LA. Evid. Art. 615, 530,546
 L.A. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 44.3 (A) (4) (d)
 Maine Stat. Ann. T.5 § 200E., 43
 Mass. Stat. 2583 § 1, 50
 Mass. Gen. Laws 258B § 3, 400
 McKinneys Cons. Law N.Y. § 642, 477
 Michie's Alabama Code § 15-14-SB (1975)., 523
 Michigan Comp. Laws § 780.761, 531
 Michigan Comp. Laws. 780.798 § 6, 477
 Michigan Comp. Laws Ann. ch. 780.826, 51
 NMSA 41-5-4, 353
 N.Y. Civ. Rights Law 50b-c, 176
 N.Y. Crim. Proc. L. § 380.50, 651

TABLE OF CONSTITUTIONS AND STATUTES

Or. Rev. Stat. § 131.007, 50
Or. Rev. Stat. § 135.857, 428
Or. Rev. Stat. § 135.970, 400
Or. Rev. Stat. § 136.060, 439
Or. Rev. Stat. § 163.118 (1) ©, 102
Pennsylvania Con. Stat. 71 § 180-7, 57
Pennsylvania Stat. PS 71 § 180-7, 42

R.I. Gen. Laws § 14-1-66, 432
S.C. Code Ann. § 20-7-50 (1985), 47
Texas Crim. Proc. Code Ann. 56.09, 217
Utah Code Ann. § 77-38-6 (1)

LEGISLATIVE REPORTS

Senate Report No. 108-191, 143

Secondary Authorities

Do Rape Shield Rules Matter?, 25 Loy. L. Rev. 757 (1992). Reprinted with permission of Loyola of Los Angeles Law Review, 598

Mark William Bakke, Repairing the Breach and Reconciling the Discordant Mediation in the Criminal Justice System, 72 N.C. L. Rev. 1479, 1483-90 (1984). Copyright 1984, North Carolina Law Review. Reprinted with permission, 514

Susan Bandes, Empathy, Narrative, and Victim Impact Statements, 63 U. Chi. L. Rev. 361, at 395-402 (1996), 549, 641

Jayne Barnard, Allocation for Victims of Economic Crimes, 77 Notre Dame L. Rev. 39 (2001), 69

Margaret Martin Barry, Protective Order Enforcement: Another Pirouette, Hastings Women's Law Journal vol. 6:2 (Summer 1995). © 1995 by Univ. of Cal. Hastings College of Law, 158

Douglas E. Beloof, The Third Model of Criminal Process: The Third Model of Criminal Procedure: The Crime Control Model, 1999 Utah L. Rev. 289 (1999), 38

Douglas E. Beloof, The Third Wave of Victim's Rights: Standing, Remedy and Review 2005, BYU L. Rev. 255 (2005), 35, 717, 735, 702, 743, 760, 765, 766

Douglas E. Beloof and Joel Shapiro, Let the Truth Be Told: Proposed Hearsay Exceptions to Admit Domestic Violence Victims' Out of Court Statements as Substantive Evidence, 11 Colum. J. Gender & L. 1 (2002), 618

Douglas E. Beloof, Constitutional Implications of Crime Victims as Participants, 88 Cornell L. Rev. 282, 296-97 (2003), 654

Douglas E. Beloof, Enabling Rape Shield Procedures Under Crime Victims' State Constitutional Rights to Privacy, 38 Suffolk L. Rev. 253 (2005), 763

Jennifer Bjorhus, Sexual predator flees home after fliers go up, The Oregonian, Sept. 20, 1997 p.8, The Oregonian Copyright © 1997 Oregonian Publishing Co. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission, 179

Jennifer Gerarda Brown, The Use of Mediation to Resolve Criminal Cases: A Procedural Critique, 43 Emory L. J. 1247 (1994), 517

Sharon Cammack & Patia Pujo, Domestic Violence: A National Epidemic, Houston Lawyer, Oct, 2004 at 42, 104



