

Securities Regulation

Securities Regulation

SEVENTH EDITION

Marc I. Steinberg

RADFORD PROFESSOR OF LAW
SOUTHERN METHODIST UNIVERSITY



CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS

Durham, North Carolina

Copyright © 2017
Marc I. Steinberg
All Rights Reserved

ISBN 978-1-53100-143-8
eISBN 978-1-53100-145-2
LCCN 2017943787

Carolina Academic Press, LLC
700 Kent Street
Durham, North Carolina 27701
Telephone (919) 489-7486
Fax (919) 493-5668
www.cap-press.com

Printed in the United States of America

Contents

Table of Principal Cases	xxiii
Acknowledgments — First Edition	xxvii
Acknowledgments — Second Edition	xxix
Acknowledgments — Third Edition	xxxi
Acknowledgments — Fourth Edition	xxxiii
Acknowledgments — Fifth Edition	xxxv
Acknowledgments — Sixth Edition	xxxvii
Acknowledgments — Seventh Edition	xxxix
Preface	xli
Chapter 1 · An Introduction to Securities Regulation	3
§ 1.01 Overview	3
§ 1.02 A Look at the SEC	6
The “Work” of the SEC	6
§ 1.03 Reminiscing the SEC Years	19
50 Years of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission	19
Note: The SEC Now	24
§ 1.04 The Role of Counsel	26
§ 1.05 Internationalization of the Securities Markets	27
§ 1.06 Selected Securities Law Research Sources	28
Chapter 2 · Definition of a “Security”	37
§ 2.01 Introduction	37
<i>Wartzman v. Hightower Productions, Ltd.</i>	37
Problem A	39
Problem B	40
Problem C	40
§ 2.02 The Meaning of “Investment Contract”	41
<i>Securities and Exchange Commission v. W.J. Howey Co.</i>	41
Note	44
<i>Continental Marketing Corp. v. Securities and Exchange Commission</i>	45
<i>Securities and Exchange Commission v. Edwards</i>	47
[A] Profits “Solely” From the Efforts of Others	50

[B] “Expectation of Profits”	51
[C] The “Common Enterprise” Requirement	51
[D] Limited Partnerships	53
[E] General Partnerships	54
Note	57
[F] Limited Liability Partnership (LLP) Interests	58
[G] Limited Liability Company (LLC) Interests	58
[H] Franchises	62
[I] Condominiums — Property Interests Combined with Service Contracts	63
<i>Alumni v. Development Resources Group, LLC</i>	65
[J] The Risk Capital Test	72
§ 2.03 The Emphasis on Economic Reality	74
<i>United Housing Foundation, Inc. v. Forman</i>	74
Note	80
[A] Forms of Profit	80
[B] The Sale of Business Doctrine	80
<i>Landreth Timber Company v. Landreth</i>	82
Note	88
[C] Employee Pension Plans	89
§ 2.04 The Presence of Other Regulation and the Implications of <i>Weaver</i>	90
<i>Marine Bank v. Weaver</i>	90
Note	94
§ 2.05 Debt Securities	96
[A] Notes	96
<i>Reves v. Ernst & Young</i>	96
Note	105
[B] Certificates of Deposit	106
Chapter 3 • Primary Issuer Transactional Exemptions from Registration	109
§ 3.01 Introduction	109
§ 3.02 Why Perfect a Transactional Exemption?	109
§ 3.03 Transactional Exemptions — Introductory Points	113
§ 3.04 Scenarios	114
Problem A	114
Problem B	115
Problem C	115
Problem D	116
§ 3.05 The Statutory Private Offering Exemption — Section 4(a)(2)	116
<i>Securities and Exchange Commission v. Ralston Purina Co.</i>	117
Case Law after <i>Ralston Purina</i>	119
§ 3.06 Rule 506 of Regulation D	121
[A] Traditional Rule 506	122

Regulation D— Revision of Certain Exemptions from Registration Under the Securities Act of 1933 for Transactions Involving Limited Offers and Sales	122
Note	127
<i>Brown v. Earthboard Sports USA, Inc.</i>	130
[B] JOBS Act— Rule 506	132
Increasing the Vulnerability of Investors	135
§ 3.07 The Limited Offering Exemptions	138
[A] Rule 504	139
[B] Rule 505	141
[C] Rule 701	143
[D] The Section 3(b)(2) Exemption— Regulation A Regulation A+, March 25, 2015	144
<i>Lindeen v. Securities and Exchange Commission</i>	148
Note	154
[E] Rule 1001— The “California” Exemption	155
§ 3.08 Substantial Compliance Standard and Filing of Form D	156
§ 3.09 The Web and General Solicitation	158
Citizen VC No-Action Letter	158
§ 3.10 Chart of Selected Securities Act Exemptions	165
§ 3.11 The Burden of Proof File, SEC No-Action Letters, and Rescission Offers	167
[A] Burden of Proof File	167
[B] SEC No-Action Letters	167
[C] Rescission Offers	169
§ 3.12 The Federal Crowdfunding Exemption	169
[A] Statutory Exemption and SEC Rules— Overview	170
[B] SEC Regulation Crowdfunding	171
Final Rules Implementing Regulation Crowdfunding	171
Note	173
§ 3.13 Intrastate Offerings— Section 3(a)(11), Rule 147, and Rule 147A Exemptions to Facilitate Intrastate and Regional Securities Offerings	173
Note	175
§ 3.14 Recapitalizations and Reorganizations— The § 3(a)(9) and § 3(a)(10) Exemptions	187
§ 3.15 Integration of Offerings	189
§ 3.16 State “Blue Sky” Law Exemptions	193
§ 3.17 Regulation S— Offshore Offerings	196
Adoption of Rule 144A	203
Note	203
Appendix— Limited Offering Materials	205
Purchaser Suitability Questionnaire	205
Subscription Agreement	213
Purchaser Representative Questionnaire	218

