

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW

Carolina Academic Press Context and Practice Series

Michael Hunter Schwartz

Series Editor

Administrative Law

Richard Henry Seamon

Advanced Torts

Alex B. Long and Meredith J. Duncan

Animal Law—New Perspectives on Teaching Traditional Law

Kathy Hessler, Joyce Tischler, Pamela Hart, and Sonia S. Waisman

Antitrust Law

Steven Semeraro

Civil Procedure

Gerald F. Hess, Theresa M. Beiner, and Scott R. Bauries

Civil Procedure for All States

Benjamin V. Madison, III

Complex Litigation

James M. Underwood

Constitutional Law, Second Edition

David Schwartz and Lori Ringhand

**A Context and Practice Global Case File:
An Intersex Athlete's Constitutional Challenge,
*Hastings v. USATE, IAAF, and IOC***

Olivia M. Farrar

**A Context and Practice Global Case File:
Rossi v. Bryce, An International Embryo and Surrogacy Dispute**

Olivia M. Farrar

**A Context and Practice Global Case File:
Thorpe v. Lightfoot, A Mother's International Hague Petition
for the Return of Her Child**

Olivia M. Farrar

Contracts, Second Edition

Michael Hunter Schwartz and Adrian Walters

Criminal Law

Steven I. Friedland, Catherine Carpenter,
Kami Chavis, and Catherine Arcabsacio

Current Issues in Constitutional Litigation, Second Edition

Sarah E. Ricks, with co-author Evelyn M. Tenenbaum

Employment Discrimination, Second Edition

Susan Grover, Sandra F. Sperino, and Jarod S. Gonzalez

Energy Law

Joshua P. Fershee

Evidence, Second Edition

Pavel Wonsowicz

International Business Transactions

Amy Deen Westbrook

International Women's Rights, Equality, and Justice

Christine M. Venter

The Lawyer's Practice

Kris Franklin

Professional Responsibility

Barbara Glesner Fines

Property

Alicia Kelly and Nancy Knauer

Sales, Second Edition

Edith R. Warkentine

Secured Transactions

Edith R. Warkentine and Jerome A. Grossman

Torts

Paula J. Manning

Workers' Compensation Law, Second Edition

Michael C. Duff

Your Brain and Law School

Marybeth Herald

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW

A Context and Practice Casebook

SECOND EDITION

David S. Schwartz

FOLEY & LARDNER-BASCOM PROFESSOR OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN LAW SCHOOL

Lori A. Ringhand

J. ALTON HOSCH PROFESSOR OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA SCHOOL OF LAW



CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS
Durham, North Carolina

Copyright © 2017
Carolina Academic Press, LLC
All Rights Reserved

LCCN: 2017939773
ISBN: 978-1-5310-0454-5
eISBN: 978-1-53100-514-6

Carolina Academic Press, LLC
700 Kent Street
Durham, North Carolina 27701
Telephone (919) 489-7486
Fax (919) 493-5668
www.cap-press.com

Printed in the United States of America

*We dedicate this book to John Kidwell.
John was our teacher, mentor, colleague and friend.
He inspired us in so many ways,
and was taken from us much too soon.*

Summary of Contents

List of Diagrams and Figures	xxi
Table of Cases	xxiii
Series Editor's Preface	xxix
Preface to the Second Edition	xxx

PART ONE
LEGISLATIVE POWER AND FEDERALISM

Chapter 1 · Legislative Power	3
Chapter 2 · Limitations on State Powers	231

PART TWO
SEPARATION OF POWERS

Chapter 3 · Executive Power	291
Chapter 4 · Other Separation-of-Powers Issues	495

PART THREE
JUDICIAL POWER

Chapter 5 · Judicial Review	549
Chapter 6 · Justiciability	609

PART FOUR
DUE PROCESS, FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS, AND EQUAL PROTECTION

Chapter 7 · Substantive Due Process	655
Chapter 8 · Equal Protection	775

PART FIVE
THE FIRST AMENDMENT

Chapter 9 · Freedom of Speech	987
Chapter 10 · Religious Freedom	1103
Appendix · The Constitution of the United States	1171
Index	1189

Contents

List of Diagrams and Figures	xxi
Table of Cases	xxiii
Series Editor's Preface	xxix
Preface to the Second Edition	xxx

PART ONE
LEGISLATIVE POWER AND FEDERALISM

Chapter 1 · Legislative Power	3
A. The Problem of Enumerated Powers	3
B. The Problem of Implied Powers	6
<i>McCulloch v. Maryland</i>	9
C. The Commerce Clause	21
1. Doctrinal Origins	22
<i>Gibbons v. Ogden</i>	23
2. The <i>Lochner</i> Era: 1880s to 1937	29
<i>Hammer v. Dagenhart</i>	31
<i>Carter v. Carter Coal Co.</i>	37
Exercises: The <i>Lochner</i> Era	42
Franklin D. Roosevelt's Victory Dinner Speech	42
3. The New Deal Turnaround and the Reaction to the <i>Lochner</i> Era: 1937 to 1995	46
<i>National Labor Relations Board v. Jones & Laughlin Steel Corp.</i>	48
<i>United States v. Darby Lumber Co.</i>	51
<i>Wickard v. Filburn</i>	54
Exercises: The New Deal Turnaround	57
<i>Heart of Atlanta Motel v. United States</i>	58
4. The Modern Era: The Commerce Clause Since 1995	62
<i>United States v. Lopez</i>	63
Exercises: <i>Lopez</i>	71
<i>United States v. Morrison</i>	72
Exercises: <i>Lopez</i> and <i>Morrison</i>	78
Exercise: The Affordable Care Act Case	78
<i>Gonzales v. Raich</i>	80
Exercise: The ACA Case (continued)	92
<i>Department of Health and Human Services, et al. v.</i> <i>State of Florida, et al.</i>	93
<i>National Federation of Independent Business v. Sebelius</i>	94
D. The Tenth Amendment	110
<i>New York v. United States</i>	113
<i>Printz v. United States</i>	120
Exercise: <i>Printz v. United States</i>	128