Paul G. Cassell, Embed the Rights of Victims in the Constitution, L.A. Times, July 6, 1998, 778



xxx

SECONDARY AUTHORITIES

- Paul G. Cassell, Statement of A Proposed Constitutional Amendment to Establish a Bill of Rights For Crime Victims: Hearings Before the Senate Judiciary Committee, 540
- Paul G. Cassell, Barbarians at the Gates? A Reply to the Critics of the Victims' Rights Amendment, 1999 Utah L. Rev. 479, 535, 18, 644
- Paul G. Cassell, Balancing the Scales of Justice: The Case For and the Effects of Utah's Victims' Rights Amendment, 1994 Utah L. Rev. 1373 (1994), 376
- Paul G. Cassell, Statement Before the Senate Judiciary on Victims' Rights Amendment, 783
- Stephen L. Carter, When Victims Happen To Be Black, 97 Yale Law Journal 420, 421-422 (1988), 87
- Sharon Cohen, A Mother's Mission: Ghost of Death Haunts Search for Daughter, L.A. Times, Sept. 2, 1990, A4. Copyright, 1990, Los Angeles Times. Reprinted with permission, 354
- Court TV, Cameras and Victims in Facts and Opinions About Cameras in the Courtroom. Copyright © Courtroom Television Network, 222
- Kelling M. Craig, Examining Hate Motivated Aggression: A Review of the Social Psychological Literature on Hate Crimes, A Distinct Form of Aggression, 7 Aggression & Violent Behavior 86 (2002), 92
- Kenneth Davis, Discretionary Justice: A Preliminary Inquiry (1969) Reprinted by permission of Louisiana State University Press. Copyright © 1969 by Louisiana University Press, 269
- Peter Davis, Rodney King and the Decriminalization of Police Brutality in America: Direct and Judicial Access to the Grand Jury as Remedies For Victims of Police Brutality: When the Prosecution Declines to Prosecute, 53 Maryland L. Rev. 263 ©Maryland Law Review, 358
- Jessica Dayton, The Silencing of Women's Choice: Mandatory Arrest and No Prosecution Policies in Domestic Violence Cases, 9 Cardozo Women's L.J. 281 (2003), 114, 122
- Markus D. Dubber, Victims in the War on Crime: The Use and Abuse of Victims' Rights (2002), 50, 52, 652
- Robert Elias, The Politics of Victimization: Victim's Victimology and Human Rights 173-77 (1986) © 1986 Oxford University Press Inc. Used by Permission of Oxford University Press Inc., 17, 22
- Susan Estrich, Palm Beach Stories, 11 Law & Phil. 5 (1992) © 1992 Kluwe Academic Publishers. Reprinted with permission, 604
- George P. Fletcher, With Justice for Some: Protecting Victims' Rights in Criminal Trials (1995) © 1995 by George P. Fletcher. Reprinted by permission of Addison Wesley Longman, 495, 528
- Peter Finn and Kerry Murphy Healey, Relocating Intimidated Witnesses: An Underutilized Protection Approach, 30-DEC Prosecutor 24 (Nov/Dec, Iss. No. 0027-6383, 1996) National District Attys. Assoc. Reprinted with permission National District Attorneys Association, The Prosecutor Magazine, 1998, 144



