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The Mound Bayou story is an unexpected tale that appears right out of the mist of utopian history. Yet it is so compelling that it must be believed. Joel Nathan Rosen places it neatly into the puzzle of the past where it fits perfectly. Nevertheless, it is understandable that even he found its reality and dimensions difficult to perceive. There is something magical, almost unbelievable, in the way Rosen’s research unfolded, not unlike the Mound Bayou saga itself.

Who could believe that a slave plantation in the Deep South of Mississippi could be connected to the liberal reform ideas of communitarian socialist Robert Owen of New Lanark, Scotland? After all, although Owen was one of the most successful cotton manufacturers in Britain, his social reforms called for equality and the emancipation of the laboring classes from industrial slavery. But, if we look closer, his reforms also called for universal education as a path to progress through organizational efficiency that could appeal to a Mississippi lawyer-turned-planter like Joseph Davis.

Davis realized that a cotton plantation is a community as much as any company town or utopian experiment. He saw the potential for long range profit in applying Owen’s advanced ideas about benevolent labor management, progressive education, and humanitarian treatment in his community of 300 slaves at Davis Bend, his 5,200 acre agricultural estate on the Mississippi River some twenty miles south of Vicksburg. He could even imagine Owen somehow being right that an atmosphere of kindness, cooperation, and harmony from birth might improve human character itself—possibly even ushering in the New Moral World which Owen said he was beginning in a secular community of equality at New Harmony, Indiana in 1825.

This is why Davis is found visiting Owen’s model town on the Wabash River for nearly two weeks in June and July, 1826. There he may have conversed with Owen himself. There he observed Owen’s infant school and the instruction of older children in the Pestalozzian system of learning practical knowledge from experience rather than books alone. There he became deeply impressed with the vocational training of young men and women in various trades put in motion by Owen’s educational partner William Maclure.
From this visit and Owen’s writings, Davis took what he learned about forming, educating, and administering a community of freemen and applied it with great effect in his community of bondsmen. As paternalistic as Owen, Davis raised the level of positive social interaction, labor efficiency, and individual accomplishments at Davis Bend to heights rarely, if ever, reached in the history of slavery in America. Slaves were fed well, given unusually good housing, encouraged to build families, schooled to literacy and beyond for trades and leadership despite Davis’ neighbors’ protests, and permitted a measure of self-government that included their own court. However, Rosen makes clear that all of this was aimed successfully at increasing Davis’ wealth rather than at abolishing slavery or reforming the world. Rosen points out that while Frances Wright, the antislavery feminist, may have actually introduced Davis to Owenism at an 1825 reception for the Marquis de Lafayette in Natchez, Davis took no interest in her later crusade to emancipate slaves by letting them work off their value at her Owenite-type community of Nashoba near Memphis.

In any case, the role of Joe Davis is just the beginning of a drama of legendary proportions unique in the annals of community building in America, slave and free. Readably and analytically Rosen shows how it begins with one man’s initiative to increase his own fortune by using Owenite principles to train and care for the enslaved people of his plantation. But it becomes an account of how that Owen-esque system permitted bondsmen of intellect and integrity the freedom to reach their full leadership potential within the confines of the white man’s world of pre- and post-Civil War Mississippi.

Benjamin Thornton Montgomery and his son Isaiah epitomize the exercise of that freedom to create the rest of the Mound Bayou story. As slaves they became more steeped in Owenite methodology and idealism than Davis himself. With Davis’ encouragement, Montgomery became the manager of the plantation and, unheard of in the Old South, was permitted to amass the sum of money necessary to purchase the plantation after his master’s death. Isaiah had become Davis’ private secretary and demonstrated unusual business acumen with his father in operating Davis Bend as a community of freedmen after emancipation.