<i>Reno v. Condon</i>	128
<i>Bond v. United States</i>	131
Recap: <i>Tenth Amendment</i>	135
Exercise: <i>Commandeering</i>	135
E. The Taxing and Spending Powers	136
1. The Taxing Power	136
<i>Bailey v. Drexel Furniture Co.</i>	137
<i>United States v. Kahriger</i>	141
Exercises: The Taxing Power	146
<i>National Federation of Independent Business v. Sebelius</i>	146
Recap: The Taxing Power	153
2. The Spending Power	153
<i>United States v. Butler</i>	154
<i>South Dakota v. Dole</i>	161
Exercise: The ACA Case and the Spending Power	166
<i>National Federation of Independent Business v. Sebelius</i>	167
F. The Civil War Amendments	178
1. Foundational Doctrine	179
<i>Katzenbach v. Morgan</i>	180
a. The Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment	184
b. The Incorporation Doctrine	185
<i>City of Boerne v. Flores</i>	186
<i>United States v. Morrison</i>	191
Recap	194
2. The Enforcement Clauses and the Eleventh Amendment	195
<i>Fitzpatrick v. Bitzer</i>	196
<i>Seminole Tribe of Florida v. Florida</i>	198
Exercise: The Enforcement Provisions and the Eleventh Amendment	203
<i>Kimel v. Florida Board of Regents</i>	204
<i>Nevada Department of Human Resources v. Hibbs</i>	208
G. The Treaty Power	212
<i>Missouri v. Holland</i>	212
<i>Bond v. United States</i>	215
Exercise: Enumerated Powers	228
Professional Development Reflection Questions	229
Chapter 2 · Limitations on State Powers	231
A. Overview	231
B. The Dormant Commerce Clause	231
1. Foundational Doctrine	233
<i>H.P. Hood & Sons, Inc. v. Du Mond</i>	233
2. Facially Discriminatory Laws	236
<i>City of Philadelphia v. New Jersey</i>	237
<i>C&A Carbone v. Town of Clarkstown</i>	238
3. Facially Neutral Laws	241
<i>Hunt v. Washington State Apple Advertising Commission</i>	241
<i>Minnesota v. Clover Leaf Creamery</i>	245
4. Analysis if Discriminatory	247
<i>Dean Milk v. City of Madison</i>	247

<i>Maine v. Taylor</i>	249
5. Analysis if Not Discriminatory	252
<i>Bibb v. Navajo Freight Lines</i>	252
Exercises: Applying the Dormant Commerce Clause	254
6. Exceptions	255
<i>Reeves v. Stake</i>	255
<i>South-Central Timber Development v. Wunnicke</i>	259
C. The Privileges and Immunities Clause	266
<i>Hicklin v. Orbeck</i>	266
<i>United Building and Construction Trades Council v. City of Camden</i>	270
D. Preemption	274
<i>Geier v. American Honda Motor Co.</i>	277

PART TWO

SEPARATION OF POWERS

Chapter 3 · Executive Power	291
A. Overview	291
B. Foundational Doctrine	292
<i>Youngstown Sheet & Tube Co. v. Sawyer</i>	293
C. War and National Security	305
1. Executive Powers over the Conduct of Hostilities	307
President Lincoln's Proclamation of Blockade	309
<i>The Prize Cases</i>	310
President Johnson's Message to Congress	318
The Gulf of Tonkin Resolution	319
Statement by the President on the Passage of the Joint Resolution on Southeast Asia	320
<i>Massachusetts v. Laird</i>	320
War Powers Resolution	324
President Nixon's Veto of War Powers Resolution	328
Certification of Congress Regarding Override of the War Powers Resolution Veto	331
Recap: The War Powers Resolution	332
<i>Campbell v. Clinton</i>	333
Recap: War Powers	343
2. Suspension of Habeas Corpus	344
a. The Civil War	345
An Act Relating to Habeas Corpus, and Regulating Judicial Proceedings in Certain Cases	345
Lincoln's Proclamation Suspending Habeas Corpus throughout the United States, September 15, 1863	347
<i>Ex Parte Milligan</i>	348
b. World War II	354
<i>Korematsu v. United States</i>	354
<i>Ex Parte Quirin</i>	359
<i>Johnson v. Eisentrager</i>	365
c. The "War on Terrorism"	372
Authorization for Use of Military Force	373
<i>Hamdi v. Rumsfeld</i>	375
<i>Boumediene v. Bush</i>	389

D. Foreign Affairs	404
<i>United States v. Curtiss-Wright Export Corp.</i>	404
<i>Zivotofsky v. Kerry</i>	409
Exercise: Foreign Affairs	423
E. Executive Privileges and Immunities	423
<i>United States v. Nixon</i>	425
<i>Nixon v. Fitzgerald</i>	429
<i>Clinton v. Jones</i>	435
F. The Veto Power	440
Exercise: The Veto Power	443
G. Executive Orders and Presidential Direct Action	443
1. Types of Presidential Direct Action	443
a. Executive Orders and Proclamations	444
b. Other Presidential Direct Action Devices	445
2. Legal and Constitutional Status	446
H. Appointment and Removal of Executive Officers	448
1. Appointment	449
<i>Buckley v. Valeo</i>	450
2. Removal	454
<i>Myers v. United States</i>	455
<i>Humphrey's Executor v. United States</i>	458
3. Synthesis: Appointment and Removal Powers	463
<i>Morrison v. Olson</i>	464
Recap: Appointment and Removal Powers	475
I. The Take Care Clause	477
1. The Duty to Adhere to Supreme Court Constitutional Interpretations	478
President Andrew Jackson's Message in Support of His Veto	
of the Re-Charter of the Bank of the United States	478
Lincoln v. Douglas on Judicial Supremacy:	
The 1858 Illinois Senate Race	482
2. Faithful Execution: Does the President Have Power to Decline to	
Enforce Laws He Deems Unconstitutional?	488
Opinion of the Office of Legal Counsel: Presidential Authority	
to Decline to Execute Unconstitutional Statutes	489
Exercise: The Take Care Clause and Related Matters	492
Professional Development Reflection Questions	493
Chapter 4 · Other Separation-of-Powers Issues	495
A. Overview	495
B. Integrity of the Legislative Process	496
<i>Immigration and Naturalization Service v. Chadha</i>	496
Exercise: Legislative Veto and War Powers	506
<i>Clinton v. City of New York</i>	507
Recap: <i>Chadha</i> and <i>Clinton v. New York</i>	515
Exercise: <i>Chadha</i> and <i>Clinton v. New York</i>	515
C. The Administrative State	516
1. Administrative Lawmaking Power: The Nondelegation Doctrine	517
2. Non-Article III Adjudicatory Power	519
3. Synthesis: Delegation of Legislative and Judicial Power	521
<i>Mistretta v. United States</i>	522