SECONDARY AUTHORITIES

xxxi

- Clifford S. Fishman and Anne T. McKenna, Wiretapping and Eavesdropping §§7:23-7:26 (2d ed. 1995) © WestGroup. Reprinted with permission, 251
- Christopher R. Frank, Criminal Protection Orders in Domestic Violence Cases: Getting Rid of Rats with Snakes, 50 Univ. Miami L. Rev. 919 (1996) © 1998 University of Miami Law Review. Reprinted with permission, 148
- Harriet R. Galvin, Shielding Rape Victims in the State and Federal Courts: A Proposal for the Second Decade, 70 Minn. L. Rev. 763, 830-34, 605
- Donald G. Gifford, Equal Protection and the Prosecutor's Changing Decision: Enforcing an Ideal, 49 Geo. Wash. L. Rev. 65, 711-17 (1981). Reprinted with permission of the publisher, copyright © 1981 by the George Washington Law Review, 315
- Josephine Gittler, Expanding the Role of the Victim, 11 Pepperdine L. Rev. 117, 145-49, 249 (Symposium, Aug. 1984), 22, 273
- Abraham Goldstein, Defining The Role of the Victim in Criminal Prosecution, 52 Mississippi Law Journal 1 (1982), 12, 21, 308, 476
- Abraham S. Goldstein, Converging Criminal Justice Systems: Guilty Pleas and the Public Interest, 49 SMU L. Rev. 575-77 (1996), 487
- Martin S. Greenberg and R. Barry Ruback, After the Crime, Victim Decision Making (1992) © 1992 Plenum Publishing, 24
- Paul Gustafson, Civil Verdict Will Not End Parents Quest, News, Star-Tribune Newspaper of the Twin Cities, Mpls.-St. Paul (Tuesday, June 24, 1997), 284
- Donald J. Hall, Victims' Voices in Criminal Court: The Need for Restraint, 28 Am. Crim. L. Rev. 122 (1991). Reprinted with the permission of the publisher Georgetown University and the American Criminal Law Review, © 1991, 655
- Cheryl Hanna, No Right To Choose: Mandated Victim Participation in Domestic Violence Prosecutions, 109 Harvard L. Rev., 1849, pp.1865-66, 1887-88, 1892-95 (1996), 264
- Alan T. Harland, Monetary Remedies for the Victims of Crime: Assessing the Role of the Criminal Courts, 30 UCLA L. Rev. 52 (1982) Copyright 1982. The Regents of the University of California. All rights reserved, 669
- Lynne Henderson, Whose Justice, Which Victims, 94 Mich. L. Rev. 1596, 1606-07 (1996), Copyright 1996 by the Michigan Law Review Association, 496
- Lynn N. Henderson, The Wrongs of Victim's Rights, 37 Stanford L. Rev. 937 (1985), © by the Board of Trustees of the Leland Stanford, Jr. University, 448
- Gail Heriot, An Essay on the Civil Criminal Distinction With Special Reference to Punitive Damages, 1 The Journal of Contemporary Legal Issues 43, 49-50 (1996), 13
- Heidi M. Hurd & Michael S. Moore, Punishing Hatred and Prejudice, 56 Stan. L. Rev. 1081 (2004), 94
- Tom Jicha, The Risk of Forgiveness on 48 Hours, Mercy Raises Troubling Questions, Sun-Sentinel, Oct. 2, 1997. Reprinted with permission From the Sun-Sentinel, Fort Lauderdale, Florida, 652
- Meg Jones, Gory, Gory, Game: Web Action Site eBay Banning Sale of Murderers' Merchandise, Milwaukee Journal Sentinel, May 16, 2001, 687

- Matti Joutsen, *Listening to the Victim: The Victim's Role in European Criminal Systems*, 34 *Wayne L. Rev.* 95, 113-114 (1995), 277, 565
- Andrew A. Karmen, *Who's Against Victims' Rights? The Nature of the Opposition to Pro-victim Initiatives in Criminal Justice*, 8 *St. John's Journal of Legal Commentary* 157 (1992), 29
- Deborah P. Kelly, PhD., *Victim's Perceptions of Criminal Justice*, 11 *Pepperdine L. Rev.* 15, 16 (1984), 20
- Wayne A. Kerstetter & Barrick Van Winkle, *Who Decides? A Study of the Complainant's Decision to Prosecute in Rape Cases*, 17 *Crim. J. & Beh.* 268-270 (1990), 262
- Elizabeth Kessler, *Pattern of Sexual Conduct Evidence and Present Consent: Limiting the Admissibility of Sexual History Evidence in Rape Prosecutions*, 14 *Women's Rights L. Rptr.* 79 (1992), 600
- Wayne R. LaFave, 1 *Search and Seizure* §1.8 (3d ed. 1996) (multi-volume treatise) ©WestGroup. Reprinted with permission, 245
- Katie Long, Note, *Community Input at Sentencing: Victim's Right or Victim's Revenge?*, 75 *Boston Univ. L. Rev.* 195-95, 198-201. Reprinted with permission © 1995 Board of Trustees of Boston University, 665
- Daniel E. Lungren, Panel II: *The Accused As Victim-The Case of Criminal Law*, 19 *Harvard J. of L. & Pub. Pol.* 695 (1996). Copyright © 1996 by the Harvard Society for Law and Public Policy Inc, 445
- Michael Luttig, *Victim Impact, Statement of*, Reprinted in *Texas Lawyer*, Mr. 20, 1995 at 4, 626
- Paul Marcus and Tora McMahon, *Limiting Disclosure of Rape Victims' Identities*, 64 *S. Cal. L. Rev.* 1019 (1991), 181
- William F. McDonald, *Towards a Bicentennial Revolution in Criminal Justice: The Return of the Victim*, 13 *Amer. Crim L. Rev.* 649 (1976), 5
- Maureen McLeod, *Victim Non-cooperation in the Prosecution of Domestic Violence*, 21:1 *Criminology* 395 (1983), 106
- Linda G. Mills, *Killing Her Softly: Intimate Abuse and the Violence of State Intervention*, 113 *Harv. L. Rev.* 590 (1999), 125
- Frank W. Miller, *Prosecution: The Decision to Charge a Suspect with a Crime* (1969) © 1969. Reprinted with permission Aspen Law & Business/ Panel Publishes, a division of Aspen Publishers Inc., 261
- Robert P. Mosteller, *Essay, Victim's Rights and the United States Constitution: An Effort to Recast the Battle in Criminal Litigation*, 85 *Geo. L. Rev.* 1691, 1698-1704 (1997). Reprinted with permission of the publisher Georgetown University and Georgetown Law Review, 537
- Robert P. Mosteller, *The Unnecessary Victims' Rights Amendment*, 1999 *Utah L. Rev.* 443, 785
- Wendy Murphy, *Cameras In the Courtroom: Serving the Public's Right to Know or Boosting of the Media Profits?*, 3 *The Crime Victims' Report* 33 (July 1999), 218

