

A Context and Practice Global Case File

Rossi v. Bryce

An International Embryo and Surrogacy Dispute

CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS

Context and Practice Series

Michael Hunter Schwartz
Series Editor

Administrative Law

Richard Henry Seamon

Advanced Torts

Alex B. Long and Meredith J. Duncan

Antitrust Law

Steven Semeraro

Civil Procedure

Gerald F. Hess, Theresa M. Beiner, and Scott R. Bauries

Civil Procedure for All States

Benjamin V. Madison, III

Constitutional Law

David Schwartz and Lori Ringhand

A Context and Practice Global Case File:

**An Intersex Athlete's Constitutional Challenge,
*Hastings v. USATF, IAAF, and IOC***

Olivia M. Farrar

A Context and Practice Global Case File:

***Rossi v. Bryce,*
An International Embryo and Surrogacy Dispute**

Olivia M. Farrar

A Context and Practice Global Case File:

***Thorpe v. Lightfoot,*
A Mother's International Hague Petition for the Return of Her Child**

Olivia M. Farrar

Contracts

SECOND EDITION

Michael Hunter Schwartz and Adrian J. Walters

Criminal Law

Steven I. Friedland, Catherine Carpenter, Kami Chavis and Catherine Arcabascio

Current Issues in Constitutional Litigation

Sarah E. Ricks, with contributions by Evelyn M. Tenenbaum

Employment Discrimination

SECOND EDITION

Susan Grover, Sandra F. Sperino, and Jarod S. Gonzalez

Energy Law

Joshua P. Fershee

Evidence

Pavel Wonsowicz

International Business Transactions

Amy Deen Westbrook

International Women's Rights, Equality, and Justice

Christine M. Venter

The Lawyer's Practice

Kris Franklin

Professional Responsibility

Barbara Glesner Fines

Sales

Edith R. Warkentine

Secured Transactions

Edith R. Warkentine and Jerome A. Grossman

Torts

Paula J. Manning

Workers' Compensation Law

Michael C. Duff

Your Brain and Law School

Marybeth Herald

A Context and Practice Global Case File

Rossi v. Bryce

An International Embryo and Surrogacy Dispute

Olivia M. Farrar

Howard University School of Law



CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS

Durham, North Carolina

Copyright © 2017
Olivia M. Farrar
All Rights Reserved

ISBN 978-1-61163-392-4
LCCN 2017935772

Carolina Academic Press
700 Kent Street
Durham, North Carolina 27701
Telephone (919) 489-7486
Fax (919) 493-5668
www.cap-press.com

Printed in the United States of America

Contents

| | |
|-------------------------|----|
| Series Editor's Preface | ix |
| Acknowledgments | xi |

Student Manual

| | |
|---|----------|
| I. Introduction to the Case File | 5 |
| A. Summary of the Case—The Basic Law, Facts and Issues | 5 |
| B. The Work-Product—Researching, Appealing, Mediating, and Adjudicating This Case | 6 |
| II. Assignments | 7 |
| Work-Product #1: Annotated Bibliography | 7 |
| A. Introduction—Creating an Annotated Bibliography as the First Step in an Appeal | 7 |
| B. Evaluation Criteria—Annotated Bibliography | 9 |
| Work-Product #2: Bench Memo | 10 |
| A. Introduction—Crafting a Single-Issue or Multi-Issue Bench Memo | 10 |
| B. Evaluation Criteria—Bench Memo | 11 |
| Work-Product #3: Appellate Brief | 12 |
| A. Introduction—Structure and Rhetoric of a Federal Appellate Brief | 12 |
| B. Evaluation Criteria—Appellate Brief | 13 |
| Work-Product #4: Oral Arguments and Questions from the Bench | 14 |
| A. Appellate Oral Arguments—Principles and Procedures | 14 |
| B. Questions from the Bench—Mooting Your Case by Posing Good Questions | 14 |
| C. Evaluation Criteria—Appellate Oral Arguments | 16 |
| D. Evaluation Criteria—Judges' Questions | 17 |
| Work-Product #5: Mediating the Appeal | 18 |
| A. Mediating an Appellate Case | 18 |
| B. Evaluation Criteria—Confidential Mediation Statement | 20 |
| Work-Product #6: Appellate Opinion | 21 |
| A. Writing a Judicial Opinion—Structure, Tone and Purpose | 21 |
| B. Should This Be a Published or an Unpublished Opinion? | 21 |
| C. Evaluation Criteria—Appellate Opinion | 23 |
| D. Evaluation Criteria—Brief Memo RE: Publication Determination | 24 |