Chapter 4 · The Registration Process	221
§ 4.01 Overview of the Registration Process	221
§ 4.02 Framework of Section 5	223
[A] Issuer Classifications	223
[B] The Pre-Filing Period	226
[1] Overview	226
[2] Conditioning the Market (“Gun-Jumping”)	226
[3] Rules 163 and 163A and Emerging Growth Companies	229
[4] Effect of Rule 135	230
[5] Transactions Between Market Professionals	231
[6] Rules 137, 138, and 139 and Emerging Growth Companies	232
[C] The Waiting Period	234
[1] Overview	234
[2] Free Writing Prospectus	235
[3] Confidential SEC Review of IPO Registration Statements Filed by Emerging Growth Companies	238
[4] Media	238
[5] The Rule 134 Public Notice	239
[6] Roadshows	240
[7] SEC’s Power of Acceleration	241
[D] The Post-Effective Period	242
[1] Overview	242
[2] Access Equals Delivery	242
[E] Chart of Time Periods	243
§ 4.03 Scenarios	245
Problem A	245
Problem B	247
§ 4.04 The Materially False and Misleading Prospectus	248
§ 4.05 The Decision to “Go Public” — Pros and Cons	249
§ 4.06 The Registered Offering — A Look at the Process Note — IPOs	251
§ 4.07 State Blue Sky Law — Is There Merit to Merit Regulation?	254
Chapter 5 · Disclosure, Materiality, and the Sarbanes-Oxley and Dodd-Frank Acts	257
§ 5.01 The Integrated Disclosure Framework	257
[A] Overview	257
[B] Framework and Rationale Proposed Comprehensive Revision to System for Regulation of Securities Offerings	258
Amendments to Annual Report Form; Integration of Securities Acts Disclosure Systems	262
[C] Securities Act Registration Forms	264
[1] Form S-1	264

	[2] Form S-3	266
	[3] Critical Analysis	267
[D]	Ways that a Company Becomes Subject to the Exchange Act's Reporting Requirements	267
[E]	Reduced Disclosure Requirements for Certain Issuers	269
	[1] Emerging Growth Companies	269
	[2] Smaller Reporting Companies	270
	Note	271
[F]	Shelf Registration	271
§ 5.02	Plain English Disclosure Requirements	273
§ 5.03	Concepts of Disclosure	275
[A]	The Mandatory Disclosure Debate	275
[B]	Disclosure of "Forward-Looking" Information	277
	[1] Adoption of SEC Rule 175	278
	[2] Management Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A")	279
	MD&A Release	280
	<i>Panther Partners Inc. v. Ikanos Communications, Inc.</i>	283
	Note	287
[C]	Private Securities Litigation Reform Act	288
[D]	The Concept of Materiality	288
	<i>Matrixx Initiatives, Inc. v. Siracusano</i>	288
	[1] Qualitative Materiality — Disclosure Relating to "Integrity" or "Competency"	297
	<i>Gaines v. Haughton</i>	298
	Note — Qualitative Materiality and the SEC's Proxy Disclosure Enhancements	300
	[2] Qualitative Economic Materiality	303
	<i>Ganino v. Citizens Utilities Company</i>	303
[E]	Environmental Disclosure	310
	Commission Guidance Regarding Disclosure Related to Climate Change	310
	Note	318
§ 5.04	The Sarbanes-Oxley Act ("SOX") and Its Implementation	319
	Problem	319
[A]	Introduction	320
[B]	Key Provisions	321
	[1] CEO and CFO Certifications	321
	[2] Audit Committee	323
	[a] Audit Committee Independence	324
	[b] Audit Committee Financial Expert	325
	[3] Improper Influence on Audits	325
	[4] Forfeiture of Bonuses and Profits	326
	[5] Officer and Director Bars	326
	[6] Insider Trading During Blackout Periods	327

[7]	Disclosure of Off-Balance Sheet Transactions	327
[8]	Prohibition of Loans to Directors and Executive Officers	328
[9]	Reporting of Insider Transactions	328
[10]	Management and Auditor Assessment of Internal Controls	328
[11]	Senior Financial Officer Code of Ethics	329
[12]	Real-Time Disclosure	329
[13]	Accounting Oversight Board	330
[14]	Auditor Independence	331
	[a] Non-Audit Services	331
	[b] Audit Committee Pre-Approval of Permitted Non-Audit Services	331
	[c] Audit Partner Rotation	331
	[d] Report to the Audit Committee	332
	[e] Cooling-Off Period	332
[15]	Attorney Professional Responsibility	332
[16]	Financial Analysts' Conflicts of Interest	333
[17]	Remedies and Criminal Penalties	333
	[a] Statute of Limitations	333
	[b] Whistleblower Civil Remedy	333
	[c] Insider Trading During Blackouts — Disgorgement of Profits	334
	[d] No Discharge of Securities Fraud Debts in Bankruptcy	334
	[e] Court Order Freezing Certain Extraordinary Payments	335
	[f] Fair Funds Provision	335
	[g] SEC Equitable Relief	335
	[h] Criminal Sanctions	336
[C]	CEO and CFO Certifications	336
	Certification of Disclosure in Companies' Quarterly and Annual Reports	336
§ 5.05	The Dodd-Frank Act — Corporate Governance	339
	[A] Key Provisions	339
	[B] Proxy Access Rule	340
Chapter 6	· Resales and Reorganizations	343
§ 6.01	Introduction	343
	Problem A	343
	Problem B	344
	Problem C	344
§ 6.02	The Concept of Underwriter	344
	[A] The Presumptive Underwriter Doctrine	346
	[B] Purchasing from an Issuer with a View Toward Distribution	347
	<i>United States v. Sherwood</i>	348
	The Holding Period, Change in Circumstances Defense, and Sales By Pledges	349

[C]	Participating in the Underwriting Effort	352
	<i>Securities and Exchange Commission v. Chinese Consolidated Benevolent Association</i>	353
	Notes and Questions	355
§ 6.03	Distributions by Controlling Persons or Affiliates	357
[A]	The Meaning of “Control”	357
	<i>Pennaluna & Company v. Securities and Exchange Commission</i>	358
	Note	361
[B]	Contractual Agreements to Sell	361
	[1] Provision of Rule 15c2-11 Information	362
	[2] Letter from Issuer’s Counsel	363
	[3] Additional Pitfalls	363
[C]	PIPES	363
[D]	Effecting a Distribution	364
[E]	Broker-Dealer Reasonable Inquiry	365
§ 6.04	Rule 144	367
[A]	Background	367
[B]	Provisions of the Rule	369
	Rule 144 Amendments	372
	Commentary on Rule 144	382
§ 6.05	The Section “4(1½)” Exemption	385
	<i>Ackerberg v. Johnson</i>	386
	Note	389
§ 6.06	The Section 4(a)(7) Exemption	390
§ 6.07	Rule 144A	393
	Adoption of Rule 144A	393
	Summary of Rule 144A	395
	Requirements	395
	Note	397
§ 6.08	Corporate Reorganizations	399
[A]	In General	399
[B]	Rule 145 — Ramifications	399
[C]	“Downstream Sales”	400
[D]	“Spin-Offs”	402
[E]	Adoption of Form S-4	403
	Business Combination Transactions — Adoption of Registration Form	403
Chapter 7	· Due Diligence and Securities Act Liability	405
§ 7.01	Introduction	405
§ 7.02	The Registered Offering — Framework of Section 11	406
[A]	Persons Subject to Section 11 Liability	406
[B]	Elements of the Section 11 Right of Action	407
	<i>Hertzberg v. Dignity Partners, Inc.</i>	409