Exercises: <i>Other Separation-of-Powers Questions</i>	539
D. Impeachment	540
Articles of Impeachment [of Richard Nixon]	543
Resolution Impeaching William Jefferson Clinton, President of the United States, for High Crimes and Misdemeanors	544
Exercise: Impeachment	545
PART THREE	
JUDICIAL POWER	
Chapter 5 · Judicial Review	549
A. Overview	549
B. Historical Antecedents	550
1. The Political “Revolution” of 1800 and <i>Marbury v. Madison</i>	550
2. Judiciary Act of 1789	553
The Judiciary Act of 1789	554
<i>Marbury v. Madison</i>	555
<i>Stuart v. Laird</i>	563
C. Judicial Review and Judicial Supremacy	565
<i>Scott v. Sandford</i>	566
Exercise: Judicial Review and Constitutional Interpretation	581
<i>Cooper v. Aaron</i>	581
D. Supreme Court Review of State Court Opinions	587
<i>Martin v. Hunter’s Lessee</i>	588
<i>Michigan v. Long</i>	595
Exercises: Supreme Court Review of State Court Decisions	600
E. Judicial Review in Practice	600
F. The Exceptions Clause	601
<i>Ex parte McCardle</i>	602
<i>United States v. Klein</i>	603
Exercise: The Exceptions Clause	606
Recap: Judicial Review	606
Professional Development Reflection Questions	607
Chapter 6 · Justiciability	609
A. Overview	609
B. Foundational Doctrine	609
C. Standing	611
1. Basic Doctrine	611
<i>Allen v. Wright</i>	612
<i>Lujan v. Defenders of Wildlife</i>	614
2. Organizational and Representational Standing	626
<i>Raines v. Byrd</i>	627
D. Advisory Opinions	634
E. Ripeness	636
F. Mootness	637
G. Political Question	637
<i>Walter L. Nixon v. United States</i>	639
Exercises: Justiciability	648
Professional Development Reflection Questions	649

PART FOUR

DUE PROCESS, FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS, AND EQUAL PROTECTION

Chapter 7 · Substantive Due Process	655
A. Overview	655
B. Foundational Doctrine	658
1. The “State Action” Requirement	658
2. The Privileges or Immunities Clause	659
<i>Barron v. Baltimore</i>	659
<i>The Slaughterhouse Cases</i>	661
3. Incorporation and Due Process	672
<i>Twining v. New Jersey</i>	673
<i>Brown v. Mississippi</i>	678
Professional Development Reflection Question	681
4. Selective or Total Incorporation?	681
<i>Palko v. Connecticut</i>	682
<i>Adamson v. California</i>	683
<i>Rochin v. California</i>	686
C. Fundamental Rights and Economic Regulation	688
<i>Lochner v. New York</i>	690
<i>Meyer v. Nebraska</i>	698
<i>Pierce v. Society of Sisters</i>	699
Recap: Early Fundamental Rights Doctrine	702
<i>Morehead v. New York ex rel. Tipaldo</i>	702
<i>West Coast Hotel Co. v. Parrish</i>	705
<i>United States v. Carolene Products Co.</i>	708
<i>Ferguson v. Skrupa</i>	710
Exercise: Substantive Due Process and Economic Regulation	712
D. Fundamental Rights and Personal Liberties	712
Justice Harlan: <i>Poe v. Ullman</i>	713
Justice Scalia: <i>Michael H. v. Gerald D.</i>	715
Justice Kennedy: <i>Lawrence v. Texas</i>	717
<i>Griswold v. Connecticut</i>	718
<i>Roe v. Wade</i>	728
<i>Planned Parenthood v. Casey</i>	738
Professional Development Reflection Question	750
<i>Whole Woman’s Health v. Hellerstedt</i>	751
<i>Washington v. Glucksberg</i>	761
Recap: Fundamental Rights and Personal Liberties	770
<i>McDonald v. City of Chicago</i>	770
Chapter 8 · Equal Protection	775
A. Overview	775
B. Foundational Doctrine	778
1. Rational Basis Review	778
<i>Railway Express Agency v. New York</i>	779
<i>Central State University v. American Association of</i> <i>University Professors</i>	783
Exercise: Rational Basis Review	785
2. The State Action Requirement	786
<i>Shelley v. Kraemer</i>	787

<i>Moose Lodge No. 107 v. Irvis</i>	789
<i>Jackson v. Metropolitan Edison Co.</i>	792
<i>Brentwood Academy v. Tennessee Secondary School Athletic Association</i>	796
Exercise: The State Action Doctrine	800
C. Strict Scrutiny and Race Discrimination	801
1. The Historical Development of Strict Judicial Scrutiny of Race Discrimination	802
<i>Strauder v. West Virginia</i>	803
<i>The Civil Rights Cases (United States v. Stanley)</i>	807
<i>Plessy v. Ferguson</i>	818
<i>Korematsu v. United States</i>	825
<i>Brown v. Board of Education</i>	831
A Random Thought on the Segregation Cases	837
<i>Loving v. Virginia</i>	838
2. The Intentional Discrimination Requirement	844
<i>Yick Wo v. Hopkins</i>	844
<i>Palmer v. Thompson</i>	849
Exercise: Discriminatory Purpose	854
<i>Washington v. Davis</i>	855
3. Affirmative Action	858
<i>Regents of the University of California v. Bakke</i>	859
<i>City of Richmond v. J. A. Croson Co.</i>	872
<i>Grutter v. Bollinger</i>	880
Recap: Affirmative Action	888
<i>Fisher v. University of Texas at Austin</i> (“Fisher II”)	889
<i>Schuette v. Coalition to Defend Affirmative Action</i>	901
D. Sex Discrimination	918
<i>Bradwell v. Illinois</i>	919
<i>Reed v. Reed</i>	921
<i>Frontiero v. Richardson</i>	923
<i>Craig v. Boren</i>	926
Professional Development Reflection Question	930
<i>United States v. Virginia</i>	931
E. Sexual Orientation and Not-Quite-Suspect Classifications	945
<i>Romer v. Evans</i>	946
Recap: Not-Quite-Suspect Classifications	956
F. Equal Protection and Fundamental Rights	956
<i>Obergefell v. Hodges</i>	957

