

American Constitutional Law

American Constitutional Law
by Louis Fisher and Katy J. Harriger

is available in two formats:

SINGLE VOLUME HARDCOVER EDITION

American Constitutional Law

TWO VOLUME PAPERBACK EDITION

VOLUME 1

Constitutional Structures
Separated Powers and Federalism

VOLUME 2

Constitutional Rights
Civil Rights and Civil Liberties

American Constitutional Law

Eighth Edition

Louis Fisher

Katy J. Harriger

CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS

Durham, North Carolina

Copyright © 2009 by Louis Fisher and Katy J. Harriger.
All rights reserved.

ISBN 978-1-59460-623-6

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Fisher, Louis.

American constitutional law / Louis Fisher, Katy J. Harriger. — 8th ed.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-1-59460-623-6 (alk. paper)

1. Constitutional law—United States. 2. Civil rights—United States. I. Harriger, Katy J. (Katy Jean) II. Title.

KF4550.F568 2008

342.73—dc22

2008049726

CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS
700 Kent Street
Durham, North Carolina 27701
Telephone (919) 489-7486
Fax (919) 493-5668
e-mail cap@cap-press.com

Printed in the United States of America

TO THE LAW LIBRARY—LOUIS FISHER

TO MY FATHER, RUSSELL E. HARRIGER—KATY J. HARRIGER

Summary of Contents

INTRODUCTION	xix
1 CONSTITUTIONAL POLITICS	3
2 THE DOCTRINE OF JUDICIAL REVIEW	33
3 THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS: HUSBANDING POWER AND PRESTIGE	77
4 JUDICIAL ORGANIZATION	117
5 DECISION MAKING: PROCESS AND STRATEGY	143
6 SEPARATION OF POWERS: DOMESTIC CONFLICTS	167
7 SEPARATION OF POWERS: EMERGENCIES AND FOREIGN AFFAIRS	247
8 FEDERAL-STATE RELATIONS	303
9 ECONOMIC LIBERTIES	389
10 FREE SPEECH IN A DEMOCRATIC SOCIETY	435
11 FREEDOM OF THE PRESS	491
12 RELIGIOUS FREEDOM	551
13 DUE PROCESS OF LAW	621
14 SEARCH AND SEIZURE	697
15 RACIAL DISCRIMINATION	753
16 THE EXPANSION OF EQUAL PROTECTION	825
17 RIGHTS OF PRIVACY	885
18 POLITICAL PARTICIPATION	949
19 EFFORTS TO CURB THE COURT	1023
APPENDICES	1059
TABLE OF CASES	1087
INDEX	1131

Contents

ABOUT THE AUTHORS	xv	Martin v. Hunter's Lessee	
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	xvii	14 U.S. (1 Wheat.) 304 (1816)	51
INTRODUCTION	xix	Cohens v. Virginia	
1 CONSTITUTIONAL POLITICS	3	19 U.S. (6 Wheat.) 264 (1821)	53
A. Litigation as a Political Process	5	D. Constraints on Judicial Review	55
B. Lobbying the Courts	7	Readings:	
C. The Executive in Court	9	Eakin v. Raub: Gibson's Dissent	
Reading:		12 S. & R. 330 (Pa. 1825)	59
Steel Seizure Case of 1952: Oral		The Boundaries of Judicial Review:	
Argument Before the District Court	12	Interview with Justice Powell	61
D. Congressional Duties	13	E. Methods of Constitutional Interpretation	62
Reading:		Readings:	
Raines v. Byrd		The Doctrine of Original Intent:	
521 U.S. 811 (1997)	16	Attorney General Meese versus	
E. Judge as Lawmaker	18	Justice Brennan	69
F. Judge as Administrator	19	The Natural Law Debate: Frankfurter	
G. Independent State Action	20	Against Black	71
H. Who Has the "Last Word"?	22	Stare Decisis	73
Readings:		Notes and Questions	73
Congress Responds to the Sedition Act	24	Selected Readings	74
Jackson's Veto of the Bank Bill	25	3 THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS:	
The Senate Debates Jackson's		HUSBANDING POWER AND PRESTIGE	77
Veto Message	26	A. Cases and Controversies	77
Lincoln's Critique of <i>Dred Scott</i>	27	Readings:	
WALTER F. MURPHY, Who Shall		Ashwander v. TVA	
Interpret?	29	(The Brandeis Rules)	
Notes and Questions	30	297 U.S. 288 (1936)	81
Selected Readings	31	Bellotti v. Baird (Advisory Opinions)	
2 THE DOCTRINE OF JUDICIAL REVIEW	33	443 U.S. 622 (1979)	82
A. Sources of Judicial Review Authority	33	B. Standing to Sue	82
B. The Framers' Intent	36	Readings:	
Reading:		Frothingham v. Mellon	
ALEXANDER HAMILTON,		262 U.S. 447 (1923)	87
Federalist No. 78	39	Flast v. Cohen	
C. The Road to <i>Marbury</i>	40	392 U.S. 83 (1968)	88
Readings:		United States v. Richardson	
Marbury v. Madison		418 U.S. 166 (1974)	92
5 U.S. (1 Cr.) 137 (1803)	44	Allen v. Wright	
WILLIAM W. VAN ALSTYNE, A Critical		468 U.S. 737 (1984)	94
Guide to <i>Marbury v. Madison</i>	48	C. Mootness	96
		Reading:	
		DeFunis v. Odegaard	
		416 U.S. 312 (1974)	98