Appellate Record

| | |
|---|-----------|
| III. <i>Rossi v. Bryce</i> — Appellate Record (Joint Appendix) | 25 |
| District Court Docket in <i>Rossi v. Bryce</i> , Civil Action No.: 1:16-cv-6279-TJS | JA-1 |
| Complaint (December 15, 2016) | JA-3 |

| | |
|---|-------|
| Answer (January 7, 2017) | JA-13 |
| Joint Stipulation of Facts (February 10, 2017) | JA-18 |
| Rossi's Motion for Summary Judgment (March 14, 2017) | JA-36 |
| Rossi's Notice, Consent, and Reference of a Civil Action to a Magistrate Judge (April 5, 2017) | JA-38 |
| Bryce's Notice, Consent, and Reference of a Civil Action to a Magistrate Judge (April 8, 2017) | JA-39 |
| Magistrate Judge Trevor John Stewart's Memorandum Order denying Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment (May 20, 2017) | JA-40 |
| Rossi's Notice of Appeal (June 13, 2017) | JA-54 |
| Rossi's Appellate Docketing Statement (June 14, 2017) | JA-56 |

Series Editor's Preface

Welcome to a new type of casebook. Designed by leading experts in law school teaching and learning, Context and Practice casebooks assist law professors and their students to work together to learn, minimize stress, and prepare for the rigors and joys of practicing law. **Student learning and preparation for law practice are the guiding ethics of these books.**

Why would we depart from the tried and true? Why have we abandoned the legal education model by which we were trained? Because legal education can and must improve.

In Spring 2007, the Carnegie Foundation published *Educating Lawyers: Preparation for the Practice of Law* and the Clinical Legal Education Association published *Best Practices for Legal Education*. Both works reflect in-depth efforts to assess the effectiveness of modern legal education, and both conclude that legal education, as presently practiced, falls quite short of what it can and should be. Both works criticize law professors' rigid adherence to a single teaching technique, the inadequacies of law school assessment mechanisms, and the dearth of law school instruction aimed at teaching law practice skills and inculcating professional values. Finally, the authors of both books express concern that legal education may be harming law students. Recent studies show that law students, in comparison to all other graduate students, have the highest levels of depression, anxiety and substance abuse.

The problems with traditional law school instruction begin with the textbooks law teachers use. Law professors cannot implement *Educating Lawyers* and *Best Practices* using texts designed for the traditional model of legal education. Moreover, even though our understanding of how people learn has grown exponentially in the past 100 years, no law school text to date even purports to have been designed with educational research in mind.

The Context and Practice Series is an effort to offer a genuine alternative. Grounded in learning theory and instructional design and written with *Educating Lawyers* and *Best Practices* in mind, Context and Practice casebooks make it easy for law professors to change.

I welcome reactions, criticisms, and suggestions; my e-mail address is mhschwartz@ualr.edu. Knowing the author(s) of these books, I know they, too, would appreciate your input; we share a common commitment to student learning. In fact, students, if your professor cares enough about your learning to have adopted this book, I bet s/he would welcome your input, too!

Michael Hunter Schwartz, Series Designer and Editor
Consultant, Institute for Law Teaching and Learning
Dean and Professor of Law, William H. Bowen School of Law,
University of Arkansas at Little Rock

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank my outstanding research assistants—Addison Berry, Rachel Osthega and Kenille Sumler—for their creative and exacting research. And I appreciate the financial support provided by the Howard University School of Law. For my soul partner in this as in everything else, I acknowledge with gratitude my husband, Max Derrickson.