When, in 1886, Isaiah Montgomery seized the opportunity to found a new town of black citizens, also on Owenite principles, he began writing the crowning chapter of this international yet peculiarly American tale. The Louisville, New Orleans, and Texas Railroad attracted him to build Mound Bayou on 700 acres of company land half way between Vicksburg and Memphis five miles inland from the Mississippi. Before its decline after 1915, Montgomery’s town attracted hundreds of residents to this unprecedented hub of black capitalism
and black freedoms. It became known as “The Jewel of the Delta,” “A Place of Refuge,” and “A Beacon of Hope.” Montgomery’s ability and honesty won the respect and often the support of white leaders for himself and his near-utopian experiment. But this came at a price—the price of apparent subservience to the white majority and the refusal to champion the rights of black men guaranteed by the Fourteenth Amendment. When Montgomery cast his vote for black disfranchisement at the Mississippi Constitutional Convention in 1890, he won favor with Booker T. Washington and white supporters like Andrew Carnegie but was harshly criticized by civil rights activists like Frederick Douglass and W.E.B. DuBois.

Dr. Rosen burrows deeply into all such matters and mysteries to reveal the contributions and controversies of the main players from New Lanark to New Harmony to the Delta. He treats the towering figures of Owen, Davis, and the Montgomerys in understandable, human terms showing their warts as well as their wonders. For all the scope of the story, he brings it down to local people and local developments, putting them accurately into the context of their times and places to keep the reader engaged. His own intimate knowledge of the attitudes and social workings of the South adds greatly to the understanding he provides. With his expertise as a sociologist, he effectively presents the relevance of this topic not only to the Mississippi Delta and the antebellum, reconstructed and New South, but also to the utopian and practical dimensions of communal history. His documentation is extensive, which is so essential to a work of this type.

What a superbly-crafted treatment of an absolutely engrossing subject. We owe thanks to Joel Nathan Rosen for patiently seeking out and illuminating this page of history and shining a bright light upon individuals and concepts that point us all toward a better future.

Donald E. Pitzer, Ph.D.
Professor Emeritus of History
Director Emeritus, Center for Communal Studies
University of Southern Indiana
Evansville, Indiana
Discovering Mound Bayou

The town is unique. It is all-Negro—and it is all happy.

—Hartwell and Weld in “Mississippi’s Miracle Town”

Revelation

It is hard to imagine that it has been over twenty years since I first came across, quite by accident, mind you, the town of Mound Bayou, Mississippi. I remember so vividly the moment I first took it all in: the images, the intrigue, the implausibility of it all.

The initial reference was at hand for all to see, right there in that alternative Mississippi middle school history textbook, though I suspect that because of the controversy surrounding the source back then, scant few people had even seen the commentary that Drs. Loewen and Sallis scrawled in the section regarding the 1890 Mississippi Constitutional Convention that read:

Then, with full knowledge of what he was doing, Montgomery voted for the voting restrictions which would keep blacks out of Mississippi politics for the next 70 years.

The Montgomery in question here was Isaiah Thornton Montgomery, the ambitious son of Benjamin Thornton Montgomery, also an ex-slave who in 1866 would inherit the third largest cotton concern in all of Mississippi while his former master sat in what seemed then to have been a state of near-permanent exile. But long before I came to discover all that, what I knew consisted exclusively of this ill-fated vote preceded by an impassioned plea before fellow landown-

ers that in the end brought to bear the final and most official denial of voting rights for the black population of the state that would too leave a fairly hefty number of landless whites disfranchised. As a matter that seemed as improbable as it would seem implausible, I simply had to find out more for myself.

Of course Montgomery’s imprudence, as the authors couched it throughout that passage, was never such a cut and dried matter. What happened on that day in 1890 was in hindsight as predictable as it was unfortunate. Montgomery, a black man swimming in a sea of powerful white forces, had little choice. Vote “no” and then refuse to address the assembly that day, and he might as well return immediately to his beloved new home and start packing, as that would have surely doomed the project. By voting “yes” and giving the speech, however, this committed (Booker T.) Washingtonian might one day find himself excoriated in the history books, but at least his dream of fashioning a black respite in the white-powered Mississippi backwoods would have its fighting chance. This was what it was all about for I.T. Montgomery, at least then, though for me, this was merely the first few baby steps in what has come to be quite an extensive albeit rewarding journey.

