

# Cases and Problems in Criminal Law



# Cases and Problems in Criminal Law

SEVENTH EDITION

**Myron Moskowitz**

PROFESSOR OF LAW  
GOLDEN GATE UNIVERSITY

**J. Amy Dillard**

ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR OF LAW  
UNIVERSITY OF BALTIMORE SCHOOL OF LAW



CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS  
Durham, North Carolina

Copyright © 2018  
Carolina Academic Press, LLC  
All Rights Reserved

LCCN: 2017955182  
ISBN: 978-1-5310-0194-0  
eISBN: 978-1-53100-195-7

Carolina Academic Press, LLC  
700 Kent Street  
Durham, North Carolina 27701  
Telephone (919) 489-7486  
Fax (919) 493-5668  
[www.cap-press.com](http://www.cap-press.com)

Printed in the United States of America

# Contents

---

---

Preface	xi
Introduction	3
An Overview of the Criminal Process	3
Problem Analysis	7
About the Model Penal Code	14
<b>PART I</b>	
<b>ACTUS REUS AND MENS REA—IN GENERAL</b>	
Chapter 1 · Mistake	19
Problem 1	19
California Penal Code	21
People v. O’Brien	22
People v. Snyder	24
Miller v. Commonwealth	30
Chapter 2 · Attempt	35
Problem 2	35
Title 18, United States Statutes	36
United States v. Roman	37
United States v. Mandujano	41
United States v. Joyce	55
Chapter 3 · Accomplice Liability	67
Problem 3	67
Florida Statutes	68
Hampton v. State	68
Estrada v. State	71
G.C. v. State	72
Gains v. State	72
Cable v. State	75
Rodriguez v. State (Rodriguez I)	77
Rodriguez v. State (Rodriguez II)	79
United States v. Ortega	80

**PART II**  
**PROPERTY CRIMES**

<b>Chapter 4 · Larceny</b>	85
Problem 4	86
New York Penal Law	87
People v. Alamo	88
People v. Olivo	98
People v. Jennings	103
<b>Chapter 5 · Embezzlement</b>	115
Problem 5	116
United States Code, Title 18, §654	117
United States v. Titus	118
Government of the Virgin Islands v. Leonard	119
United States v. Whitlock	123
United States v. Selwyn	130
<b>Chapter 6 · False Pretenses</b>	135
Problem 6	135
District of Columbia Code, 1982, §22-3211 — Theft	137
Chaplin v. United States	137
Nelson v. United States	142
Locks v. United States	148
<b>Chapter 7 · Burglary</b>	157
Problem 7	157
Theft Act 1968, Chapter 60	158
Regina v. Collins	159
Regina v. Jones	166
People v. Davis	172
<b>Chapter 8 · Robbery</b>	181
Problem 8	181
Pennsylvania Statutes, Title 18	182
Commonwealth v. English	183
Commonwealth v. Sleighter	185
Commonwealth v. Brown	189
Commonwealth v. Rozplochi	195
State v. Holley	202

**PART III**  
**RAPE**

<b>Chapter 9 · Rape</b>	209
Problem 9	210

Maryland Code, Article 27	212
Goldberg v. State	214
State v. Rusk	221
<b>PART IV</b>	
<b>HOMICIDE</b>	
<b>Chapter 10 · First Degree Murder</b>	247
Problem 10	248
Washington Criminal Code	249
State v. Lindamood	249
State v. Brooks	252
State v. Bingham	255
State v. Ollens	264
<b>Chapter 11 · Voluntary Manslaughter</b>	271
Problem 11	271
New Mexico Statutes, 1978, § 30-2-3(A)	272
State v. Nevares	272
State v. Castro	276
Sells v. State	279
State v. Munoz	284
<b>Chapter 12 · Depraved Heart Murder and Involuntary Manslaughter</b>	291
Problem 12	292
Utah Criminal Code	293
State v. Olsen	294
State v. Jensen	303
State v. Bolsinger	310
<b>Chapter 13 · Felony Murder</b>	321
Problem 13	322
California Penal Code	323
People v. Washington	324
Taylor v. Superior Court	331
People v. Phillips	338
People v. Johnson	342
People v. Howard	346
People v. Wilkins	351
<b>Chapter 14 · Causation</b>	359
Problem 14	360
New York Penal Law	361
People v. Kibbe	361
People v. Stewart	370
People v. Flores	376