PART FIVE

THE FIRST AMENDMENT

Chapter 9 · Freedom of Speech	987
A. Overview	987
B. Foundational Doctrine	989
1. Basic Principles	989
2. Vagueness and Overbreadth	991
a. Vagueness	991
b. Overbreadth	992

c. Vagueness and Overbreadth Compared	994
C. Content-Based and Content-Neutral Regulation	995
1. Content-Neutral Regulation of Expressive Conduct	996
<i>United States v. O'Brien</i>	997
<i>Clark v. Community for Creative Non-Violence</i>	1001
<i>Texas v. Johnson</i>	1008
2. Time, Place, or Manner Regulations	1015
<i>Frisby v. Schultz</i>	1016
<i>City of Ladue v. Gilleo</i>	1021
Recap: Content-Based and Content-Neutral Laws	1023
3. Viewpoint Discrimination	1025
Exercise: Content-Based and Content-Neutral Laws	1026
D. Unprotected Speech	1026
1. Incitement	1027
<i>Brandenburg v. Ohio</i>	1029
Exercise: Incitement	1033
2. Fighting Words and Offensive Speech	1034
<i>Chaplinsky v. New Hampshire</i>	1034
<i>Cohen v. California</i>	1036
Recap: Fighting Words	1041
Exercise: Fighting Words	1041
3. Hate Speech	1042
<i>R.A.V. v. St. Paul</i>	1042
<i>Virginia v. Black</i>	1055
Exercise: Hate Speech	1067
4. Obscenity and Indecency	1067
<i>Reno v. American Civil Liberties Union</i>	1069
E. Speech-Related Rights	1081
1. Freedom of Association	1081
<i>National Association for the Advancement of Colored People</i>	
<i>v. Alabama ex rel. Patterson</i>	1081
<i>Hurley v. Irish-American Gay, Lesbian and</i>	
<i>Bisexual Group of Boston</i>	1085
<i>Boy Scouts of America v. Dale</i>	1090
Recap: <i>Hurley</i> and <i>Dale</i>	1100
Exercise: Freedom of Association	1100
2. Press and Petition	1101
Chapter 10 · Religious Freedom	1103
A. Overview	1103
B. Establishment Clause	1103
1. Foundational Doctrine: State Support for Religious Institutions	1104
<i>Everson v. Board of Education</i>	1104
<i>Lemon v. Kurtzman</i>	1110
2. School Prayer	1116
<i>Lee v. Weisman</i>	1116
3. Legislative Prayer	1122
<i>Town of Greece v. Galloway</i>	1122
Exercise: Establishment Clause	1137
C. The Free Exercise Clause	1138

CONTENTS

xix

<i>Sherbert v. Verner</i>	1139
<i>United States v. Lee</i>	1143
<i>Employment Division, Department of Human Resources of Oregon v. Smith</i>	1146
<i>Church of the Lukumi Babalu Aye, Inc. v. City of Hialeah</i>	1153
<i>Burwell v. Hobby Lobby Stores</i>	1159
Appendix · The Constitution of the United States	1171
Index	1189

List of Diagrams and Figures

Figure 1.1. Second Bank of the United States Building, Philadelphia	20
Figure 1.2. The Transformation of the Supreme Court under FDR	57
Figure 1.3. Hitting the Bullseye	211
Figure 2.1. Minnesota	244
Figure 2.2. Preemption Doctrine	275
Figure IV.1. Rights Roadmap	652
Figure IV.2. Levels of Scrutiny	653
Figure IV.3. Levels of Deference	654
Figure 9.1. Freedom of Speech Analytical Flow Chart	986

Table of Cases

- Abrams v. United States, 250 U.S. 616 (1919), 989, 1028
- Adamson v. California, 332 U.S. 46 (1947), 683–85
- Adkins v. Children’s Hospital of D.C., 261 U.S. 525 (1923), 704, 972
- A.L.A. Schecter Poultry Corp. v. United States, 295 U.S. 495 (1935), 44 n.8, 518
- Allen v. Wright, 468 U.S. 737 (1984), 611–14, 649
- Bailey v. Drexel Furniture Co., 259 U.S. 20 (1922), 137–40
- Baker v. Carr, 369 U.S. 186 (1962), 638
- Barron v. Baltimore, 32 U.S. (7 Pet.) 243 (1833), 659–61
- Bibb v. Navajo Freight Lines, 359 U.S. 520 (1959), 252–54
- Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents of the FBI, 403 U.S. 388 (1971), 424
- Bolling v. Sharpe, 347 U.S. 497 (1954), 344 n.1, 801, 835–36
- Bond v. United States, 131 S. Ct. 2355 (2011), 131–35
- Bond v. United States (“Bond II”), 572 U.S. 134 S. Ct. 2077 (2014), 215–26
- Boos v. Barry, 485 U.S. 312 (1988), 1026, 1040, 1041
- Borough of Duryea, Pennsylvania v. Guarnieri, 131 S. Ct. 2488 (2011), 1101
- Boumediene v. Bush, 553 U.S. 723 (2008), 373, 389–403
- Bowers v. Hardwick, 478 U.S. 186 (1986), 956
- Bowsher v. Synar, 478 U.S. 714 (1986), 462
- Boy Scouts of America v. Dale, 530 U.S. 640 (2000), 1090–99, 1100
- Bradwell v. Illinois, 83 U.S. 130 (1873), 918–21, 919–20
- Brandenburg v. Ohio, 395 U.S. 444 (1969), 1029–33
- Branzburg v. Hayes, 408 U.S. 665 (1972), 1101
- Brentwood Academy v. Tennessee Secondary School Athletic Association, 531 U.S. 288 (2001), 786, 796–800
- Broadrick v. Oklahoma, 413 U.S. 601 (1973), 993
- Brown v. Board of Education, 347 U.S. 483 (1954), 830–35, 836, 843, 956, 983
- Brown v. Mississippi, 297 U.S. 278 (1936), 672, 678–80
- Buckley v. Valeo, 424 U.S. 1 (1976), 450–54
- Burwell v. Hobby Lobby Stores, 573 U.S. (2014), 1159–70
- C&A Carbone v. Town of Clarkstown, 511 U.S. 383 (1994), 236, 238–41
- Campbell v. Clinton, 203 F.3d 19 (D.C. Cir. 2000), 333–43
- Cantwell v. Connecticut, 310 U.S. 296 (1940), 1037, 1040
- Carter v. Carter Coal Co., 298 U.S. 238 (1936), 36–41, 44 n.8, 57 *fig.*, 110
- Central State University v. American Association of University Professors, 526 U.S. 124 (1999), 783–84
- Champion v. Ames, 188 U.S. 321 (1903), 30, 35, 146
- Chaplinsky v. New Hampshire, 315 U.S. 568 (1942), 1034–36, 1040, 1041
- “The Child Labor Tax Case.” *See* Bailey v. Drexel Furniture Co.