D. Ripeness	100	Congress Interprets the Ineligibility Clause	171
Reading:		Presidential Signing Statements	172
Poe v. Ullman		Response in Congress to Signing Statement Controversy	173
367 U.S. 497 (1961)	102	Madison's Analysis of the Separation Doctrine	174
E. Political Questions	103	B. Presidential Power	176
Readings:		Reading:	
Baker v. Carr		Hamilton on Executive Power	177
369 U.S. 186 (1962)	106	C. Creating the Executive Departments	179
Nixon v. United States		Readings:	
506 U.S. 224 (1993)	108	Bowsler v. Synar	
Virtues and Vices:		478 U.S. 714 (1986)	183
Bickel versus Gunther	111	Attorney General Opinion on Ministerial Duties	186
F. Equitable Discretion	113	Morrison v. Olson	
Notes and Questions	113	487 U.S. 654 (1988)	187
Selected Readings	114	D. Appointments and Removals	192
4 JUDICIAL ORGANIZATION	117	Readings:	
A. Federal Court System	117	Buckley v. Valeo	
B. Legislative and Specialized Courts	121	424 U.S. 1 (1976)	195
C. The Appointment Process	125	Myers v. United States	
Reading:		272 U.S. 52 (1926)	197
Nomination Hearings of Sandra Day O'Connor	129	Humphrey's Executor v. United States	
D. Tenure, Removal, and Compensation	131	295 U.S. 602 (1935)	200
E. Judicial Lobbying	136	E. Delegation of Legislative Power	202
Reading:		Readings:	
Burger on Judicial Lobbying	138	Hampton & Co. v. United States	
Notes and Questions	140	276 U.S. 394 (1928)	205
Selected Readings	140	Schechter Corp. v. United States	
5 DECISION MAKING: PROCESS AND STRATEGY	143	295 U.S. 495 (1935)	206
A. Jurisdiction: Original and Appellate	144	F. Congressional Oversight	209
B. The Writ of Certiorari	145	Readings:	
Reading:		Clinton v. City of New York	
DAVID LAUTER, Certiorari Strategies	148	524 U.S. 417 (1998)	213
C. From Oral Argument to Decision	149	INS v. Chadha	
Reading:		462 U.S. 919 (1983)	216
FRANK M. COFFIN, The Process of Writing a Decision	155	LOUIS FISHER, Legislative Vetoes After <i>Chadha</i>	219
D. Unanimity and Dissent	156	G. Investigations and Executive Privilege	221
Readings:		Readings:	
WILLIAM O. DOUGLAS, The Dissent: A Safeguard of Democracy	160	Watkins v. United States	
ROBERT H. JACKSON, The Limitation of Dissent	161	354 U.S. 178 (1957)	226
E. Caseload Burdens	162	Barenblatt v. United States	
Notes and Questions	164	360 U.S. 109 (1959)	229
Selected Readings	164	United States v. Nixon	
6 SEPARATION OF POWERS: DOMESTIC CONFLICTS	167	418 U.S. 683 (1974)	232
A. The Separation Doctrine	167	Negotiating Executive Privilege: The AT&T Cases	235
Readings:		Clinton v. Jones	
Congress Interprets the Ineligibility Clause		520 U.S. 681 (1997)	237
Presidential Signing Statements		H. Congressional Membership and Prerogatives	240
Response in Congress to Signing Statement Controversy			
Madison's Analysis of the Separation Doctrine			
B. Presidential Power			
Reading:			
Hamilton on Executive Power			
C. Creating the Executive Departments			
Readings:			
Bowsler v. Synar			
478 U.S. 714 (1986)			
Attorney General Opinion on Ministerial Duties			
Morrison v. Olson			
487 U.S. 654 (1988)			
D. Appointments and Removals			
Readings:			
Buckley v. Valeo			
424 U.S. 1 (1976)			
Myers v. United States			
272 U.S. 52 (1926)			
Humphrey's Executor v. United States			
295 U.S. 602 (1935)			
E. Delegation of Legislative Power			
Readings:			
Hampton & Co. v. United States			
276 U.S. 394 (1928)			
Schechter Corp. v. United States			
295 U.S. 495 (1935)			
F. Congressional Oversight			
Readings:			
Clinton v. City of New York			
524 U.S. 417 (1998)			
INS v. Chadha			
462 U.S. 919 (1983)			
LOUIS FISHER, Legislative Vetoes After <i>Chadha</i>			
219			
G. Investigations and Executive Privilege			
Readings:			
Watkins v. United States			
354 U.S. 178 (1957)			
Barenblatt v. United States			
360 U.S. 109 (1959)			
United States v. Nixon			
418 U.S. 683 (1974)			
Negotiating Executive Privilege: The AT&T Cases			
235			
Clinton v. Jones			
520 U.S. 681 (1997)			
H. Congressional Membership and Prerogatives			
240			