SECONDARY AUTHORITIES

xxxiii

- Wendy J. Murphy, Rape Shield Laws Wrongly Protect Interrogation of Victims, *Daily Journal Newswire*, April 2, 2004, 606
- National Victim Center, Comparison of White and Non-White Crime Victim Responses Regarding Victims Rights, 694
- David M. Nissman and Ed Hagen, *Law of Confessions* (2d ed. 1994) © WestGroup. Reprinted with permission, 253
- R.P. Peerenboom, The Victim in Chinese Criminal Theory and Practice: A Historical Survey, 7 *Journal of Chinese Law* 105-106 (Spring 1993), 566
- William T. Pizzi and Walter Perron, Crime Victims in German Courtrooms: A Comparative Perspective on American Problems, 32 *Stan. L. Rev.* 37, 54-59 (1996). Reprinted with the permission of the *Stanford Journal of International Law*, Copyright © 1996 by the Board of Trustees of the Leland Stanford, Jr. University, 567
- Elizabeth Rappaport, the Death Penalty and Gender Discrimination, 25 *Law & Society Rev.* 2 (1991). Reprinted by permission of the Law and Society Association, 98
- Kalyani Robbins, No-Drop Prosecution of Domestic Violence: Just Good Policy, or Equal Protection Mandate?, 52 *Stan. L. Rev.* 205 (2000), 118
- Dennis P. Saccuzzo, How Should the Police Respond to Domestic Violence?: A Therapeutic Jurisprudence Analysis of Mandatory Arrest, 39 *Santa Clara L. Rev.* 765 (1999), 113
- Stephen J. Schulhofer, The Trouble with Trials; The Trouble with Us, 105 *Yale L.J.* 825 (1995), 16, 496
- Jeanie Senior, Lawyer's Comments Anger Victim, *The Oregonian*, Fri., Oct. 10, 1997, 696
- Christopher Slobogin, Technologically-Assisted Physical Surveillance: The American Bar Association's Tentative Draft Standards, 10 *Harvard Journal of Law & Technology* v.3, 383, 428-30 (1997), 250
- Elizabeth Anne Stanko, The Impact of Victim Assessment On Prosecutors' Screening Decisions: The Case of the New York County District Attorney's Office, 16 *Law & Society Rev.* v.2, pp. 225-237 (1981), 77
- Laurence H. Tribe, Statement on Victims' Rights, Harvard University Law School, April 15, 1997, 787
- Laurence H. Tribe, Embed the Rights of Victims in the Constitution, *L.A. Times*, July 6, 1998, 778
- Steven J. Twist, the Crime Victims' Rights Amendment and Two Good Perfect Things, 1999 *Utah L. Rev.*, 778
- Mark S. Umbreit, Ph.D., Survivors of Homicide Victims Confront Offenders, *The Crime Victims Report*, v. 1, no. 1 (1997), 689
- Sarah N. Welling, Victim Participation in Plea Bargains, 65 *Wash. U. L. Q.* 301, 310-13 (1987), 478, 701



xxxiv

SECONDARY AUTHORITIES

Sarah Welling, *Victims in the Criminal Process: A Utilitarian Analysis of Victim Participation in the Charging Decision*, 30 *Ariz. L. Rev.* 85, 114 (1988) Copyright © 1988 by the Arizona Board of Regents, 259, 271