- Church of the Lukumi Babalu Aye, Inc. v. City of Hialeah, 508 U.S. 520 (1993), 1153–59
- Citizens United v. Federal Election Comm'n, 558 U. S. 310 (2010), 1101
- City of Boerne v. Flores, 521 U.S. 507 (1997), 184, 185–90, 585–86, 587, 594
- City of Cleburne v. Cleburne Living Center, 473 U.S. 432 (1985), 760, 945, 956
- City of Ladue v. Gilleo, 512 U.S. 43 (1994), 1015, 1021–23
- City of Philadelphia v. New Jersey, 437 U.S. 617 (1978), 236, 237–38, 241
- City of Richmond v. J. A. Croson Co., 488 U.S. 469 (1989), 871, 872–79
- “The Civil Rights Cases.” *See* United States v. Stanley
- Clark v. Community for Creative Non-Violence, 468 U.S. 288 (1984), 1001–7, 1024
- Clinton v. City of New York, 524 U.S. 417 (1998), 448, 507–15, 633
- Clinton v. Jones, 520 U.S. 681 (1997), 429, 435–40
- Coates v. City of Cincinnati, 402 U.S. 611 (1971), 991–92, 994
- Cohen v. California, 403 U.S. 15 (1971), 1036–40, 1041
- College Savings Bank v. Florida Prepaid Postsecondary Education Expense Board, 527 U.S. 666 (1999), 203
- Cooper v. Aaron, 358 U.S. 1 (1958), 581–85, 594
- Cornelius v. NAACP Legal Defense & Education Fund, 473 U.S. 788 (1985), 1015
- Craig v. Boren, 429 U.S. 190 (1976), 919, 926–30
- Crockett v. Reagan, 720 F.2d 1355 (D.C. Cir. 1983), 332
- Crowell v. Benson, 285 U.S. 22 (1932), 519, 520
- Dames & Moore v. Regan, 453 U.S. 654 (1981), 408–9, 446, 447
- Dean Milk v. City of Madison, 340 U.S. 349 (1951), 247–49, 252
- Debs v. United States, 249 U.S. 211 (1919), 1027
- Dennis v. United States, 341 U.S. 494 (1951), 1028
- Department of Health and Human Services v. Florida, No. 11-398, S. Ct. (2012), 93–94
- Dickerson v. United States (2000), 586, 587
- Dred Scott case. *See* Scott v. Sanford
- Employment Division, Department of Human Resources of Oregon v. Smith, 494 U.S. 872 (1990), 184, 1146–52
- Everson v. Board of Education, 330 U.S. 1 (1947), 1103, 1104–9
- Ex Parte McCardle, 74 U.S. 506 (1868), 602–3
- Ex Parte Milligan, 71 U.S. 2 (1866), 345, 348–53
- Ex Parte Quirin, 317 U.S. 1 (1942), 358, 359–65, 371–72
- FCC v. Fox Television Stations, 567 U.S. 239 (2012), 994, 1068
- Federal Communications Commission v. Pacifica Foundation, 438 U.S. 726 (1978), 1067, 1068
- Ferguson v. Skrupa, 372 U.S. 726 (1963), 689, 710–11
- Fisher v. University of Texas (2013) (“Fisher I”), 888–89
- Fisher v. University of Texas at Austin (“Fisher II”), 136 S. Ct. 2198 (2016), 889–900
- Fitzpatrick v. Bitzer, 427 U.S. 445 (1976), 195–97
- Flast v. Cohen, 392 U.S. 83 (1968), 609
- Free Enterprise Fund v. Public Company Accounting and Oversight Board, 561 U.S. 477 (2010), 475
- Friends of the Earth v. Laidlaw, 528 U.S. 167 (2000), 627
- Frisby v. Schultz, 487 U.S. 474 (1988), 1015, 1016–20, 1024–25
- Frohwerk v. United States, 249 U.S. 204 (1919), 1027
- Frontiero v. Richardson, 411 U.S. 677 (1973), 919, 923–25