Reading:		8 FEDERAL-STATE RELATIONS	303
Powell v. McCormack		A. The Principle of Federalism	303
395 U.S. 486 (1969)	242	Readings:	
Conclusions	245	McCulloch v. Maryland	
Notes and Questions	245	17 U.S. (4 Wheat.) 315 (1819)	309
Selected Readings	245	Missouri v. Holland	
7 SEPARATION OF POWERS:		252 U.S. 416 (1920)	313
EMERGENCIES AND FOREIGN AFFAIRS	247	B. The Commerce Clause	314
A. External and Internal Affairs	247	Readings:	
Readings:		Gibbons v. Ogden	
United States v. Curtiss-Wright Corp.		22 U.S. (9 Wheat.) 1 (1824)	318
299 U.S. 304 (1936)	250	Cooley v. Board of Wardens	
Congress Interprets <i>Curtiss-Wright</i> :		53 U.S. 299 (1852)	320
The Iran-Contra Report	252	Congress Overturns	
Haig v. Agee		<i>Leisy v. Hardin</i>	322
453 U.S. 280 (1981)	253	C. Nationalization of the Economy	324
B. An Executive Prerogative?	256	Readings:	
Readings:		Champion v. Ames (Lottery Case)	
The Prize Cases		188 U.S. 321 (1903)	325
2 Black (67 U.S.) 635 (1863)	259	Hammer v. Dagenhart	
Ex parte Milligan		247 U.S. 251 (1918)	327
4 Wall. (71 U.S.) 2 (1866)	261	Bailey v. Drexel Furniture Co.	
Korematsu v. United States		(Child Labor Tax Case)	
323 U.S. 214 (1944)	263	259 U.S. 20 (1922)	329
Youngstown Co. v. Sawyer		United States v. Darby	
343 U.S. 579 (1952)	265	312 U.S. 100 (1941)	330
New York Times Co. v. United States		D. The New Deal Watershed	332
403 U.S. 713 (1971)	268	Readings:	
ERWIN N. GRISWOLD, How Sensitive		Carter v. Carter Coal Co.	
Were the “Pentagon Papers”?	270	298 U.S. 238 (1936)	335
C. Treaties and Executive Agreements	271	NLRB v. Jones & Laughlin	
Readings:		301 U.S. 1 (1937)	337
Goldwater v. Carter		Wickard v. Filburn	
444 U.S. 996 (1979)	274	317 U.S. 111 (1942)	340
Dames & Moore v. Regan		E. From <i>National League to Garcia</i>	342
453 U.S. 654 (1981)	277	Readings:	
D. The War Power	279	National League of Cities v. Usery	
Readings:		426 U.S. 833 (1976)	343
Hamdi v. Rumsfeld		Garcia v. San Antonio Metro.	
542 U.S. 507 (2004)	286	Transit Auth.	
Hamdan v. Rumsfeld		469 U.S. 528 (1985)	345
548 U.S. 557 (2006)	289	F. State Powers Revived	347
Boumediene v. Bush		Readings:	
553 U.S. ____ (2008)	291	United States v. Lopez	
War Powers Resolution	294	514 U.S. 549 (1995)	352
Dellums v. Bush		United States v. Morrison	
752 F.Supp. 1141 (D.D.C. 1990)	296	529 U.S. 598 (2000)	355
Campbell v. Clinton		Granholt v. Heald	
203 F.3d 19 (D.C. Cir. 2000)	298	544 U.S. 460 (2005)	358
E. Rights of Citizenship	299	Gonzales v. Raich	
Conclusions	301	545 U.S. 1 (2005)	360
Notes and Questions	301	Gonzales v. Oregon	
Selected Readings	302	546 U.S. 243 (2006)	362