	Note	411
	<i>Omnicare, Inc. v. Laborers District Council Construction Industry Pension Fund</i>	412
	Note — <i>In re Sanofi Securities Litigation</i>	421
[C]	Defenses	422
[D]	Due Diligence Standard	423
[E]	Causation and Reliance	424
[F]	Materiality and Damages	424
	<i>Akerman v. Oryx Communications, Inc.</i>	425
[G]	Contribution and Indemnification	429
	<i>Eichenholtz v. Brennan</i>	430
	Problem A	432
	Problem B	433
	Problem C	434
§ 7.03	Due Diligence in the Registered Offering Context	435
	<i>Escott v. BarChris Construction Corporation</i>	435
§ 7.04	Analysis of Due Diligence in View of <i>BarChris</i>	456
	<i>In re Software Toolworks Inc. Securities Litigation</i>	464
§ 7.05	Impact of the Integrated Disclosure System	471
	Circumstances Affecting the Determination of What Constitutes Reasonable Investigation and Reasonable Grounds for Belief under Section 11 of the Securities Act	472
	<i>In re Worldcom, Inc. Securities Litigation</i>	478
	Note — Appointment of Underwriters' Counsel and Director Signature on Form 10-K	483
§ 7.06	Section 12(a)(1) of the Securities Act	486
§ 7.07	Section 12(a)(2) of the Securities Act	486
[A]	The Meaning of "Seller" and the "In Pari Delicto" Defense	490
	<i>Pinter v. Dahl</i>	490
	Note	501
[B]	Limited to "Public" Offerings	502
	<i>Gustafson v. Alloyd Company</i>	502
	Note	510
[C]	The Reasonable Care Defense	511
[D]	Indemnification and Contribution	513
[E]	Affirmative Defense of Section 12(b)	514
§ 7.08	Section 4A(c) — The "Crowdfunding" Remedy	514
§ 7.09	Availability of State Securities Law Remedies	516
	Appendix — Due Diligence Checklist (Public Offering)	517
Chapter 8	· Section 10(b) and Related Issues	521
§ 8.01	Overview	521
	Problem	524
§ 8.02	Standing: The Purchaser-Seller Requirement	525
	<i>Blue Chip Stamps v. Manor Drug Stores</i>	525

	Notes and Questions	535
	<i>The Wharf (Holdings) Limited v. United International Holdings, Inc.</i>	536
§ 8.03	Requisite Culpability Level	540
	[A] Private Damages Actions	540
	<i>Ernst & Ernst v. Hochfelder</i>	540
	Note	546
	[B] SEC Actions for Injunctive Relief	546
	[C] “Recklessness”	547
	[D] State Court Reaction	548
§ 8.04	The “Deception” or “Manipulation” Requirement	548
	[A] Rejection of a Federal Fiduciary Approach	548
	<i>Santa Fe Industries, Inc. v. Green</i>	548
	Note	553
	[B] Federal Disclosure Requirements Based on State Law Claims	554
	<i>Virginia Bankshares, Inc. v. Sandberg</i>	554
	Note	554
	[C] Manipulation	555
§ 8.05	Causation and Related Requirements	556
	[A] Overview	556
	[B] The “In Connection With” Requirement	559
	<i>Securities and Exchange Commission v. Zandford</i>	559
	[C] Justifiable Reliance	564
	<i>Myers v. Finkle</i>	565
	<i>Brown v. E.F. Hutton Group, Inc.</i>	568
	Note	573
	[D] The “Bespeaks Caution” and Related Doctrines	576
	The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act — Safe Harbor for Certain Forward-Looking Statements	577
	A Statutory Safe Harbor for Forward-Looking Statements	578
	<i>Asher v. Baxter International, Inc.</i>	582
	Note	588
	[E] “Fraud on the Market” Theory	590
	<i>Basic, Inc. v. Levinson</i>	590
	Note — <i>Halliburton I</i> and <i>Amgen</i>	598
	<i>Halliburton Co. v. Erica P. John Fund, Inc.</i>	600
	[1] Characteristics of an Efficient Market	613
	[2] The “Fraud to Enter the Market” Theory	614
	[F] Loss Causation	616
	<i>Dura Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Broudo</i>	616
	Note — <i>Freddie Mac</i> (6th Cir. 2016)	621
	[G] State Securities Law	622
§ 8.06	Materiality	623
	<i>Matrixx Initiatives, Inc. v. Siracusano</i>	623

	<i>Ganino v. Citizens Utilities Company</i>	623
§ 8.07	Cumulative Remedies	623
§ 8.08	Damages	625
§ 8.09	Defenses and Strategic Considerations	626
	[A] Pleading Fraud with Particularity	627
	<i>Tellabs, Inc. v. Makor Issues & Rights, Ltd.</i>	627
	Note — Group Pleading and Collective Scienter	634
	[B] Statute of Limitations	635
	<i>Merck & Co., Inc. v. Reynolds</i>	636
	Note	645
	[C] Defense of In Pari Delicto	647
	<i>Bateman Eichler, Hill Richards, Inc. v. Berner</i>	647
	Note	652
§ 8.10	Contribution, Proportionate Liability, and Related Issues	652
	[A] <i>Musick, Peeler</i>	652
	[B] Contribution, Proportionate Liability and Related Issues Under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995	653
§ 8.11	Class Action “Reform”	654
	Private Securities Litigation Reform Act Joint Explanatory Statement of the Committee of Conference (1995)	654
	Presidential Veto Message on the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act	663
§ 8.12	Extraterritorial Reach of Section 10(b)	665
	<i>Morrison v. National Australia Bank Ltd.</i>	665
	SEC Extraterritorial Reach	678
	<i>Absolute Activist Value Master Fund Limited v. Ficeto</i>	678
	Note	680
	<i>City of Pontiac Policemen’s and Firemen’s Retirement System v. UBS AG</i>	681
§ 8.13	Special Concepts of Fraud for Broker-Dealers	683
	<i>Pross v. Baird Patrick & Co., Inc.</i>	684
	Note	686
Chapter 9	· Alternative Provisions	689
§ 9.01	Introduction	689
	Problem	689
§ 9.02	Implied Rights of Action — In General	689
§ 9.03	Section 17(a) of the Securities Act	693
	[A] General Scope	694
	<i>United States v. Naftalin</i>	694
	Note	698
	[B] State of Mind	699
	[C] Rejection of an Implied Right of Action	700
	<i>Landry v. All American Assurance Co.</i>	700