- Fullilove v. Klutznick, 448 U.S. 448 (1980), 871
- Garcia v. San Antonio Metropolitan Transit Authority, 469 U.S. 528 (1985), 111–12
- Geier v. American Honda Motor Co., 529 U.S. 861 (2000), 277–84, 517
- Gibbons v. Ogden, 22 U.S. (9 Wheat.) 1 (1824), 9, 22, 23–29, 276
- Gilligan v. Morgan, 413 U.S. 1 (1973), 633
- Gitlow v. New York, 268 U.S. 652 (1925), 681, 710, 777, 1028, 1032
- Gonzales v. Carhart, 550 U.S. 124 (2007), 750, 752, 756
- Gonzales v. Raich, 545 U.S. 1 (2005), 79–92, 93–94, 110, 228
- Gratz v. Bollinger, 539 U.S. 244 (2003), 886–87, 887–88
- Griswold v. Connecticut, 381 U.S. 479 (1965), 718–26
- Grutter v. Bollinger, 539 U.S. 306 (2003), 880–86
- Hamdan v. Rumsfeld (2006), 373, 390
- Hamdi v. Rumsfeld, 542 U.S. 507 (2004), 365, 372–73, 375–88
- Hammer v. Dagenhart, 247 U.S. 251 (1918), 30–35, 44 n.8, 110, 140
- Hayburn’s Case, 2 U.S. (2 Dall.) 409 (1792), 635
- Heart of Atlanta Motel v. United States, 379 U.S. 241 (1964), 47, 58–62, 229, 817
- Hernandez v. Texas, 347 U.S. 475 (1954), 801
- Hicklin v. Orbeck, 437 U.S. 518 (1978), 266–70
- Hoffman Estates v. Flipside, Hoffman Estates, Inc., 455 U.S. 489 (1982), 992
- Hoke v. United States, 227 U.S. 308 (1913), 30, 35
- Hollingsworth v. Perry, 133 S. Ct. 2652 (2013), 633
- Houston v. Hill, 482 U.S. 451 (1987), 993
- H.P. Hood & Sons, Inc. v. Du Mond, 336 U.S. 525 (1949), 233–36
- Humphrey’s Executor v. United States, 295 U.S. 602 (1935), 455, 458–61, 463
- Hunt v. Washington State Apple Advertising Commission, 432 U.S. 333 (1977), 241–44, 246
- Hurley v. Irish-American Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual Group of Boston, 515 U.S. 557 (1995), 1085–89, 1100
- Hustler Magazine, Inc. v. Falwell, 485 U.S. 46, 56 (1988), 1040, 1041
- Immigration and Naturalization Service v. Chadha, 462 U.S. 919 (1983), 440, 489, 496–506
- Jackson v. Metropolitan Edison Co., 419 U.S. 345 (1974), 792–95
- Jacobellis v. Ohio, 378 U.S. 184 (1964), 1067
- Johnson v. Eisentrager, 339 U.S. 763 (1950), 365–71, 372, 373
- Katzenbach v. Morgan, 384 U.S. 641 (1966), 47, 179, 180–84, 817
- Kimel v. Florida Board of Regents, 528 U.S. 62 (2000), 203, 204–8, 210, 212
- Knowlton v. Moore, 178 U.S. 41 (1900), 137 n.12
- Korematsu v. United States, 323 U.S. 214 (1944), 344 n.1, 354–57, 610–11, 801, 825–30, 836, 838
- Kovacs v. Cooper, 336 U.S. 77 (1949), 1015
- La Abra Silver Mining Co. v. United States, 175 U.S. 423 (1899), 441, 442
- Lawrence v. Texas, 539 U.S. 558 (2003), 713, 717–18, 956–57
- Lee v. Weisman, 505 U.S. 577 (1992), 1116–22
- Lemon v. Kurtzman, 403 U.S. 602 (1971), 1110–15
- Lochner v. New York, 198 U.S. 45 (1905), 29, 35, 690–96, 697, 704
- “The Lottery Case.” *See* Champion v. Ames
- Loving v. Virginia, 388 U.S. 1 (1967), 801, 838–43, 956, 982–83

- Lowry v. Reagan, 676 F. Supp. 333 (D.D.C. 1987), 332
- Lujan v. Defenders of Wildlife, 504 U.S. 555 (1992), 614–26
- Luther v. Borden, 48 U.S. (7 How.) 1 (1849), 638
- Maine v. Taylor, 477 U.S. 131 (1986), 247, 249–52
- Marbury v. Madison, 5 U.S. (1 Cranch) 137 (1803), 424, 550, 552–53, 555–63
- Marsh v. Chambers, 463 U.S. 783 (1983), 1122, 1130
- Martin v. Hunter’s Lessee, 14 U.S. (1 Wheat.) 304 (1816), 587, 588–94
- Maryland v. Wirtz, 392 U.S. 183 (1968), 111
- Massachusetts v. EPA, 549 U.S. 497 (2007), 627, 635
- Massachusetts v. Laird, 400 U.S. 886 (1970), 320–23
- McCulloch v. Maryland, 17 U.S. (4 Wheat.) 316 (1819), 3, 6, 8, 9–19, 21, 481–82
- McDonald v. City of Chicago, 561 U.S.130 S. Ct. 3020 (2010), 770–74
- Meyer v. Nebraska, 262 U.S. 390 (1923), 689, 698–99
- Michael H. v. Gerald D., 491 U.S. 110 (1989), 713, 715–17
- Michigan v. Long, 463 U.S. 1032 (1983), 595–600
- Miller v. California, 413 U.S. 15 (1973), 1067, 1068
- Minnesota v. Clover Leaf Creamery, 449 U.S. 456 (1981), 245–46
- Miranda v. Arizona (1966), 586, 587
- Missouri v. Holland, 252 U.S. 416 (1920), 212–14
- Mistretta v. United States, 488 U.S. 361 (1989), 517–18, 522–39
- Moose Lodge No. 107 v. Irvis, 407 U.S. 163 (1972), 788–92
- Morehead v. New York ex rel. Tipaldo, 298 U.S. 587 (1936), 45 n.9, 702–4
- Morrison v. Olson, 487 U.S. 654 (1988), 463, 464–75
- Myers v. United States, 272 U.S. 52 (1926), 448, 455–58, 462–63, 491
- National Association for the Advancement of Colored People v. Alabama ex rel. Patterson, 357 U.S. 449 (1958), 1081–84
- National Federation of Independent Business v. Sebelius, 567 U.S. 132 S. Ct. 2566 (2012), 3, 94–109, 146–52, 166–67, 167–78
- National Labor Relations Board v. Jones & Laughlin Steel Corp., 301 U.S. 1 (1937), 48–51, 56, 57 *fig.*
- National League of Cities v. Usery, 426 U.S. 833 (1976), 111
- Near v. Minnesota, 283 U.S. 697 (1931), 1101
- Nevada Department of Human Resources v. Hibbs, 538 U.S. 721 (2003), 203, 208–10
- New York Times Co. v. Sullivan, 376 U.S. 254 (1964), 989–90
- New York Times Co. v. United States, 403 U.S. 713 (1971), 1101
- New York v. United States, 505 U.S. 144 (1992), 112–20, 130–31, 135
- Nixon v. Fitzgerald, 457 U.S. 731 (1982), 429–34
- Nixon v. United States, 506 U.S. 224 (1993), 541
- Northern Pipeline Construction Co. v. Marathon Pipe Line Co., 458 U.S. 50 (1982), 520
- Obergefell v. Hodges, 135 S. Ct. 2584 (2015), 957–87
- Palko v. Connecticut, 302 U.S. 319 (1937), 657, 682–83
- Palmer v. Thomson, 403 U.S. 217 (1971), 844, 849–54, 879
- Panama Refining Co. v. Ryan, 293 U.S. 388 (1935), 407, 518–19
- Papachristou v. City of Jacksonville, 405 U.S. 156 (1972), 991, 992
- Paris Adult Theatre I v. Slaton, 413 U.S. 49 (1973), 990, 1067, 1068
- Pierce v. Society of Sisters, 268 U.S. 510 (1925), 689, 699–701
- Pike v. Bruce Church, Inc. (1970), 232, 240, 245, 250