11 FREEDOM OF THE PRESS	491	Minersville School District v. Gobitis	
A. The Evolution of Press Freedoms	491	310 U.S. 586 (1940)	562
Readings:		West Virginia State Board of	
JOHN MILTON, <i>Areopagitica</i> (1644)	493	Education v. Barnette	
House Debate on the Sedition Act		319 U.S. 624 (1943)	563
of 1798	494	Goldman v. Weinberger	
B. Regulating the Press	496	475 U.S. 503 (1986)	566
Readings:		Congress Reverses <i>Goldman</i>	567
Near v. Minnesota		C. Establishment Clause	569
283 U.S. 697 (1931)	501	Readings:	
New York Times Co. v. United States		Lynch v. Donnelly	
403 U.S. 713 (1971)	503	465 U.S. 668 (1984)	576
Branzburg v. Hayes		Allegheny County v. Greater	
408 U.S. 665 (1972)	506	Pittsburgh ACLU	
C. Free Press vs. Fair Trial	508	492 U.S. 573 (1989)	578
Readings:		Employment Division v. Smith	
Nebraska Press Assn. v. Stuart		494 U.S. 872 (1990)	580
427 U.S. 539 (1976)	510	Congress Reacts to <i>Smith</i>	582
Gannett Co. v. DePasquale		Boerne v. Flores	
443 U.S. 368 (1979)	513	521 U.S. 507 (1997)	583
Richmond Newspapers, Inc. v. Virginia		D. Financial Assistance to Sectarian Schools	586
448 U.S. 555 (1980)	515	Readings:	
D. Libel Law	516	Everson v. Board of Education	
Readings:		330 U.S. 1 (1947)	594
New York Times Co. v. Sullivan		Lemon v. Kurtzman	
376 U.S. 254 (1964)	520	403 U.S. 602 (1971)	596
Gertz v. Robert Welch, Inc.		Zelman v. Simmons-Harris	
418 U.S. 323 (1974)	524	536 U.S. 639 (2002)	598
Hustler Magazine v. Falwell		E. Religious Instruction and Prayers	600
485 U.S. 46 (1988)	526	Readings:	
E. Obscenity	528	Engel v. Vitale	
Readings:		370 U.S. 421 (1962)	606
Roth v. United States		Congressional Hearings on	
354 U.S. 476 (1957)	536	School Prayer (1964)	608
Miller v. California		Wallace v. Jaffree	
413 U.S. 15 (1973)	538	472 U.S. 38 (1985)	609
Paris Adult Theatre I v. Slaton		Lee v. Weisman	
413 U.S. 49 (1973)	540	505 U.S. 577 (1992)	611
New York v. Ferber		Santa Fe Independent Sch. Dist. v. Doe	
458 U.S. 747 (1982)	542	530 U.S. 290 (2000)	613
Reno v. ACLU		McCreary County v. ACLU	
521 U.S. 844 (1997)	544	545 U.S. 844 (2005)	615
Conclusions	547	F. Nine Justices in Search of a Model	616
Notes and Questions	548	Notes and Questions	617
Selected Readings	548	Selected Readings	618
12 RELIGIOUS FREEDOM	551	13 DUE PROCESS OF LAW	621
A. The Virginia Statute	552	A. The Concept of Due Process	621
Readings:		Reading:	
Virginia Statute for Establishing		Powell v. Alabama	
Religious Freedom (1786)	553	287 U.S. 45 (1932)	623
House Debate on the Religion Clauses		B. Due Process for Juveniles	625
(1789)	555	Reading:	
B. Free Exercise Clause	556	In re Gault	
Readings:		387 U.S. 1 (1967)	627

C. Grand Juries and Jury Trials	629	United States v. Ross	721
Readings:		456 U.S. 798 (1982)	
Duncan v. Louisiana		Terry v. Ohio	
391 U.S. 145 (1968)	635	392 U.S. 1 (1968)	723
Apodaca v. Oregon		Chimel v. California	
406 U.S. 404 (1972)	637	395 U.S. 752 (1969)	725
Ballew v. Georgia		New Jersey v. T.L.O.	
435 U.S. 223 (1978)	639	469 U.S. 325 (1985)	727
D. Fundamentals of a Fair Trial	641	D. Electronic Eavesdropping	730
Reading:		Readings:	
Palko v. Connecticut		Olmstead v. United States	
302 U.S. 319 (1937)	646	277 U.S. 438 (1928)	735
E. Self-Incrimination	648	Katz v. United States	
Reading:		389 U.S. 347 (1967)	737
Mallory v. United States		E. The Exclusionary Rule	739
354 U.S. 449 (1957)	652	Readings:	
F. Assistance of Counsel	654	Weeks v. United States	
Reading:		232 U.S. 383 (1914)	744
Gideon v. Wainwright		Mapp v. Ohio	
372 U.S. 335 (1963)	659	367 U.S. 643 (1961)	746
Escobedo v. Illinois		United States v. Leon	
378 U.S. 478 (1964)	661	468 U.S. 897 (1984)	748
Miranda v. Arizona		Conclusions	750
384 U.S. 436 (1966)	663	Notes and Questions	751
Oregon v. Elstad		Selected Readings	751
470 U.S. 298 (1985)	665	15 RACIAL DISCRIMINATION	753
Dickerson v. United States		A. Slavery	753
530 U.S. 428 (2000)	668	Reading:	
G. The Eighth Amendment	670	Dred Scott v. Sandford	
Readings:		60 U.S. (19 How.) 393 (1857)	757
Furman v. Georgia		B. Civil War Amendments	760
408 U.S. 238 (1972)	678	Readings:	
Gregg v. Georgia		Civil Rights Cases	
428 U.S. 153 (1976)	681	109 U.S. 3 (1883)	764
JUSTICE LEWIS POWELL, JR., The Death		Plessy v. Ferguson	
Penalty and Public Opinion	683	163 U.S. 537 (1896)	766
H. Prisoners' Rights	684	C. School Desegregation	769
I. The Right to Bear Arms	688	Readings:	
Reading:		Brown v. Board of Education	
District of Columbia v. Heller		347 U.S. 483 (1954)	778
554 U.S. ____ (2008)	690	Bolling v. Sharpe	
Notes and Questions	695	347 U.S. 497 (1954)	781
Selected Readings	696	Brown v. Board of Education	
14 SEARCH AND SEIZURE	697	349 U.S. 294 (1955)	782
A. Expectations of Privacy	697	Cooper v. Aaron	
B. Arrest and Search Warrants	698	358 U.S. 1 (1958)	783
Reading:		Swann v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg	
Congress Responds to <i>Zurcher</i>	701	Bd. of Ed., 402 U.S. 1 (1971)	785
C. Exceptions to the Warrant Requirement	703	Milliken v. Bradley	
Readings:		418 U.S. 717 (1974)	786
Coolidge v. New Hampshire		Parents Involved in Community Schools v.	
403 U.S. 443 (1971)	717	Seattle School District No. 1	
California v. Ciraolo		551 U. S. ____ (2007)	788
476 U.S. 207 (1986)	719		