	Note	706
§ 9.04	Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act (The Proxy Statute)	707
	[A] Overview	707
	[B] “True Purpose” and Causation	710
	<i>Virginia Bankshares, Inc. v. Sandberg</i>	710
	Note	719
	[C] SEC Proxy Rule Amendments	720
	Regulation of Communications Among Shareholders	721
	Note	723
	[D] The Shareholder Proposal Rule	724
	Shareholder Proposals	724
	[E] Shareholder Nomination of Directors — Rule 14a-11	725
	<i>Business Roundtable v. SEC</i>	725
	Note	732
§ 9.05	Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act	733
§ 9.06	Section 29(b) of the Securities Exchange Act	734
	<i>Regional Properties, Inc. v. Financial and Real Estate Consulting Company</i>	736
§ 9.07	Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO)	740
	The 1995 Federal Legislation (PSLRA)	740
§ 9.08	State Securities and Common Law Remedies	741
	The Securities Litigation Uniform Standards Act of 1998	741
	<i>Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc. v. Dabit</i>	743
	<i>Chadbourne & Parke LLP v. Troice</i>	750
	Note — Why Bring State Claims?	759
	<i>Anheuser-Busch Companies, Inc. v. Summit Coffee Co.</i>	763
	<i>Sterling Trust Co. v. Adderley</i>	765
	<i>Bennett v. Hunter Durham</i>	772
	<i>Malone v. Brincat</i>	776
	<i>Holmes v. Grubman</i>	782
Chapter 10	· Secondary Liability	789
§ 10.01	Introduction	789
	Problem	789
§ 10.02	Aiding and Abetting Liability	790
	<i>Central Bank of Denver v. First Interstate Bank of Denver</i>	790
	Note	804
	<i>Securities and Exchange Commission v. Apuzzo</i>	805
§ 10.03	Distinguishing Primary from Secondary Conduct	809
	[A] Rejection of a Broad “Scheme to Defraud” Theory	809
	<i>Stoneridge Investment Partners, LLC v. Scientific-Atlanta, Inc.</i>	809
	[B] Rule 10b-5(b) — “Makers” of Statements	819
	<i>Janus Capital Group, Inc. v. First Derivative Traders</i>	819
	Note — Impact of <i>Janus Capital</i>	830

§ 10.04	Controlling Person Liability	833
	<i>Haynes v. Anderson & Strudwick, Inc.</i>	834
	Note	837
§ 10.05	Respondeat Superior Liability	840
	<i>Seolas v. Bilzerian</i>	843
	Note	846
§ 10.06	Failure to Supervise	846
	<i>In the Matter of Gutfreund, Strauss, and Meriwether</i>	846
Chapter 11	· Issuer Affirmative Disclosure Obligations	847
§ 11.01	Overview	847
	Problem A	851
	Problem B	852
§ 11.02	Real-Time Disclosure	853
	Note	856
§ 11.03	Impact of the “MD&A” Requirements	858
	MD&A Release	858
	<i>In the Matter of Caterpillar, Inc.</i>	858
	Note	862
§ 11.04	Duty to Disclose Forward-Looking or “Soft” Information	864
	[A] The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act	864
	[B] Safe Harbor for Projections — Rule 175	864
	Safe Harbor for Projections	864
	[C] “Puffing,” “Attribution,” and Statements of “Fact”	866
	<i>Raab v. General Physics Corporation</i>	866
	Note	871
	[D] Mandatory Disclosure of “Soft” Information	871
	<i>Starkman v. Marathon Oil Co.</i>	871
	Note	876
§ 11.05	Duty to Update	879
§ 11.06	Responding to Rumors	880
	<i>State Teachers Retirement Board v. Fluor Corp.</i>	881
	Note	883
§ 11.07	Duty to Disclose Negotiations	885
	<i>Basic, Inc. v. Levinson</i>	885
	Note	891
§ 11.08	Regulation FD	893
	Note	899
	<i>In re Netflix, Inc.</i>	900
Chapter 12	· Insider Trading	907
§ 12.01	Introduction	907
	[A] Overview	907
	[B] The Meaning of “Material” and “Nonpublic” Information	910

Note — <i>Martha Stewart</i>	913
§ 12.02 Problems	916
Problem A	916
Problem B	917
Problem C	917
§ 12.03 Actual Scenarios	917
<i>United States v. Blackwell</i>	918
<i>Securities and Exchange Commission v. Mazzo</i>	920
§ 12.04 The Classical Theory	922
<i>Chiarella v. United States</i>	922
Note — “Quasi” Insiders	929
§ 12.05 The “Misappropriation” Theory	929
<i>United States v. O’Hagan</i>	930
Note	938
<i>Securities and Exchange Commission v. Cuban</i>	940
§ 12.06 Family and Other Personal Relationships — SEC Rule 10b5-2	945
§ 12.07 “Possession” versus “Use”	946
§ 12.08 “Tipper-Tippee” Liability	948
<i>Dirks v. Securities and Exchange Commission</i>	948
Note	956
<i>Securities and Exchange Commission v. Switzer</i>	957
<i>Salman v. United States</i>	963
§ 12.09 Rule 14e-3	969
<i>United States v. O’Hagan</i>	969
Note	974
§ 12.10 Damages and Penalties	975
[A] Damages — Section 10(b) Actions	975
[B] Penalties	977
[C] Contemporaneous and Option Traders	978
§ 12.11 The STOCK Act	979
§ 12.12 Section 16 — “Short-Swing” Trading	981
[A] Overview	981
[B] Pertinent Issues	982
[1] Beneficial Ownership and the Concept of Attribution	983
[2] Directors — “Deputization”	984
[3] Officers	984
[4] Ten Percent Beneficial Owners	985
[5] Objective Approach versus Pragmatic Approach	985
§ 12.13 Blackout Periods	987
§ 12.14 Regulation FD	987
Chapter 13 · Financial Intermediaries — Broker-Dealers and Investment Advisers	989
§ 13.01 Overview	989