Jack Wenik, *Forcing Bystander to Get Involved: Case for Statute Requiring Witnesses to Report Crime*, 94 *Yale L.J.* 1787 (1985), 128

Wharton's Criminal Law © WestGroup. Reprinted with permission, 459

Michelle M. Zehnder, *A Step Forward: Rule 803 (25), A New Approach to Child Hearsay Statements*, 20 *Wm. Mitchell L. Rev.* 875 (1994), 608

Joan Zorza, *Mandatory Arrest for Domestic Violence: Why it may prove the best step in curbing repeat abuse*, 10 *Criminal Justice v.3*, p.2 (1995), 111

5 *Am. Jur. 2d*, *Arrest, Arrest by Private Person*, § 56-58 (1995) © WestGroup. Reprinted with permission, 256



Preface to the Second Edition

In the Preface to the First Edition I (then the sole author) wrote that “the role of the victim is expanding” and that the state of victim law education in the legal academy was “unfortunate” in that few academics or law students were educated or receiving education on the topic.



Professor Erin O’Hara of Vanderbilt has recently written: “Given that virtually all law professors were trained in criminal law classes that ignored victim involvement in the criminal justice process, it is perhaps not surprising that it is considered heretical to suggest that direct participation by victims might be warranted. Indirect participation by victims and even the attendance of victims at criminal proceedings are likewise viewed by many as problematic. In the legal academy, any other state of affairs threatens the very foundations of justice.”

The marginalization of crime victim law by criminal procedure legal academics remains astonishing. By way of analogy, it would be as if civil procedure professors failed to teach the laws of intervention. In the real world, for prosecutors, defense counsel, victim lawyers, legislatures, judges, it is simply impossible to ignore the significance and expanding role of victims in criminal procedure. The academy is not meeting their educational needs.

I am joined in this Second Edition by two co-authors who are among the nation’s foremost experts in the field, United States District Court Judge and Professor of Law at Utah-Paul Cassell, and Steve Twist, crime victim lawyer, adjunct Professor of Victim Law at Arizona State University and Counsel for many years in drafting and redrafting the proposed Victims’ Amendment to the United States Constitution. I am grateful for their willingness to contribute. The Second Edition is much improved as a result.

Finally, I would like to acknowledge our publisher Carolina Academic Press and its Board of Advisors for perceiving that the topic Victims in Criminal Procedure is an important area of criminal procedure.

Professor Douglas Beloof



Preface to the First Edition

Criminal procedure cannot be truly understood without understanding the victim's role. This book is the product of a seminar I have taught for several years at Northwestern School of Law, Lewis and Clark College. The seminar has become so popular with the students that the seminar will soon become a criminal procedure class.

The book is divided up into procedural stages. This structure mimics conventional criminal procedure casebooks. Contrary to conventional criminal procedure casebooks, which have ignored or minimized the significance of the victim, this book consciously focuses on the victim's role in the criminal process. As a result, almost none of the material is found in conventional criminal procedure casebooks. I have taught the course both to students who had, and had not, taken other criminal procedure courses and no problems arose.

The role of the victim is expanding. In terms of legal evolution, this expansion is occurring quite rapidly. It probably represents the single greatest "revolution" in criminal procedure in twenty years. In most fields of law one would expect such a new and dynamic set of laws to have a prominent place in the education of law students. Yet, this has not proven to be true in the education of criminal procedure students. I have found that many legal academics, including those who teach criminal law and procedure, remain uniformed about the role of the victim. Most law students, including those who intend to practice criminal law and procedure, graduate from law schools having had no significant exposure to the law of victims in criminal procedure. This state of academic affairs is unfortunate.

I can be reached at beloof@lclark.edu