D. Desegregating Other Activities	793	Yick Wo v. Hopkins	
Readings:		118 U.S. 356 (1886)	864
Shelley v. Kraemer		Plyler v. Doe	
334 U.S. 1 (1948)	796	457 U.S. 202 (1982)	866
Jones v. Mayer Co.		D. Rights of the Poor	871
392 U.S. 409 (1968)	798	Readings:	
Congress Interprets the Commerce		Shapiro v. Thompson	
Clause	800	394 U.S. 618 (1969)	874
Heart of Atlanta Motel v. United States		San Antonio School Dist. v. Rodriguez	
379 U.S. 241 (1964)	801	411 U.S. 1 (1973)	876
E. Employment and Affirmative Action	803	E. Equal Protection for Gays and Lesbians	878
Regents of the University of California v.		Reading:	
Bakke, 438 U. S. 265 (1978)	812	Romer v. Evans	
Fullilove v. Klutznick		517 U.S. 620 (1996)	879
448 U.S. 448 (1980)	815	Conclusions	883
Richmond v. Croson Co.		Notes and Questions	883
488 U.S. 469 (1989)	817	Selected Readings	883
Adarand Constructors, Inc. v. Pena		17 RIGHTS OF PRIVACY	885
515 U.S. 200 (1995)	819	A. Dimensions of Privacy	885
Conclusions	822	Readings:	
Notes And Questions	822	Buck v. Bell	
Selected Readings	823	274 U.S. 200 (1927)	889
16 THE EXPANSION OF		Stanley v. Georgia	
EQUAL PROTECTION	825	394 U.S. 557 (1969)	890
A. The Struggle for Women's Rights	825	B. Use of Contraceptives	892
Readings:		Reading:	
Bradwell v. State		Griswold v. Connecticut	
83 U.S. 130 (1873)	830	381 U.S. 479 (1965)	894
Congress Responds to <i>Bradwell</i>	832	C. Reproductive Freedom	896
Equal Pay Act of 1963:		Readings:	
Congressional Debate	833	Roe v. Wade	
Civil Rights Act of 1964:		410 U.S. 113 (1973)	905
Congressional Debate	834	Hyde Amendment of 1976:	
B. Contemporary Gender Issues	836	Congressional Debate	908
Readings:		Harris v. McRae	
Frontiero v. Richardson		448 U.S. 297 (1980)	909
411 U.S. 677 (1973)	844	Akron v. Akron Center for Reproductive	
Craig v. Boren		Health, 462 U.S. 416 (1983)	911
429 U.S. 190 (1976)	846	Planned Parenthood v. Casey	
Michael M. v. Sonoma County Superior		505 U.S. 833 (1992)	914
Court, 450 U.S. 464 (1981)	848	Stenberg v. Carhart	
Personnel Administrator of Mass. v.		530 U.S. 914 (2000)	917
Feeney, 442 U.S. 256 (1979)	850	Gonzales v. Carhart	
Johnson v. Transportation Agency		550 U.S. ____ (2007)	919
480 U.S. 616 (1987)	852	D. The Right to Die	923
Automobile Workers v. Johnson		Readings:	
Controls, 499 U.S. 187 (1991)	853	Cruzan v. Director, Missouri Dept. of	
Rostker v. Goldberg		Health, 497 U.S. 261 (1990)	925
453 U.S. 57 (1981)	855	Vacco v. Quill	
Senate Debates Women in Combat	857	521 U.S. 793 (1997)	928
United States v. Virginia		E. Gay Rights	929
518 U.S. 515 (1996)	858	Readings:	
C. Rights of Aliens	861	Bowers v. Hardwick	
Readings:		478 U.S. 186 (1986)	934