§ 13.02	SEC Staff Study on Investment Advisers and Broker-Dealers	991
	Study on Investment Advisers and Broker-Dealers	991
§ 13.03	Arbitration	995
	[A] Supreme Court Decisions	995
	<i>Shearson/American Express, Inc. v. McMahon</i>	995
	Note	1004
	[B] Aftermath of <i>McMahon</i> and <i>Rodriguez</i>	1005
	[C] <i>Charles Schwab</i> Matter	1006
	[D] Arbitration Today	1008
	[E] Arbitration in the Registered Offering Context	1009
§ 13.04	The Shingle and Related Theories	1010
	<i>Pross v. Baird, Patrick & Co., Inc.</i>	1010
	<i>Brown v. E.F. Hutton Group, Inc.</i>	1011
	[A] Distinguishing “Puffery” from “Misrepresentation”	1011
	<i>Cohen v. Prudential-Bache Securities, Inc.</i>	1011
	[B] State Law	1015
	<i>Gochnauer v. A.G. Edwards & Sons, Inc.</i>	1015
	Note	1019
	<i>De Kwiatkowski v. Bear, Stearns & Co., Inc.</i>	1020
§ 13.05	Churning	1027
	<i>Nesbit v. McNeil</i>	1027
	Note	1031
§ 13.06	The “Dramshop” Cases	1032
	<i>Puckett v. Rufenacht, Bromagen & Hertz, Inc.</i>	1032
§ 13.07	Defenses	1039
	<i>Hecht v. Harris, Upham & Co.</i>	1039
	<i>Bateman Eichler, Hill Richards, Inc. v. Berner</i>	1041
§ 13.08	Investment Adviser Fees	1041
	<i>Jones v. Harris Associates L.P.</i>	1041
§ 13.09	Conflicts of Interest	1051
	[A] In General	1051
	[B] The Need for Reinforcement Measures	1052
	[C] Regulatory Treatment of Chinese Walls	1053
	[D] SEC Global Research Analyst Settlements	1054
	[E] Sarbanes-Oxley Act Provisions	1055
	[F] Impact of the JOBS Act	1056
§ 13.10	Secondary Liability	1058
	Problem	1058
	[A] Aiding and Abetting Liability	1058
	[B] Controlling Person Liability	1058
	<i>Haynes v. Anderson & Strudwick, Inc.</i>	1058
	<i>Hollinger v. Titan Capital Corp.</i>	1059
	<i>Harrison v. Dean Witter Reynolds, Inc.</i>	1059
	[C] Respondeat Superior Liability	1059

	<i>Hollinger v. Titan Capital Corp.</i>	1059
[D]	Failure to Supervise	1059
	<i>In the Matter of John H. Gutfreund, Thomas W. Strauss, and John W. Meriwether</i>	1061
	Note	1069
§ 13.11	Credit Rating Agencies	1070
Chapter 14	· Corporate Control Acquisitions and Contests	1073
§ 14.01	Introduction	1073
§ 14.02	Going-Private Transactions	1073
	Problem	1074
[A]	State Law	1074
	<i>Weinberger v. UOP, Inc.</i>	1074
	Note	1087
	<i>In re Dole Food Co., Inc. Stockholder Litigation</i>	1088
[B]	Federal Regulation	1089
	<i>Virginia Bankshares, Inc. v. Sandberg</i>	1089
	SEC Rules 13e-3 and 13e-4	1090
§ 14.03	Proxy Contests	1091
	<i>GAF Corporation v. Heyman</i>	1092
	Note	1106
	<i>Virginia Bankshares v. Sandberg</i>	1107
	SEC Proxy Rule Amendments on Shareholder Communications	1108
	Note	1108
§ 14.04	Tender Offers	1108
[A]	What Is a Tender Offer?	1108
	<i>Hanson Trust PLC v. SCM Corporation</i>	1108
	Note	1117
[B]	Disclosure of Beneficial Ownership Interest	1118
[C]	Constitutional Dimensions of State Takeover Statutes	1121
[D]	Stakeholder Statutes	1125
[E]	SEC Tender Offer Rules	1125
[F]	Legitimacy of Defensive Tactics	1127
	[1] In General	1127
	[2] Federal Law	1130
	<i>Schreiber v. Burlington Northern, Inc.</i>	1131
	Note	1135
	[3] State Common Law	1136
	<i>Paramount Communications, Inc. v. Time, Inc.</i>	1136
	“Poison Pills” and Other Defensive Tactics	1151
Chapter 15	· Securities Law Enforcement	1155
§ 15.01	Introductory Materials	1155
[A]	SEC Order Directing a Private Investigation	1156

[B]	SEC Subpoena Order	1158
[C]	A Look at Blue Sky Enforcement	1159
§ 15.02	Collateral Estoppel Ramifications	1159
	<i>Parklane Hosiery Co., Inc. v. Shore</i>	1160
	Note	1163
§ 15.03	Subpoena Enforcement	1164
	<i>Securities and Exchange Commission v. Jerry T. O'Brien, Inc.</i>	1164
	Note	1171
§ 15.04	Injunctions	1172
[A]	Standards for Imposition	1172
[B]	Implications of <i>Central Bank of Denver</i>	1173
[C]	Collateral Consequences	1174
[D]	Other Relief	1175
[E]	Modification or Dissolution of SEC Injunctions	1175
§ 15.05	SEC Administrative Enforcement Remedies	1177
[A]	Cease and Desist Orders	1178
[B]	Money Penalties	1179
[C]	Refusal and Stop Orders	1179
[D]	Summarily Suspending Trading in a Security	1179
[E]	Broker-Dealer Disciplinary Sanctions	1180
[F]	Section 15(c)(4) Disciplinary Proceedings	1180
[G]	Section 21(a) Reports of Investigation	1181
	<i>In the Matter of Occidental Petroleum Corporation</i>	1182
§ 15.06	Officer and Director Bars	1190
§ 15.07	Money Penalties	1190
	Statement of the Securities and Exchange Commission	
	Concerning Financial Penalties	1191
§ 15.08	Sarbanes-Oxley Act and Dodd-Frank Act Enhanced Enforcement	1195
§ 15.09	SEC Settlements	1197
	<i>SEC v. Citigroup Global Markets, Inc.</i>	1197
§ 15.10	Statutes of Limitations	1204
	<i>Gabelli v. SEC</i>	1205
§ 15.11	Attorney-Client Privilege	1210
[A]	In General	1210
	<i>In re: Kellogg Brown & Root, Inc.</i>	1210
	Note	1215
[B]	“Limited” Waiver Concept	1217
	<i>Permian Corp. v. United States</i>	1217
	Note	1224
§ 15.12	Parallel Proceedings	1224
	<i>Securities and Exchange Commission v. Dresser Industries, Inc.</i>	1224
	Note	1232
§ 15.13	International Enforcement	1235
	SEC Statement on International Enforcement Assistance (2012)	1235