- Planned Parenthood v. Casey, 505 U.S. 833 (1992), 738–49
- Plessy v. Ferguson, 163 U.S. 537 (1896), 802, 818–23, 824, 831, 834, 835, 843
- The Pocket Veto Case, 279 U.S. 655 (1929), 442
- Poe v. Ullman, 367 U.S. 497 (1961), 713–15
- Poultry Corp. v. United States, 295 U.S. 495, 538 (1935), 407
- Powell v. McCormack, 395 U.S. 486 (1969), 627
- Prigg v. Pennsylvania, 41 U.S. 539 (1842), 813–14, 818
- Printz v. United States, 521 U.S. 898 (1997), 112, 120–27, 135
- The Prize Cases, 67 U.S. 635 (1863), 308, 310–18, 358
- Railroad Retirement Board v. Alton Railroad Co., 295 U.S. 330 (1935), 44 n.8
- Railway Express Agency v. New York, 336 U.S. 106 (1949), 779–81, 782
- Raines v. Byrd, 521 U.S. 811 (1997), 333, 627–33
- Rasul v. Bush, 542 U.S. 466 (2004), 373, 400
- R.A.V. v. St. Paul, 505 U.S. 377 (1992), 1042–53, 1054
- Redrup v. New York, 386 U.S. 767 (1967), 1067–68
- Reed v. Reed, 404 U.S. 71 (1971), 919, 921–23
- Reeves v. Stake, 447 U.S. 429 (1980), 255–59, 265
- Regents of the University of California v. Bakke, 438 U.S. 265 (1978), 859–71, 880
- Reid v. Covert, 354 U.S. 1 (1957), 371
- Reno v. American Civil Liberties Union, 521 U.S. 844 (1997), 993, 1069–80
- Reno v. Condon, 528 U.S. 141 (2000), 128–30, 135
- Rochin v. California, 342 U.S. 165 (1952), 686–88
- Roe v. Wade, 410 U.S. 113 (1973), 728–36, 737
- Romer v. Evans, 517 U.S. 620 (1996), 946–54, 982
- Sanchez-Espinoza v. Reagan, 770 F.2d 202 (D.C. Cir. 1985), 332
- Schenck v. United States, 249 U.S. 47 (1919), 1027, 1029
- Schuette v. Coalition to Defend Affirmative Action, 572 U.S. 134 S. Ct 1623 (2014), 901–17
- Scott v. Sandford, 60 U.S. 393 (1857), 565, 566–83, 656
- Seminole Tribe of Florida v. Florida, 517 U.S. 44 (1996), 195, 198–202
- Shelley v. Kraemer, 334 U.S. 1 (1948), 786, 787–88
- Sherbert v. Verner, 374 U.S. 398 (1963), 1138, 1139–42
- The Shreveport Rate Case, 234 U.S. 342 (1914), 30
- Slaughterhouse Cases, 83 U.S. (16 Wall.) 36 (1873), 656, 657, 659, 661–71, 672
- Smith v. Goguen, 415 U.S. 566 (1974), 992
- South-Central Timber Development v. Wunnicke, 67 U.S. 82 (1984), 259–65
- South Dakota v. Dole, 483 U.S. 203 (1987), 161–65
- Southland Corp. v. Keating, 465 U.S. 1 (1984), 276
- Stafford v. Wallace, 258 U.S. 495 (1922), 30
- “The Steel Seizure Case.” *See* Youngstown Sheet & Tube Co.
- Stern v. Marshall, 131 S. Ct. 2594 (2011), 520–21
- Strauder v. West Virginia, 100 U.S. 303 (1879), 803–6, 843
- Stuart v. Laird, 5 U.S. (1 Cranch) 299 (1803), 553, 563–65
- Sure-Tan, Inc. v. NLRB, 467 U.S. 883 (1984), 1101
- Texas v. Johnson, 491 U.S. 397 (1989), 1008–14, 1025, 1159–60
- Thomas Moore Law Center v. Obama, 651 F.3d 529 (6th Cir. 2011), 78–79
- Town of Greece v. Galloway, 572 U.S. 134 S. Ct. 1811 (2014), 1122–37
- Twining v. New Jersey, 211 U.S. 78 (1908), 657, 673–78, 680

- United Building and Construction Trades Council v. City of Camden, 465 U.S. 208 (1984), 270–74
- United States v. Burr (1807), 428–29
- United States v. Butler, 297 U.S. 1 (1936), 44 n.7, 154–60
- United States v. Carolene Products Co., 304 U.S. 144 (1938), 689, 704, 705, 708–10, 711, 759, 776–77, 824, 836, 918
- United States v. Curtiss-Wright Export Corp., 299 U.S. 304 (1936), 404–7, 445, 447–48
- United States v. Darby Lumber Co., 312 U.S. 100 (1941), 47, 51–54, 57 *fig.*, 111, 135
- United States v. E.C. Knight Co., 156 U.S. 1 (1895), 30
- United States v. Kahriger, 345 U.S. 22 (1953), 141–46
- United States v. Klein, 80 U.S. 128 (1872), 603–6
- United States v. Lee, 455 U.S. 252 (1982), 1143–46
- United States v. Lopez, 514 U.S. 549 (1995), 62–71, 79, 92
- United States v. Morrison, 529 U.S. 598 (2000), 62, 72–78, 79, 92, 191–94
- United States v. Nixon, 418 U.S. 683 (1974), 424, 425–28
- United States v. O’Brien, 391 U.S. 367 (1968), 997–1000, 1001, 1007, 1014, 1024
- United States v. Salerno, 481 U.S. 739 (1987), 992
- United States v. Stanley, 109 U.S. 3 (1883), 786, 806, 807–17
- United States v. Virginia, 518 U.S. 515 (1996), 931–44
- United States v. Williams, 553 U.S. 285 (2008), 991
- United States v. Windsor, 133 S. Ct. 2675 (2013), 633–34
- Virginia Military Institute v. U.S. *See* United States v. Virginia
- Virginia v. Black, 538 U.S. 343 (2003), 1054–55, 1055–66
- Walter L. Nixon v. United States, 506 U.S. 224 (1993), 541, 639–47
- Washington State Grange v. Washington State Republican Party, 552 U.S. 442 (2008), 992–93
- Washington v. Davis, 426 U.S. 229 (1976), 855–58
- Washington v. Glucksberg, 521 U.S. 702 (1997), 761–69
- Weiner v. United States, 357 U.S. 349 (1958), 461–62
- West Coast Hotel v. Parrish, 300 U.S. 379 (1937), 57 *fig.*, 689, 704, 705–7
- West Virginia Board of Education v. Barnette, 319 U.S. 624 (1943), 1087, 1090
- Whitney v. California, 274 U.S. 357 (1927), 989, 1028, 1033
- Whole Woman’s Health v. Hellerstedt, 136 S. Ct. 2292 (2016), 751–60
- Wickard v. Filburn, 317 U.S. 111 (1942), 47, 54–56, 57 *fig.*, 92
- Williamson v. Lee Optical of Oklahoma, 348 U.S. 483 (1955), 781–82
- Yick Wo v. Hopkins, 118 U.S. 356 (1886), 801, 844–49
- Youngstown Sheet & Tube Co. v. Sawyer, 343 U.S. 579 (1952), 228, 292, 293–305, 516
- Zivotofsky v. Kerry, 135 S. Ct. 2076 (2015), 409–22