Lawrence v. Texas		Conclusions	1019
539 U.S. 558 (2003)	937	Notes and Questions	1019
F. Defining the Limits of Privacy	939	Selected Readings	1020
Readings:		19 EFFORTS TO CURB THE COURT	1023
Financial Privacy Act of 1978:		A. Constitutional Amendments	1024
Congressional Debate	941	B. Statutory Reversals	1026
The Right to Privacy:		Reading:	
The Bork Hearings	943	Statutory Reversal: <i>Grove City</i>	1028
Conclusions	946	C. Court Packing	1030
Notes and Questions	946	Reading:	
Selected Readings	946	FDR's Court-Packing Plan:	
18 POLITICAL PARTICIPATION	949	Senate Report	1033
A. Presidential Elections	949	D. Withdrawing Jurisdiction	1035
Reading:		Readings:	
Bush v. Gore		Jurisdiction-Stripping Proposals:	
531 U.S. 98 (2000)	960	ABA Report	1040
B. Voting Rights	964	Human Life Bill: Senate Hearings	1041
Readings:		Ex Parte McCardle	
Smith v. Allwright		74 U.S. (7 Wall.) 506 (1869)	1043
321 U.S. 649 (1944)	971	E. Noncompliance	1044
Harper v. Virginia Board of Elections		Readings:	
383 U.S. 663 (1966)	973	Sustaining Public Confidence	1046
South Carolina v. Katzenbach		Prayers in Public Schools	1046
383 U.S. 301 (1966)	975	F. Constitutional Dialogues	1047
Mobile v. Bolden		Readings:	
446 U.S. 55 (1980)	977	Is the Supreme Court the	
Congress Reverses <i>Mobile v. Bolden</i>	980	Constitution?	1051
C. Reapportionment	981	The "Finality" of Supreme Court	
Readings:		Decisions: Senate Hearings	1053
Colegrove v. Green		Conclusions	1055
328 U.S. 549 (1946)	991	Notes and Questions	1055
Baker v. Carr		Selected Readings	1056
369 U.S. 186 (1962)	993	APPENDICES	
Wesberry v. Sanders		Appendix 1	
376 U.S. 1 (1964)	995	The Constitution of the	
Reynolds v. Sims		United States	1059
377 U.S. 533 (1964)	997	Appendix 2	
Shaw v. Reno		Justices of the Supreme Court	
509 U.S. 630 (1993)	999	(1789–2008)	1073
Miller v. Johnson		Appendix 3	
515 U.S. 900 (1995)	1001	Glossary of Legal Terms	1075
D. Campaign Financing	1004	Appendix 4	
Reading:		How to Research the Law	1081
Buckley v. Valeo		TABLE OF CASES	1087
424 U.S. 1 (1976)	1011	INDEX	1131
E. Lobbying	1013		
Reading:			
Madison's Views on Factions	1016		

About the Authors

LOUIS FISHER received his B.S. from the College of William and Mary and his Ph.D. from the New School for Social Research. After teaching political science at Queens College, he joined the Congressional Research Service of the Library of Congress in 1970, where he served as Senior Specialist in Separation of Powers. On March 6, 2006, he joined the Law Library of the Library of Congress. He has testified before congressional committees on such issues as war powers, state secrets, NSA surveillance, Congress and the Constitution, executive lobbying, executive privilege, impoundment of funds, legislative vetoes, the item veto, the pocket veto, presidential reorganization authority, recess appointments, executive spending discretion, the congressional budget process, the Balanced Budget Amendment, biennial budgeting, covert spending, and CIA whistleblowing. During 1987 he served as Research Director for the House Iran-Contra Committee.

His books include *President and Congress* (1972), *Presidential Spending Power* (1975), *The Constitution between Friends* (1978), *The Politics of Shared Power* (4th ed., 1998), *Constitutional Conflicts between Congress and the President* (5th ed., 2007), *Constitutional Dialogues* (1988), *Political Dynamics of Constitutional Law* (with Neal Devins, 4th ed., 2006), the four-volume *Encyclopedia of the American Presidency* (with Leonard W. Levy, 1994), *Presidential War Power* (2d ed. 2004), *Congressional Abdication on War and Spending* (2000), *Religious Liberty in America: Political Safeguards* (2002), *Nazi Saboteurs on Trial: A Military Tribunal & American Law* (2003), *The Politics of Executive Privilege* (2004), *The Democratic Constitution* (with Neal Devins, 2004), *Military Tribunals and Presidential Power: American Revolution to the War on Terrorism* (2005), *In the Name of National Security: Unchecked Presidential Power and the Reynolds Case* (2006), *The Constitution and 9/11: Recurring Threats to America's Freedoms* (2008), and *Rival Interpretations: The Supreme Court and Congress* (2009).

Dr. Fisher has been active with CEELI (Central and East European Law Initiative) of the American Bar Association. He traveled twice to Bulgaria, twice to Albania, and to Hungary to lend assistance to constitution writers. In addition to these trips abroad, he participated in CEELI conferences in Washington, D.C., involving delegations from Lithuania, Romania, and Russia, and has served on CEELI “working groups” on Armenia and Belarus. He traveled to Russia in 1992 as part of a CRS delegation to assist on questions of separation of powers and federalism and to Ukraine in 1993 to participate in an election law conference.