Chapter 16 · The Role of Counsel	1239
§ 16.01 Overview	1239
[A] Sarbanes-Oxley and the SEC’s Response	1239
[B] State Ethical Rules	1241
§ 16.02 Attorney Liability for Client Fraud	1242
Problem	1243
SEC Adopts Attorney Conduct Rule Under Sarbanes-Oxley Act	1243
<i>In the Matter of Carter and Johnson</i>	1245
<i>Securities and Exchange Commission v. Spiegel, Inc.</i>	1251
Note	1256
[A] Duty to Disclose Client Fraud	1256
[B] Attorney Malpractice and Negligent Misrepresentation	1257
<i>Cromeans v. Morgan Keegan & Co., Inc.</i>	1258
[C] Duty to Supervise	1261
<i>In the Matter of Gutfreund, Strauss and Meriwether</i>	1261
[D] Responding to Auditor Requests	1261
§ 16.03 Predecessor-Successor Communications	1263
<i>In re OPM Leasing Services, Inc.</i>	1263
Note	1267
§ 16.04 Legal Opinions	1268
§ 16.05 Attorney-Client Privilege	1269
<i>In re: Kellogg Brown & Root, Inc.</i>	1269
§ 16.06 Conflicts of Interest	1269
[A] Conflicts in SEC Proceedings	1269
<i>In the Matter of Blizzard and Abel</i>	1269
<i>Matter of Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc.</i>	1270
[B] “Screening” Mechanisms	1273
Index	1277

Table of Principal Cases

- Absolute Activist Value Master Fund Limited v. Ficeto, 678
- Ackerberg v. Johnson, 386
- Akerman v. Oryx Communications, Inc., 425
- Alunni v. Development Resources Group, LLC, 65
- Anheuser-Busch Companies, Inc. v. Summit Coffee Co., 763
- Asher v. Baxter International, Inc., 582
- Basic, Inc. v. Levinson, 590, 885
- Bateman Eichler, Hill Richards, Inc. v. Berner, 647, 1041
- Bennett v. Hunter Durham, 772
- Blackwell; United States v., 918
- Blizzard and Abel, In the Matter of, 1269
- Blue Chip Stamps v. Manor Drug Stores, 525
- Brown v. E.F. Hutton Group, Inc., 568, 1011
- Brown v. Earthboard Sports USA, Inc., 130
- Business Roundtable v. SEC, 725
- Carter and Johnson, In the Matter of, 1245
- Caterpillar, Inc., In the Matter of, 858
- Central Bank of Denver v. First Interstate Bank of Denver, 790
- Chadbourne & Parke LLP v. Troice, 750
- Chiarella v. United States, 922
- City of Pontiac Policemen's and Firemen's Retirement System v. UBS AG, 681
- Cohen v. Prudential-Bache Securities, Inc., 1011
- Continental Marketing Corp. v. Securities and Exchange Commission, 45
- Cromeans v. Morgan Keegan & Co., Inc., 1258
- De Kwiatkowski v. Bear, Stearns & Co., Inc., 1020
- Dirks v. Securities and Exchange Commission, 948
- Dole Food Co., Inc. Stockholder Litigation, In re, 1088
- Dura Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Broudo, 616
- Eichenholtz v. Brennan, 430
- Ernst & Ernst v. Hochfelder, 540
- Escott v. BarChris Construction Corporation, 435
- Gabelli v. SEC, 1205
- GAF Corporation v. Heyman, 1092
- Gaines v. Haughton, 298
- Ganino v. Citizens Utilities Company, 303, 623
- Gochnauer v. A.G. Edwards & Sons, Inc., 1015
- Gustafson v. Alloyd Company, 502
- Halliburton Co. v. Erica P. John Fund, Inc., 600
- Hanson Trust PLC v. SCM Corporation, 1108
- Harrison v. Dean Witter Reynolds, Inc., 1059
- Haynes v. Anderson & Strudwick, Inc., 834, 1058
- Hecht v. Harris, Upham & Co., 1039
- Hertzberg v. Dignity Partners, Inc., 409
- Hollinger v. Titan Capital Corp., 1059
- Holmes v. Grubman, 782
- Janus Capital Group, Inc. v. First Derivative Traders, 819
- John H. Gutfreund, Thomas W. Strauss, and John W. Meriwether, In the Matter of, 846, 1061, 1261

- Jones v. Harris Associates L.P., 1041
Kellogg Brown & Root, Inc., In re, 1210, 1269
Landreth Timber Company v. Landreth, 82
Landry v. All American Assurance Co., 700
Lindeen v. Securities and Exchange Commission, 148
Malone v. Brincat, 776
Marine Bank v. Weaver, 90
Matrixx Initiatives, Inc. v. Siracusano, 288, 623
Merck & Co., Inc. v. Reynolds, 636
Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc. v. Dabit, 743
Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc., Matter of, 1270
Morrison v. National Australia Bank Ltd., 665
Myers v. Finkle, 565
Naftalin; United States v., 694
Nesbit v. McNeil, 1027
Netflix, Inc., In re, 900
Occidental Petroleum Corporation, In the Matter of, 1182
O'Hagan; United States v., 930, 969
Omnicare, Inc. v. Laborers District Council Construction Industry Pension Fund, 412
OPM Leasing Services, Inc., In re, 1263
Panther Partners Inc. v. Ikanos Communications, Inc., 283
Paramount Communications, Inc. v. Time, Inc., 1136
Parklane Hosiery Co., Inc. v. Shore, 1160
Pennaluna & Company v. Securities and Exchange Commission, 358
Permian Corp. v. United States, 1217
Pinter v. Dahl, 490
Pross v. Baird, Patrick & Co., Inc., 684, 1010
Puckett v. Rufenacht, Bromagen & Hertz, Inc., 1032
Raab v. General Physics Corporation, 866
Regional Properties, Inc. v. Financial and Real Estate Consulting Company, 736
Reves v. Ernst & Young, 96
Salman v. United States, 963
Santa Fe Industries, Inc. v. Green, 548
Schreiber v. Burlington Northern, Inc., 1131
SEC v. Citigroup Global Markets, Inc., 1197
Securities and Exchange Commission v. Apuzzo, 805
Securities and Exchange Commission v. Chinese Consolidated Benevolent Association, 353
Securities and Exchange Commission v. Cuban, 940
Securities and Exchange Commission v. Dresser Industries, Inc., 1224
Securities and Exchange Commission v. Edwards, 47
Securities and Exchange Commission v. Jerry T. O'Brien, Inc., 1164
Securities and Exchange Commission v. Mazzo, 920
Securities and Exchange Commission v. Ralston Purina Co., 117
Securities and Exchange Commission v. Spiegel, Inc., 1251
Securities and Exchange Commission v. Switzer, 957
Securities and Exchange Commission v. W.J. Howey Co., 41
Securities and Exchange Commission v. Zandford, 559
Seolas v. Bilzerian, 843
Shearson/American Express, Inc. v. McMahon, 995
Sherwood; United States v., 348
Software Toolworks Inc. Securities Litigation, In re, 464
Starkman v. Marathon Oil Co., 871
State Teachers Retirement Board v. Fluor Corp., 881
Sterling Trust Co. v. Adderley, 765
Stoneridge Investment Partners, LLC v. Scientific-Atlanta, Inc., 809