People v. Galle	379
People v. Duffy	380
People v. Matos	383
<b>PART V</b>	
<b>DEFENSES</b>	
<b>Chapter 15 · Self-Defense</b>	387
Problem 15	388
New Jersey Statutes	389
State v. Mulvihill	390
State v. Bonano	393
State v. Kelly	396
State v. Gartland	416
<b>Chapter 16 · Necessity and Duress</b>	427
Problem 16	428
United States v. Holmes	429
The Queen v. Dudley & Stephens	436
United States v. Contento-Pachon	442
Andrews v. People	453
State v. Thayer	464
<b>Chapter 17 · Defense of Habitation; Prevention of Felony and Escape</b>	481
Problem 17	482
California Penal Code	483
People v. Kilvington	485
People v. Ceballos	488
People v. Martin	494
<b>Chapter 18 · Insanity, Diminished Capacity, and Incompetency</b>	503
Problem 18	505
California Penal Code	507
People v. Wolff	509
People v. Drew	521
People v. Wetmore	534
<b>PART VI</b>	
<b>ANTICIPATORY OFFENSES</b>	
<b>Chapter 19 · Solicitation</b>	551
Problem 19	551
California Penal Code	552
Oregon Revised Statutes	553
People v. Burt	554
In re Elizabeth G.	557
People v. Rubin	559
People v. Bottger	571



<b>Chapter 20 · Conspiracy</b>	579
Section A—The Agreement	580
Problem 20A	580
United States Code, Title 18	582
United States v. Rosenblatt	582
United States v. Escobar DeBright	588
United States v. Brown	592
Section B—The Intent	600
Problem 20B	601
California Penal Code	602
California Health & Safety Code	602
People v. Lauria	602
United States v. Blankenship	610
Section C—Scope of the Conspiracy	618
Problem 20C	620
McDonald v. United States	620
United States v. Bruno	624
Krulewitch v. United States	627
United States v. Perez	633
United States v. Lechuga	649
<b>Appendix · Model Penal Code (Selected Sections)</b>	659



# Preface

---

This casebook delves into the theory and philosophy of criminal law as they emerge from the practice of criminal law. The authors have designed the book to teach students how to analyze problems like a lawyer who practices criminal law.

Suppose a client comes to a lawyer with a difficult legal problem, involving a complex set of facts. The lawyer will begin representation by interviewing the client and witnesses, performing the necessary research of the legal issues, and finding a cluster of cases and statutes, usually from the jurisdiction in which the problem arises. In order to advise the client (and—if necessary—to litigate the case), the lawyer must analyze, distinguish, reconcile, and interrelate the authorities in the research cluster, by viewing them as a group that indicates the direction of that state's law, as well as seeing them separately as they each apply to the client's issues.

The goal of this book is to recreate the lawyer's experience for the law student by setting a task, by way of an introductory Problem, at the start of each chapter. The cases and statutes follow in traditional casebook form, offering the student the tools to address the Problem. The role of the teacher is to ensure the student's formative knowledge acquisition of the material in the cases and statutes, and then to test that substantive knowledge by working through the Problem. By placing analytical skill-building squarely within the doctrinal textbook and, by extension, within the doctrinal classroom, this textbook gives students an opportunity to apply the knowledge they are acquiring throughout the course. Moreover, we have ordered the chapters so that students will review material from the earlier part of the course as they move into more complex legal doctrine later in the course. We have placed notes throughout the chapters to help students think about the Problem as they read the material.

We have selected cases that have interesting facts, raise issues that tend to be central to the topic of the chapter, and that contain understandable analyses by the courts. We have edited the cases with an eye toward making them readable and comprehensible for first-year students. In most chapters, the main cases come from a single jurisdiction (the jurisdiction in which the Problem takes place) and are presented chronologically. Practicing lawyers generally focus on cases in the jurisdiction where the case is to be tried, trying to understand and reconcile them. We want our students to do the same.

This book is primarily a tool for developing skills, while working through the intricacies of the doctrine of criminal law. While the materials should enable the professor to explore the traditional principles of criminal law, greater breadth of

coverage can be obtained from a good treatise or hornbook, such as LaFave, *Criminal Law* (West Academic), which we consider the best of its kind.

While we believe that the approach taken by this textbook is pedagogically sound, we have learned that students enjoy taking on the role of lawyer. This approach does demand more work from them. Not only must they read the cases, they must try to apply the reading to the Problem. We also ask them to prepare an outline of an analysis of the Problem, based on the authorities in the chapter. All of this takes more time and effort, but they do it and seem to enjoy doing it. They know that they are reading the cases as a lawyer would, for a specific purpose: to resolve the Problem.

MYRON MOSKOVITZ AND AMY DILLARD