Dr. Fisher's specialties include constitutional law, war powers, state secrets, budget policy, executive-legislative relations, and judicial-congressional relations. He is the author of more than 380 articles in law reviews, political science journals, encyclopedias, books, magazines, and newspapers. He has been invited to speak in Albania, Australia, Belgium, Bulgaria, Canada, the Czech Republic, China, England, France, Germany, Greece, Israel, Japan, Macedonia, Malaysia, Mexico, the Netherlands, Oman, the Philippines, Poland, Romania, Russia, Slovenia, South Korea, Taiwan, Ukraine, and United Arab Emirates.

KATY J. HARRIGER received her B.A. in Political Science from Edinboro State College in Pennsylvania and her M.A. and Ph.D in Political Science from the University of Connecticut. She is a Professor of Political Science and chair of that department at Wake Forest University where she teaches

courses in American Constitutional Law, American politics, judicial process, and democracy and citizenship. She has testified before Congress and been a frequent media commentator on issues related to the use of independent counsel and political influences on the Department of Justice. Dr. Harriger is the editor of *Separation of Powers: Commentary and Documents*, (Congressional Quarterly Press 2003), the author of *The Special Prosecutor in American Politics*. 2nd ed., revised (University Press of Kansas, 2000), and *Independent Justice: The Federal Special Prosecutor in American Politics* (University Press of Kansas, 1992), as well as a number of articles about constitutional law issues in journals and law reviews. Most recently she co-authored, with Jill J. McMillan, *Speaking of Politics: Preparing College Students for Democratic Citizenship through Deliberative Dialogue* (Kettering Foundation Press, 2007). At Wake Forest, Harriger has been the recipient of the Reid Doyle Prize for Excellence in Teaching (1988), the John Reinhardt Distinguished Teaching Award (2002), and the Schoonmaker Award for Community Service (2006).

Acknowledgments

This book, in gestation for years, has many contributors and abettors. With the publication of the eighth edition, Katy J. Harriger joins as co-author. She brings to the task a strong background in constitutional law and separation of powers and many years of classroom experience and professional activity on legal issues. David Gray Adler, co-author of the seventh edition, offered extensive analytical contributions and in previous editions provided careful, thoughtful reviews.

Morton Rosenberg of the Congressional Research Service lent a guiding hand, giving encouragement and insightful observations. In reviewing the manuscript and selections for readings, he was the major source of counsel and enlightenment. Other friends and colleagues who offered important advice and comments include Susan Burgess, Phillip J. Cooper, Neal Devins, Murray Dry, Roger Garcia, Jerry Goldman, Nancy Kassop, Jacob Landynski, Leonard W. Levy, Robert Meltz, Ronald Moe, Christopher Pyle, Jeremy Rabkin, Harold Relyea, William Ross, Jay Shampansky, Gordon Silverstein, Mitchel Sollenberger, Charles Tiefer, and Stephen Wasby.

I joined the Law Library of the Library of Congress on March 6, 2006, after mining its wonderful collections over the past 35 years. It is my pleasure to dedicate the book to the Law Library. On the weekends, when I enter the building to pursue my writings, it is always a joy to place on the sign-in sheet my name, type of pass, and the division I work for: LAW.

Louis Fisher

After many years of teaching American Constitutional Law using this textbook, it has been a privilege and a pleasure to work with Lou Fisher on the eighth edition and to realize all that goes into keeping the book current and relevant. I have always been drawn to this text because it recognizes that constitutional law is made through a dynamic dialogic political process rather than simply by nine Supreme Court justices. This seems a particularly important lesson to understand, for political science and law students alike, in a time when the popular understandings of constitutional politics and issues are so shallow and, and often, misinformed. I dedicate the book to my father, Russell E. Harriger, who has always encouraged and supported my endeavors, even when he disagreed with me (which in the area of constitutional law was early and often).

Keith Sipe and Tim Colton, and the rest of the staff at Carolina Academic Press, were amiable, helpful and professional in bringing this project to fruition. We express our thanks and gratitude to them for all of their efforts.

Katy J. Harriger

Introduction

To accommodate the leading cases on constitutional law, textbooks concentrate on court decisions and overlook the political, historical, and social framework in which these decisions are handed down. Constitutional law is thus reduced to the judicial exercise of divining the meaning of textual provisions. The larger process, including judicial as well as nonjudicial actors, is ignored. The consequence, as noted by one law professor, is the absence of a “comprehensive course on constitutional law in any meaningful sense in American law schools.”¹

The political process must be understood because it establishes the boundaries for judicial activity and influences the substance of specific decisions, if not immediately then within a few years. This book keeps legal issues in a broad political context. Cases should not be torn from their environment. A purely legalistic approach to constitutional law misses the constant, creative interplay between the judiciary and the political branches. The Supreme Court is not the exclusive source of constitutional law. It is not the sole or even dominant agency in deciding constitutional questions. The Constitution is interpreted initially by a private citizen, legislator, or executive official. Someone from the private or public sector decides that an action violates the Constitution; political pressures build in ways to reshape fundamental constitutional doctrines.