Tellabs, Inc. v. Makor Issues & Rights, Ltd., 627	Wartzman v. Hightower Productions, Ltd., 37
The Wharf (Holdings) Limited v. United International Holdings, Inc., 536	Weinberger v. UOP, Inc., 1074
United Housing Foundation, Inc. v. Forman, 74	Worldcom, Inc. Securities Litigation, In re, 478
Virginia Bankshares v. Sandberg, 554, 710, 1089, 1107	

Acknowledgments—First Edition

I owe many thanks to several individuals for helping to make this project a reality. Foremost, I thank Professor Alan Bromberg, an outstanding academician and individual, who generously supported my proposal when it was in a very formative stage. I also extend my gratitude to Richard Adin, Esq., who expertly served as the Matthew Bender editor for this project. In addition, I particularly thank Professor Mark Sargent for his insightful comments which were of tremendous assistance.

The University of Maryland School of Law deserves my special thanks. I express my deep appreciation to Dean Michael Kelly, one of this country's great law school deans, for his continual strong support. My research assistants, many of whom now are practicing attorneys, performed admirably to ease my task in completing this project. I thank Jennifer Crabb, Esq., Robin Goldman, Esq., Jeff Hines, Esq., Joan Karasinski, Esq., Dawn Lettman, Esq., and Greg Neville, Esq. for their invaluable assistance. I also thank Peter Brennan, Esq., Elizabeth Jacobs, Esq., and Bruce Mendelsohn, Esq. for their help.

I also benefitted from receiving excellent typing and other technological assistance. I especially thank Ms. Lu Ann Marshall for her expert typing of the great bulk of the manuscript. My thanks also to Mrs. Ann Garrett, Mrs. Laura Mrozek, Mrs. Helen Ramia, and Mrs. Frieda Whitney for their help. Also, I thank Dean Linda McDonnell for her assistance throughout this project.

I dedicate this book to certain individuals who have been generous to me in their encouragement, support and guidance. In particular, I dedicate this book: To my parents, Gerald and Phyllis Steinberg, my sister and brother-in-law, Nancy and Bob Gossman, my grandmothers, Anne Marblestone and Belle Steinberg, and my cousin, David Steinberg, for their love and constant support; to Judge Stanley N. Barnes and Judge Anthony J. Celebrezze who provided me with the opportunity to serve as one of their law clerks; to Senator Robert P. Griffin who offered me perhaps the most exciting job a young lawyer could have, being legislative counsel to a U.S. Senator; to Judge Stanley Sporkin, who was kind enough to hire me as an enforcement attorney at the Securities and Exchange Commission; to Ralph C. Ferrara, Esq., former SEC General Counsel, with whom I had the pleasure of working at the SEC; to Dean Jerome Barron who offered me my first full-time teaching job; to Dean Michael Kelly who helps make my being at the Maryland Law School a pleasure; to Deans Murray Schwartz, William Warren and Susan Westerberg Prager and the faculty of the UCLA Law School for their support throughout the years; to Professors Ted Fiflis, Bill

Reynolds, Stan Siegel, Lew Solomon, and Greg Young for their wise counsel; and to some very good friends, Howard Bartnick, Peter Brennan, Sam Gruenbaum, Fred Jacobs, Jeff and Judy Karr, John Koneck, Cliff Losh, Barry Quinn, Ben Rosenberg, Jerry Rosen and Liz Hardy, Jim Singer, Richard Starr, Richard Wasserstrom, and Lloyd and Kris Zemmol, for being good friends.

Acknowledgments—Second Edition

I wish to thank the SMU School of Law and its Dean, Paul Rogers, for supporting this project. My gratitude is also extended to Ms. Kathleen Vaughan for her expert assistance as well as to my former research assistants Elizabeth Farrell, Esq. and Daryl Lansdale, Esq. for their help. I also thank Ralph Janvey, Esq. for his input.

It is fitting that I dedicate this Second Edition to one of the individuals for whom the First Edition was dedicated—Judge Stanley N. Barnes (of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit) who passed away in 1990. I had the privilege of clerking for Judge Barnes. He was a great man and I am lucky that our paths crossed. Judge Barnes perhaps was the most successful person I’ve ever known—a fine judge (trial and appellate), an Assistant Attorney General of the United States, a superb trial attorney, a member of the National Collegiate Football Hall of Fame (he was an All-American on the “Cal Wonder Team”), National President of his fraternity, Sigma Chi, an avid traveler with an impressive anthropological mask collection, an active bridge player and stamp collector, a giver of his time to worthwhile causes, including the Los Angeles Orthopaedic Hospital for Children, and a dedicated family man. Judge Barnes taught me that a person can be very successful at his or her career while actively pursuing other objectives and joys. To all this, Judge Barnes would probably say: “My good friend Earl Warren said, ‘compliments to me is like water running down a duck’s back but the duck likes it.’”

Acknowledgments—Third Edition

I thank the SMU School of Law for supporting this project. The Law School graciously provided me with Summer Research Grants in connection with this Third Edition.

As with the Second Edition, I extend my appreciation to Ms. Kathleen Vaughan for her expert assistance. I also thank my colleagues and good friends on the SMU Law Faculty who have been instrumental in enabling me to enjoy my relationship with this fine school. In particular, I wish to dedicate this book to a few of my very special friends in Dallas who I am lucky to have in my life: Laurie Beth Dodic, Roy Anderson, Alan Bromberg, Tim Davis, Robert Feiger, Chris Hanna, Linda and Jim Hobbs, Ralph Janvey, George Martinez, Joe Norton, Doug Ramsey, Henry Rosen, Wayne Secore and Bob Wise.

Acknowledgments—Fourth Edition

It has been nearly twenty years since the First Edition of this textbook was published. Much has happened in securities regulation, in the world, and in my life—Thank God, mostly for the better.