To be sure, there have been many wonderful pieces written from various corners both within and without the academy—some emanate from the town, even—that offer a wealth of multi-faceted accounts of the story of Mound Bayou since its initial founding in 1887. Typically, they all contain elements of nigh the same story: Montgomery, an opportunist extraordinaire from rural Warren County, Mississippi sought to revive his family’s withering assets through schemes that took him as far as Kansas and as near as Bolivar County, where through some quite mutually beneficial dealings with the Louisville, New Orleans, and Texas Railroad Company, he would ultimately procure the land that would eventually become Mound Bayou, the once-hallowed “Jewel of the Delta,” the region’s first wholly African-American town.3 These narratives would tell stories of the town’s celebrated beginnings, of its familial genesis, of its communal atmosphere, its unparalleled relationships with neighboring municipalities, and, yes, even some of the more shameful and certainly unseemly aspects of its history as well. They also tell of schools and hospitals, of great men and women who would reaffirm its purpose and potential and whose stories would too filter out of its diminutive confines and into the mainstream of local, regional, and even statewide renown. Charley Patton, for instance, the first truly important blues artist from that first gen-

eration of known players and the music’s most celebrated link to its nascent past, had a home there. Patton was without question a towering figure, a man of immense talent and largesse both decades before that caricature of the defiant if not rambunctious musician would establish itself as the paean for musical excess. Still, as integral as Patton’s legacy may be, he is not the point of Mound Bayou but rather a symbol of its one-time status as gemstone and as haven and as, to borrow from one of the region’s more prolific historians, Janet Sharp Hermann, the beneficiary of a long-ago fashioned dream.

And it is that dream—precisely the evolution of that dream—that serves as the driving force behind this particular study, namely that while this dream may have been realized by a younger generation of Montgomerys near the end of the nineteenth century, the dream itself was really quite older than all of them. And it is that dream, that glimmer of possibility that cascades blithely toward both the past and into the present that links Mound Bayou to a much more fascinating history that transcends its pre-founding at Davis Bend, both before and after emancipation, and through some of its more interesting and even some of its more problematic nature. Yes indeed, it is this prehistory of the Mound Bayou settlement that drives this particular bus—drove it all the way through the mid-Delta on what is today Old Highway 61—past Clarkdale, past Bobo and Alligator, and on into Bolivar County through Duncan, Hushpuckena, Shelby, and Winstonville, and right through the front door of Milburn Crowe’s legendary haunt, The Crowe’s Nest.

The View from The Crowe’s Nest

Milburn J. Crowe, the late owner/operator of The Crowe’s Nest, was my friend. Mr. Crowe, or Milburn, as he insisted I call him from the start, was a learned man, pensive and quite passionate, who above all else loved Mound Bayou, its people, and most of all, perhaps, its history. Accordingly, he would be regarded throughout his lifetime as the town’s unofficial historian, though near the end of his life, he would have the opportunity to serve his beloved hometown faithfully in a more official capacity as the town’s comptroller.

---

6. Actually, it would be the second version of Highway 61, since re-dubbed 161, and replaced by a transnational superhighway destined to someday gain entry into the Eisenhower Interstate System.
When I first met him, Milburn was also quite troubled by an unfortunate series of political events that had thrown Mound Bayou (he always seemed to pronounce it softly as “Mound Bayah”) into a marked degree of political paralysis, a topic of present concern that would make up the bulk of our discussions throughout our time together once he had sufficiently—at least in his mind—brought me up to speed on the past. Indeed, from the outset, he remained visibly delighted to have met yet another visitor to his world, even one as clueless though as inquisitive as I, and primarily because he so loved to home-school eager initiates.