Series Editor's Preface

Welcome to a new type of casebook. Designed by leading experts in law school teaching and learning, Context and Practice casebooks assist law professors and their students to work together to learn, minimize stress, and prepare for the rigors and joys of practicing law. Student learning and preparation for law practice are the guiding ethics of these books.

Why would we depart from the tried and true? Why have we abandoned the legal education model by which we were trained? Because legal education can and must improve.

In Spring 2007, the Carnegie Foundation published *Educating Lawyers: Preparation for the Practice of Law* and the Clinical Legal Education Association published *Best Practices for Legal Education*. Both works reflect in-depth efforts to assess the effectiveness of modern legal education, and both conclude that legal education, as presently practiced, falls quite short of what it can and should be. Both works criticize law professors' rigid adherence to a single teaching technique, the inadequacies of law school assessment mechanisms, and the dearth of law school instruction aimed at teaching law practice skills and inculcating professional values. Finally, the authors of both books express concern that legal education may be harming law students. Recent studies show that law students, in comparison to all other graduate students, have the highest levels of depression, anxiety and substance abuse.

The problems with traditional law school instruction begin with the textbooks law teachers use. Law professors cannot implement *Educating Lawyers* and *Best Practices* using texts designed for the traditional model of legal education. Moreover, even though our understanding of how people learn has grown exponentially in the past 100 years, no law school text to date even purports to have been designed with educational research in mind.

The Context and Practice Series is an effort to offer a genuine alternative. Grounded in learning theory and instructional design and written with *Educating Lawyers* and *Best Practices* in mind, Context and Practice casebooks make it easy for law professors to change.

I welcome reactions, criticisms, and suggestions; my e-mail address is mhschwartz@ualr.edu. Knowing the author(s) of these books, I know they, too, would appreciate your input; we share a common commitment to student learning. In fact, students, if your professor cares enough about your learning to have adopted this book, I bet s/he would welcome your input, too!

Michael Hunter Schwartz, Series Designer and Editor
Consultant, Institute for Law Teaching and Learning
Dean and Professor of Law, William H. Bowen School of Law,
University of Arkansas at Little Rock

Preface to the Second Edition

The Second Edition retains what we see as the primary virtues of the First. For students, the book is accessible, but not dumbed-down: without “spoon feeding,” our framing questions and explanations guide students to key points and doctrines rather than turning Con Law into a doctrinal treasure hunt. This leaves more class time to explore large themes, underlying policies, and critiques.

For professors, this is one of the few Con Law casebooks that you can teach your own way, without having to fight the book. This book offers structure but not stricture, comprehensive coverage without backbreaking bulk. Our “Expansion Pack” system—which to our knowledge remains unique in Con Law casebooks—offers numerous supplemental modules that can be added to or substituted for sections in the book. These (along with other supplemental materials) are contained on a new casebook web site, formatted and ready to send out to students electronically. This system allows you to customize your course while still following the book’s basic structure. And that structure is quite streamlined. As you can see in the Summary of Contents, the book is organized into five parts of two chapters each, which cover all the essential doctrines of Constitutional Law. The book can be used for any general Con Law course, whether offered in the first semester or later, and whether it covers governmental structure, individual rights, or both.

For adopters of the First Edition, our message is this: we have improved and updated the book with only a slight increase in length. Although we’ve been selective about incorporating new cases, there have been enough important ones in the past five years that we’ve had to cut material in order to make room. But fear not: all material cut from the First Edition remains available in the form of Expansion Packs on the web site.

For adopters who teach Constitutional Law to 1L students, we recommend assigning part or all of a chapter-length Expansion Pack entitled “Introduction to Constitutional Law and Analysis.” This chapter contains useful information about the various patterns of constitutional cases, how constitutional challenges are litigated, how they reach the Supreme Court, and how to read Supreme Court opinions. For the Second Edition, we’ve decided to remove this chapter from the print volume to the web site, since it may not be as useful to upper level students.

In our book, cases and other primary readings are generally preceded by “Guided Reading Questions” which highlight key points and doctrines that students should be looking for. Following the primary readings, our “Review Questions and Explanations” synthesize key points, provide context or clarification to the case just presented, and prompt students to make connections, think critically, and address broader themes. We have made a concerted effort to keep these questions on point and limited in number. Many casebooks provide notes and questions that venture too far into tangents and prove distracting; we believe ours avoid that trap.

An additional benefit to students is the book's low cost. Not only is the main volume about 40% less expensive than competing Con Law casebooks, but our annual supplement is provided as a free PDF.

We welcome your feedback and suggestions about the book. We have already incorporated some adopters' suggestions into the Second Edition. And because the Teachers' Manual and web site supplemental materials can be updated on an annual basis, we are in a position to incorporate your suggested improvements without having to wait for a Third Edition.

David S. Schwartz
Lori A. Ringhand
June 2017