I thank Dean John B. Attanasio and the SMU Dedman School of Law. The strong support I receive from this superb law school is truly appreciated by me. I wish to extend my gratitude for the summer research grant awarded for this project. I also extend my appreciation to Ms. Jan Spann for her excellent secretarial assistance.

I dedicate the Fourth Edition to my terrific dad, Gerry Steinberg, in honor of his 90th birthday on March 3, 2004. I am blessed to have such a wonderful, kind and supportive father. Dad, this is dedicated to you with all my love.

Acknowledgments— Fifth Edition

I thank the SMU Dedman School of Law for supporting this project. The Law School graciously provided me with a Summer Research Grant in connection with this Fifth Edition. My gratitude is expressed to Dean John B. Attanasio and my administrative assistant Ms. Jan Spann. The strong support I receive from this superb law school is truly appreciated by me.

I also thank my good friend Ralph Janvey, a superb securities law practitioner and adjunct professor at the Law School, for his assistance.

I dedicate this Fifth Edition to my terrific Uncle, Irving Steinberg, in honor of his 90th birthday on May 6, 2008. Uncle Irv, the younger brother of my Dad Gerry Steinberg, honorably served our country as a Major during World War II, being awarded a Bronze Star at the Battle of the Bulge. He and my Dad were “best friends” and business partners for nearly fifty years. Dedicating this Fifth Edition to you, Uncle Irv, is a way for me to say thanks for being a wonderful uncle to our family and personally to me.

Acknowledgments—Sixth Edition

I thank the SMU Dedman School of Law for supporting this project. The Law School graciously provided me with a Summer Research Grant (the Michael C. and Jacqueline M. Barrett Endowed Faculty Research Fund) in connection with this Sixth Edition. My gratitude is expressed to Dean John B. Attanasio and my administrative assistant Ms. Jan Spann. The strong support I receive from this superb law school is truly appreciated by me.

I dedicate this Sixth Edition to my cousin David Steinberg. Not having a brother, David comes as close to a brother as I have. He is a wonderful and loyal friend as well as a man of integrity. In addition, David is a superb attorney, has keen judgment, and a good sense of humor. Dedicating this Sixth Edition to you, David, is a way for me to say thanks for being my terrific “younger brother.”

Acknowledgments—Seventh Edition

Since the publication of the First Edition of this textbook in 1986 (when I was a “youngster”), much has changed in the field of securities regulation. This edition, like its predecessors, reflects important developments since the publication of the Sixth Edition in 2013.

With respect to this Seventh Edition, I wish to thank: Dean Jennifer Collins for her strong support of my scholarship; Director of the SMU Law Library, Mr. Greg Ivy, for his superb assistance; my administrative assistant, Ms. Carolyn Yates, for her excellent technological support; and my research assistants—Ms. Katherine Grosskopf, Mr. Matthew Hortenstine, and Mr. Logan Weissler—for their diligent proofing of the manuscript for the Seventh Edition. The wonderful support that I receive from this superb law school truly is appreciated by me.

I dedicate the Seventh Edition to my terrific Uncle—Mr. Fred Marblestone—in honor of his 90th birthday. Uncle Fred, the younger brother of my Mother, has been a supportive and loving Uncle through the years. This is a way for me to say thank you Uncle Fred for being so wonderful to our family and personally to me.

Preface

The developments in securities regulation during the past several years have been multi-faceted. A text for a course on this subject should therefore not only present the basic theories and principles but also should (1) present the material in a manner that reflects the current trends and developments, (2) encompass timely additional material, including law review commentary and SEC releases, and (3) make the material as interesting as possible, given that the class will be comprised of upper-level students who are anxious for creative and practical “lawyering.” With these thoughts in focus, the text is organized and directed toward a number of different perspectives. For example:

(1) The Securities and Exchange Commission, through rulemaking, has integrated the Securities Act of 1933 with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. From the standpoint of applicable disclosure obligations, both in the primary and secondary markets, the integration concept has a tremendous impact. Moreover, grave liability concerns are raised in this context.

(2) The Supreme Court and the lower federal courts have been extremely active in the securities law area. Supreme Court decisions during this era generally reflect a trend towards restricting the scope of the securities laws. The lower federal courts, however, have not necessarily followed the High Court’s restrictive approach.

(3) The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act, enacted by Congress in 1995, reflects a trend towards facilitating capital formation and the conducting of business, with private litigation viewed frequently as an undue impediment. The JOBS Act enacted in 2012 similarly reflects a strong federal policy seeking to enhance capital raising by smaller enterprises.

(4) The SEC continues to facilitate capital formation through its rulemaking process in both the private and public offering settings.

(5) The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 federalizes key aspects of corporate governance and sets forth new obligations for corporate insiders as well as professionals, including accountants and legal counsel. The Dodd-Frank Act of 2010 likewise federalizes aspects of corporate governance.

(6) There is the role of counsel as adviser and planner which is the principal function of the securities lawyer. In connection therewith, the attorney and his/her client may have conflict of interest dilemmas, disclosure duties, and the apprehension of SEC enforcement action. This apprehension is magnified in view of the Sarbanes-Oxley and Dodd-Frank Acts.

(7) During the past several years, there have surfaced a number of intriguing issues, some of which directly impact on traditional securities regulation. For example, we have seen the Supreme Court restricting the scope of primary liability and a renewed interest in state securities regulation.

The coverage of the text is designed for both the basic securities regulation course and advanced seminars. The text covers the traditional issues as well as the developing areas. Subjects that receive extensive treatment include: definition of a security, exemptions from registration, the JOBS Act, the registration process, Sarbanes-Oxley, Dodd-Frank, the policy debate underlying disclosure, resales (including SEC Rule 144), due diligence (including the integrated disclosure framework), disclosure obligations in a myriad of contexts, international securities developments including global offerings, remedies and liabilities under both federal and state securities law, broker-dealer regulation, corporate control transactions, attorney professional responsibility, SEC enforcement, and “Blue Sky” regulation.

The objective of the text is to treat the above subjects in a comprehensive, understandable, yet intellectually challenging manner, seeking to combine both the theoretical and practical in this complex subject area. While the case method is employed, it is by no means exclusive. In addition to case law, the text includes other relevant material such as SEC releases and scholarly commentary. Moreover, the problem method is extensively used. This method is particularly suitable for a “practical” course where upper level students are seeking to do some “lawyering.” Thus, it is hoped that the text will stimulate intellectual discussion, and, at the same time, provide students who await either a sophisticated securities or, alternatively, a general business practice with much needed practical analyses and skills.