Nevertheless, the complexity of the events he would describe was captivating and sometimes shocking, and it seemed that every time we would sit and chat, he would find even more innovative means with which to recount details of Mound Bayou’s often perplexing past that would offer even newer insights into its present, but it was always that first afternoon that continued to resonate.
Over cups of instant coffee, shared between shifts at the counter of his combination restaurant, bar, bus stop, pharmacy, post office, dry goods store, and occasional \textit{juke-joint}, Milburn explained to me in great detail the implications of Loewen and Sallis’ depiction of Montgomery and the historical hit that Montgomery took partly as a result of what happened at the 1890 convention. He allowed that regardless of hindsight, those disfranchisement measures were going to pass with or without Montgomery. Thus, by agreeing to serve as the face of planter potency, Montgomery all-but-secured the preliminary success of Mound Bayou if only because Montgomery, as his father had taught him, was willing to exchange a little security for his fledgling community in exchange for his support of a disfranchisement project he clearly could have never stopped under the best of circumstances, which the late 19th century clearly were not. In this regard, Milburn portrayed the ever-ambitious Montgomery as a man desperately trying to take a little something away from what must have been to him a most vile but inevitable enterprise. Regardless, as Milburn would continue, once Montgomery struck his deal, his partners, the townspeople, and he were all at least moderately free to proceed with their town-building initiatives, and they, thus, turned their attention back to the loathsome yet necessary work of trying to make headway into the unforgiving Delta bottomlands.

\begin{center}
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{Milburn.jpg}
\end{center}

\textit{Milburn J. Crowe with Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. in Memphis.}
\textit{Taken by Richard A. Crowe, circa 1967.}
\textit{From the Milburn J. Crowe Collection, Courtesy of Sylvia Crowe Scott.}

Milburn always seemed to take great pride in lining out precisely these types of stories regarding those earliest days at Mound Bayou, which marked quite an exciting phase in our exchanges, but it was also during this stage of my journey
that I would come across many of those abovementioned works, including
the first of two remarkable pieces by Janet Sharp Hermann. Dr. Hermann’s chapter
on Mound Bayou near the close of her acclaimed Pursuit of a Dream was at the
time the first extensive contemporary scholarship that sought to explore Mound
Bayou’s origins through its ties to Davis Bend, located some twenty miles south
of Vicksburg in Warren County, Mississippi, which offered up even newer roads
with which to travel. Among the many matters that she would broach through-
out was her contention that lying at the root of Davis Bend, and, thus, by extension
Mound Bayou, was the remnants of an ideological pairing that linked Davis
Bend’s architect and family patriarch Joseph E. Davis to the celebrated British phi-
lanthropist Robert Owen. In this and her later biography of Davis, Hermann main-
tained that the extraordinary ideological framework upon which Davis Bend
was built was based in large part on Owen’s experimental communities in first
New Lanark, Scotland and later in New Harmony, Indiana, which in turn marked
a completely different phase in my investigations.

Existential Nonsense

Caught in effect unaware by Hermann’s startling assertions, and especially
coming on the heels of my growing fascination with all things Mound Bayou,
I again proceeded to dig more deeply. Remarkably, as it turned out, Dr. Her-
mann’s assumptions seemed spot on. Davis Bend, though palpably different from
Owen’s dual ventures, especially when one considers the slavery issue, seemed,
nonetheless, to operate on many of the same principles. Specifically, while
Owen sought to reinvent nineteenth century life by expanding the experien-
tial base of his labor force through education and similar such culturally-driven
programs, Davis’ primary interest lay solely in broadening the skill-set of his
largely agrarian slave workforce. There was indeed a light there in the middle,
and while on the one hand it seemed unlikely that a so-deemed utopia could
be cast from the breastplate of a Mississippi plantation community, I came to
see in Hermann’s base argument what she and apparently many of her sources
all seemed to conclude. In this regard, and many of her sources would pres-
ent this idea similarly, by selectively implementing strict, if not literal, ele-
ments of Owen-derived principles, Davis, who unlike Owen was never out to
change the world nor even attempt to reform it, for that matter, had stumbled
upon a most remarkable system for making Davis Bend, already a juggernaut

in Mississippi’s cotton economy, that much more profitable. What continued
to trouble me, however, was that every time Dr. Hermann came close to draw-
ing a straight line that connected the two men, her source material, while too
intimating the very same conclusions, was also never able to add any sub-
stantive links that could unequivocally put these two men or even their asso-
ciates in the same place.