Books on constitutional law usually focus exclusively on Supreme Court decisions and stress its doctrines, as though lower courts and elected officials are unimportant. Other studies describe constitutional decision making as lacking in legal principle, based on low-level political haggling by various actors. We see an open and vigorous system struggling to produce principled constitutional law. Principles are important. Constitutional interpretations are not supposed to be idiosyncratic events or the result of a political free-for-all. If they were, our devotion to the rule of law would be either absurd or a matter of whimsy.

It is traditional to focus on constitutional rather than statutory interpretation, and yet the boundaries between these categories are unclear. Issues of constitutional dimension usually form a backdrop to “statutory” questions. Preoccupation with the Supreme Court as the principal or final arbiter of constitutional questions fosters a misleading impression. A dominant business of the Court is statutory construction, and through that function it interacts with other branches of government in a process that refines the meaning of the Constitution.

This study treats the Supreme Court and lower courts as one branch of a political system with a difficult but necessary task to perform. They often share with the legislature and the executive the responsibility for defining political values, resolving political conflict, and protecting the political process. Through commentary and reading selections, we try to bridge the artificial gap in the literature that separates law from politics. Lord Radcliffe advised that “we cannot learn law by learning law.” Law must be “a part of history, a part of economics and sociology, a part of ethics and a philosophy of life. It is not strong enough in itself to be a philosophy in itself.”²

A Note on Citations. The introductory essays to each chapter contain many citations to court cases, public laws, congressional reports, and floor debates. The number of these citations may seem con-

1. W. Michael Reisman, “International Incidents: Introduction to a New Genre in the Study of International Law,” 10 *Yale J. Int’l L.* 1, 8 n.13 (1984).

2. Lord Radcliffe, *The Law & Its Compass* 92-93 (1960).

fusing and even overwhelming. We want to encourage the reader to consult these documents and develop a richer appreciation of the complex process that shapes constitutional law. Repeated citations to federal statutes help underscore the ongoing role of Congress and the executive branch in constitutional interpretation. To permit deeper exploration of certain issues, either for a term paper or scholarly research, footnotes contain leads to supplementary cases. Bibliographies are provided for each chapter. The appendices include a glossary of legal terms and a primer on researching the law.

If the coverage is too detailed, the instructor may always advise students to skip some of the material. Another option is to ask the student to understand two or three departures from a general doctrine, such as the famous *Miranda* warning developed by the Warren Court but whittled away by the Burger and Rehnquist Courts. Even if a student is initially stunned by the complexity of constitutional law, it is better to be aware of the delicate shadings that exist than to believe that the Court paints with bold, permanent strokes.

At various points in the chapters, we give examples where state courts, refusing to follow the lead of the Supreme Court, conferred greater constitutional rights than available at the federal level. These are examples only. They could have been multiplied many times over. No one should assume that rulings from the Supreme Court represent the last word on constitutional law, even for lower courts.

Compared to other texts, this book offers much more in the way of citations to earlier decisions. We do this for several reasons. The citations allow the reader to research areas in greater depth. They also highlight the process of trial and error used by the Court to clarify constitutional principles. Concentration on contemporary cases would obscure the Court's record of veering down side roads, backtracking, and reversing direction. Focusing on landmark cases prevents the reader from understanding the *development* of constitutional law: the dizzying exceptions to "settled" doctrines, the laborious manner in which the Court struggles to fix the meaning of the Constitution, the twists and turns, the detours and dead ends. Describing major cases without these tangled patterns would presume an orderly and static system that mocks the dynamic, fitful, creative, and consensus-building process that exists. No one branch of government prevails. The process is polyarchal, not hierarchical. The latter, perhaps attractive for architectural structures, is inconsistent with our aspiration for self-government.

In all court cases and other documents included as readings, footnotes have been deleted. For the introductory essays, reference works are abbreviated as follows:

Comp. Gen.	Decisions of the Comptroller General.
Elliot	Jonathan Elliot, ed., <i>The Debates in the Several State Conventions, on the Adoption of the Federal Constitution</i> (5 vols., Washington, D.C., 1836–1845).
Farrand	Max Farrand, ed., <i>The Records of the Federal Convention of 1787</i> (4 vols., New Haven: Yale University Press, 1937).
Fisher	<i>Constitutional Conflicts between Congress and the President</i> (4th ed. 1997).
Landmark Briefs	<i>Landmark Briefs and Arguments of the Supreme Court of the United States: Constitutional Law</i> . Gerald Gunther and Gerhard Casper, eds. University Publications of America.
O.L.C.	Office of Legal Counsel Opinions, U.S. Department of Justice.
Op. Att'y Gen.	Opinions of the Attorney General.
Richardson	James D. Richardson, ed., <i>A Compilation of the Messages and Papers of the Presidents</i> (20 vols., New York: Bureau of National Literature, 1897–1925).
Wkly Comp. Pres. Doc.	<i>Weekly Compilation of Presidential Documents</i> , published each week by the Government Printing Office since 1965.