Milburn Crowe was certainly aware of Dr. Hermann’s work, which he re-
garded as interesting yet somewhat troubling. He remembered her, and fondly
at that, from those days a decade prior when she spent time in Mound Bayou
collecting data and generally soaking up the scenery, but he was also concerned
that she could so easily lump together what he maintained were the dissimilar
worlds of Owenite New Lanark and Mound Bayou. What troubled him par-
ticularly was the part about Owen and his supposed relationship to Davis and,
by association, the Montgomeries. In fact, he often referred to it as “existential
nonsense,” which I found quite harsh, but then again, the political situation in
Mound Bayou had grown even more turbulent, escalating from accusations
of voter fraud to assault and even attempted murder. Obviously, Milburn was
in no mood for such academic musings, though in the end, he would be the
first to admit his misjudgment when it turned out that for all of Dr. Hermann’s
supposed “nonsense,” it would come to pass that she, as well as her sources,
had been right all along.

Breakthrough

In spite of all the political turmoil, much of which Milburn Crowe and his
comrades graciously agreed to discuss with me in a series of intriguing inter-
views conducted in his living room in 1993, it had become even more appar-
ent that while I was enamored with the contemporary Mound Bayou storyline,
I was even more awestruck by the Owen-Davis link that presaged Mound
Bayou’s founding. More importantly, I simply had to find out once and for all
whether Dr. Hermann’s assertions could actually be substantiated beyond a
line of supposition that was beginning to look more apocryphal than edify-
ing. I continued to visit the Delta for a few more years, but I was also busy
making arrangements to take a more earnest look into the Owenite side of the
equation, which included a trip north.

My first stop was at the archive at the University of Illinois library, which
houses Owen’s American papers. A few days pouring over the contents of this
collection, while interesting, to be sure, was also a rather fruitless exercise in
terms of what I had hoped to specifically accomplish.
My next stop was always to have been New Harmony, Indiana. I had assumed all along that since I would be so close anyway, I would venture over to the site of Owen’s American undertaking just over the southern Illinois-Indiana border as part of my general tour of the area. Frustrated by my lack of success in Illinois, I harbored no expectation for anything tangible, but I felt that merely seeing the place and perhaps even having an opportunity to ask a few open-ended questions would at least offer me a physical context upon which I could hang my hat.

By then regarded as something of a charming bedroom community and tourist destination, New Harmony was indeed quite lovely. After a brief drive through to get my bearings, I headed over to the town’s one-time centerpiece, The Workingmen’s Institute, which remained a public library-like facility. It was here where I met a most remarkable woman, Josephine Elliott, a longtime archivist at the facility who I soon learned was also collecting material for a book that she had been crafting for some time.

After exchanging pleasantries, I warily explained what I was doing there, noticing all the while that look of incredulity to which I was growing more and more accustomed. Despite her obvious doubt, however, she invited me to supper that evening, claiming that while she knew nothing of this “Davis person” in regard to New Harmony, Donald E. Pitzer, at the time Professor of History and Director of the Center for Communal Studies at the University of Southern Indiana, who was to join us, might.

Indeed, I remember the evening as being quite pleasant. Mrs. Elliott and Dr. Pitzer, while both skeptical of my descriptions of this Owen-Davis link, were both gracious if not attentive hosts. Knowing so little of each other’s worlds certainly made our discussions quite challenging at first, but by the end of the evening, I overheard a still unconvinced Dr. Pitzer asking Mrs. Elliott to “have a look,” which is how the final twist in this tale comes about.

As it would happen, Mrs. Elliott’s book project involved the laborious task of compiling the correspondence between William Maclure, the driving force behind New Harmony’s education program, its version of the jewel metaphor, and his protégé/paramour Marie D. Fretageot, a French woman with sub-par English skills who typically assumed the leadership role at New Harmony when Maclure was on the road speaking and fund-raising, two of his more crucial challenges. Now a massive volume,8 the book was still far from completion then, which makes what happened next all the more remarkable.

That next morning, while I sipped coffee and contemplated heading back to Mississippi empty-handed, I was startled to find Mrs. Elliott slipping through the doorway of the local café with a sheet of paper in her hand. She was also smiling! Apparently, during one of Maclure’s Mexican tours in the summer of 1826, Madame Fretageot dispatched a letter to him that noted amidst the many more pressing matters of the day that she had recently welcomed a “Mr [sic] Davis” of Natchez, Mississippi to New Harmony for what she claims to have been a rather thorough investigation of the town’s educational facilities. Additionally, she noted that this individual was planning to return sometime later with children and other members of his plantation community for a much more hands-on inspection of what Davis contended was his abiding interest in what Maclure and his legions were doing there with the New Harmony workforce, and particularly in terms of education. She would also note in that same letter that Owen himself had spoken at the Fourth of July celebration, which meant that Owen and Davis were indeed in New Harmony together at some point during Davis’ stay, which to be sure had become the holy grail of my search.

To suggest that we were all astonished is to completely fail to appreciate the sum total of the moment. Until then, this Davis person was for them merely another in a fairly long line of indeterminate figures from New Harmony’s past, but now he had a context. For me, however, this marked nothing short of an epiphany. For years I had searched for some shard of evidence, some element that could prove beyond a doubt that this alliance was indeed genuine as opposed to implied, and there it stood before me on what had been twenty-four hours prior a nondescript 8½” x 11” sheet of paper. Nevertheless, it was my smoking gun—the tangible confirmation that what appeared from a distance to have been the case, though never properly documented, was indeed the situation.

Energized, I immediately called the ever-skeptical Milburn Crowe, who was amused by my discovery. Next I rung up the history department at UCLA in order to inform a rather indifferent Dr. Hermann, who received the news in a way that only makes sense in hindsight: that someone of whom she had never before heard had found the physical evidence of something that she seemingly long ago dismissed as a foregone conclusion—so much so that she had long since moved on to greener pursuits. Nevertheless, finally freed from the supposition while at the same time utterly invigorated by this extraordinary turn

9. Ibid; 382. See Appendix for an excerpted portion of the letter.
10. Ibid; 382.
of events, I began to piece it all together, making my first public presentation of the Owen-Davis-Montgomery lineage at Dr. Pitzer’s urging at the annual Communal Studies Association conference in New Harmony in 1993.

A Moment to Reminisce

Looking back on these fortuitous if not circuitous twists, I continue to find that the most rewarding aspect of this entire episode, perhaps ironically, was and is that I was able to prove everyone right, which is not something that occurs every day in my line of work. Still, and to be quite clear on this, it was always what I had hoped would happen. Knowing that the connections are there and can be substantiated makes this whole experience all the more fascinating not to mention meaningful.

All these years hence, Mr. Crowe, Mrs. Elliott, and several other key pieces of this puzzle have left us, but at the same time their legacy lives on in this work. Their patience, their kindness, and their understanding is not lost on me these may years hence. They listened when others stopped, they looked when others turned away, and they rolled up their sleeves when others thought it folly. More importantly, they shared with me those guiding principles that continue to inform my still evolving body of work—that the pursuit of fact must triumph over probability and that verity must always trump illusion. At the end of the day, these are the essentials that made this journey so worthwhile. And as such, it is my hope that the reader finds some spark of this delightful crossing lurking within.
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